Г	Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 1 of 263
	Case 2.07-07-00090-300 Document 30 I flod 00/20/00 I ago I of 200
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA
3	WANDA JAMES SPEIGHT : CIVIL ACTION
4	vs. :
5	CAPMARK FINANCE, INC. : NO. 07-0890
6	WILLIAM F. ALDINGER, III :
7	PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA
8	February 25, 2009
9	BEFORE HONORABLE J. CURTIS JOYNER, J.
10	And a Jury
11	APPEARANCES:
12	FOR THE PLAINTIFF: SALMANSON GOLDSHAW, P.C.  BY: MICHAEL SALMANSON, ESQUIRE  1500 JFK Boulevard, Suite 1230
13	Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19102
14	FOR THE DEFENDANT: MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS, LLP BY: MICHAEL L. BANKS, ESQUIRE
15	1701 Market Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103
16	
17	BLANK ROME LLP BY: MICHAEL J. EAGLES, ESQUIRE
18	One Logan Square 130 North 18th Street
19	Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103
20	
21	
22	GREGG B. WOLFE, RPR, CM Official Court Reporter
23	601 Market Street, Room 1234 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19106
24	(215) 460-1511
25	
ŀ	

```
(The Court began the proceedings at
     9:30 a.m.)
              THE COURT: Good morning, lady and
     gentlemen. Welcome back this morning. We're
     ready to resume with the trial.
              Next witness.
              MR. GOLDSHAW: We call Robert Jones.
 8
              ROBERT BENSON JONES, was duly sworn.
 9
                      DIRECT EXAMINATION
     BY MR. GOLDSHAW:
10
11
         Good morning, Mr. Jones.
     Q.
12
     A. Good morning.
13
        We heard a lot about you yesterday, as being
     Ο.
14
     described as a job coach.
15
              Would you please explain to the jury
16
     briefly what you do for a living?
17
         Well, I'm really a consultant. I have 32
18
            I'm a lawyer, a CPA. I was the only
19
     person who worked for a big four accounting firm
20
     who set up three practices in three different
     cities. So I'm pretty entrepreneurial, set up
21
22
     businesses from zero to 12 people in about two
23
     years at both Washington, D.C. and Philadelphia
24
     for Ernst & Young.
25
              So I'm an entrepreneur, a business
```

Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 2 of 263

builder, and now I have my own business.

- Q. Would you please describe to the jury a little bit about, specifically, the job coach function that you perform for clients?
- A. My main task is consulting, usually to boards of directors who are not-for-profit compensation committees or for-profit compensation committees.

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

25

second.

In the course of my career, I've done a lot of different things in benefits and compensation. I've also done performance evaluation, performance metrics.

Quote, "job coach," close quote, is sort of a title that evolved in this instance through some of the things that I was doing to help Mrs. Speight.

- Q. How did you first come to know Wanda Speight?
- A. I was recommended by a law firm, actually two law firms, and, as it evolved, Mrs. Speight called me first. She was very concerned about a -- more than 50-page offer that was being presented to her by her new employer, and -- Q. I'm sorry, I'm going to jump in just a

When you referred to her new employer, could you tell us the employer and the time period?

This is 2006, and the new employer was

This is 2006, and the new employer was Capmark?

A. That's right.

5

6

8

9

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. She was still working at Capmark at the time?
- A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. Okay. Please continue.
- A. That's correct. In other words, as happens
  with a change of control, she had a new employer
  at her place of business and tremendous
  uncertainty, of course, hits people at that
  time.

But she also had this large stack of papers to consider in regard to a restricted stock offering, and had to make a decision, "what do I do about this. This is a significant investment."

I was initially hired just to explain that to her, to say what the pros and cons were, what the downside was of investing or not investing.

Q. Mr. Jones, could you please just summarize

```
the advice that you gave Ms. Speight, with
 1
     regard to this offer to purchase stock in
     Capmark and the result?
        Well, actually, my recollection --
              MR. BANKS: Objection.
              THE COURT: One moment.
              MR. BANKS: I don't understand what the
8
     relevance of Mr. Jones' advice to Ms. Speight
9
          Ms. Speight's communications to Mr. Jones
     were admissions of a party.
10
11
              THE COURT: You're objecting on
12
     relevance. You don't have to give me a speaking
13
     objection.
14
              MR. BANKS: Okay. I'm sorry, Your
15
     Honor.
16
              THE COURT: Your objection is
17
     overruled. This door has been opened, and I'm
18
     allowing him some leeway to explain away some of
19
     what has transpired to this point.
20
              MR. BANKS: Very well.
21
              THE COURT: All right?
22
              Moving along.
23
     BY MR. GOLDSHAW:
24
         Do you remember my question?
25
         I do. I do.
     Α.
```

Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 5 of 263

### Q. Okay. And I was starting to answer that Mrs. Speight actually was very interested in investing, but she just wanted to know the down side. MR. BANKS: Excuse me. Your Honor, now, I would object on hearsay grounds if he's 8 describing what Ms. Speight said to him. 9 THE COURT: And, obviously, Ms. Speight is here and you examined her and your 10 11 cross-examination was on statements that she 12 made to Mr. Jones. 13 MR. BANKS: Right. THE COURT: Your objection is noted. 14 It is overruled. You may have a continuing 15 16 objection along this line. 17 MR. BANKS: Thank you, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Anything else? 19 MR. BANKS: No, no. 20 THE COURT: Excellent. Let's move 21 along. 22 BY MR. GOLDSHAW: 23 Mr. Jones, please continue, if you recall 24 the question, otherwise, I'll --25 I do. Just to finish very quickly,

Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 6 of 263

Mrs. Speight wanted to invest, but just wanted to know the pros and cons, the tax, some of the tax ramifications, how that would affect her.

As I recall, it was a significant investment, roughly 140,000, which, to my memory, was even more than her salary for a year.

So that's a significant commitment to make, and she naturally was seeking out somebody who would explain some of the pros and cons, what are the traps for the unwary.

- 12 Q. To your knowledge, did Ms. Speight actually
- make that significant investment in Capmark?
- 14 A. My understanding is that she did. I never
- saw the paperwork, but I understood she was
- 16 going to do it.

1

5

6

8

9

10

- 17 Q. Okay. Aside from your conversations with
- 18 Ms. Speight regarding this investment in
- 19 Capmark, did your communications pertain to any
- 20 other matters?
- 21 A. Well, as we got into that, many -- I
- 22 interview executives for a living. Many of my
- 23 executives have been through significant change
- and control, where it feels like the ground is
- 25 shifting under their feet.

As we became acquainted, she had some questions about the best way to respond and how to -- I always judged that she had tremendous sincerity and tremendous commitment to the job.

It was more about, Bob, I just want to make sure I do the right thing. How would you perceive this and what would your suggestion be?

That's sort of the, quote, "job coach."

I'm not really that, but it evolved from working on a very complicated investment to -- I've been through a couple of mergers where there was a change of management, and what are some of the things that leaders can do to make sure that they send the right message.

Q. Did you communicate with Ms. Speight? We know you communicated with her by e-mail.

Did you communicate with her, other than by e-mail, regarding the job coaching as to the transition?

A. Well, she called me fairly frequently, I would say, once or twice a week. The phone conversations were more frequent than e-mails by probably three to one.

It was more about -- I just want to make sure I'm on the right track here. Here's

## Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 9 of 263

what happened the past couple of days.

I viewed that as very natural. In fact, I found her to be sincere. I found a commitment level that was high, and I was impressed because I have dealt with CEOs, CFOs, Number 1.

Number 2, of all the people I have dealt with, I would have rated her very, very highly, compared to all the executives that I've dealt with in similar circumstances.

There's great uncertainty. You have 20 people reporting to you. Each one of them are asking you what should we do.

To my way of understanding, she always asked the right questions, and she always responded well. In fact, I used this word for my son, "coachable." She took my advice, and she responded very well to it.

My favorite recollect is that after the year was over and a new team was on board, she asked me, what should we do now that we know our results?

In my style, I said, well, Wanda, that's what pizza was invented for.

She said, Bob, what do you mean?

# Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 10 of 263

I said, well, you ought to have a meeting with your staff, and you ought to highlight — get a poster board and highlight the things that did well, that went very well, that were strengths for the group, and then highlight on a separate board the things that you need to work on and get everybody's impression. Have everyone contribute. That way the group will feel — and be a part of this. Everyone will have a voice.

And we said together that has to show the genuineness of what you're feeling. You want to do the right thing and you want to tell your people, let's improve on last year.

They happened to have had a good prior year. They happened to do very well.

So I said, let's enhance the strengths, let's try to minimize the weaknesses for your 20 people and move forward. By the way, tell management what you learned, because then you're giving them insight. You're showing them two things. One, that you care.

And, to my recollection, she really not only did care, but wanted to do the right thing every time.

Secondly, you're giving management some insight about what do the people really feel, what can we do to do better?

My experience with corporate takeovers or mergers or acquisitions is people freeze.

There's fear. People say, boy, I've got a new boss, what do I do now?

And there was a little bit of fear percolating up to Wanda. It would be very unusual if there wasn't. It would be very unusual if she didn't feel some fear, too. She was more fearless than that, though.

She kept saying, I want to do the right thing by my team; I want to help them; I want to get to the right place, and I want management to respect us for our strengths, but I want to work them to make it even better.

When I use the word "coachable" from sports, that's the way I viewed her, she wanted to do the right thing throughout.

Q. Mr. Jones, you had mentioned that you would have rated Wanda very, very highly, and I wanted to make sure I understood.

Were you talking about highly in terms of her attitude or her abilities or what?

A. I think it's been a couple years since she and I talked about this.

The dominant impression I had is a positive attitude. There were two things, a positive attitude and a desire to do the right thing.

If I were rating it on a scale, I don't give a ten to anybody, but I would have said, she's a nine or a nine and-a-half, just for what I saw, just what I went through personally, one-on-one, day after day.

I never saw negativity, and it would have been easy to be negative about some of the things that happened to us, that we don't understand.

But she was always saying, I want to do the right thing by my team; I want to convey the right message to them, and I want to move forward. In other words, I love my job; I want to keep going with what I'm doing, and I want to do the right thing by these 20 people who are depending on me.

Q. Mr. Jones, at the time you were advising Ms. Speight, you were being paid for your services?

## Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 13 of 263 A. I was, yes. Q. Were you being paid by Wanda Speight personally to help her, or was it through Capmark? A. I was being paid by Wanda Speight 6 personally. MR. GOLDSHAW: I have nothing further 8 at this point. 9 THE COURT: Cross-examination. 10 MR. BANKS: Thank you, Your Honor. 11 CROSS-EXAMINATION 12 BY MR. BANKS: 13 How much were you being paid by Ms. Speight? 14 Was it on an hourly basis? 15 Yes. My hourly rate is about 40 percent of 16 what it was in corporate life. 17 What was your hourly rate that you were 18 charging to Ms. Speight? 19 A. 250 an hour. 20 Q. Your job was to help her, right? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. You're being paid by Ms. Speight to be here 23 today to help her? 24 A. No, sir. I'm not being paid by anyone 25 today. I'm here because I thought it was the

### R. JONES - CROSS Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 14 of 263

- right thing to do.
- Q. How much would you say that Ms. Speight paid
- 3 you in total?

- A. It would have been a small engagement for
- 5 us, probably three to 4,000, something like
- 6 that, over a couple months.
- Q. That was over the couple months in the
- 8 spring of 2006?
- 9 A. Right.
- 10 Q. Now, you said that you believed from talking
- 11 to Ms. Speight that you could judge sincerity
- and commitment on her part?
- 13 A. Yes. I interviewed dozens of executives,
- 14 all year, every year.
- 15 Q. My question was whether you could detect
- sincerity or commitment on her part.
- 17 A. No question.
- 18 Q. No question?
- 19 A. No question.
- Q. Did you ever talk to Mr. McCool?
- 21 A. Never.
- Q. Did you ever talk to Mr. Lipson?
- 23 A. Never.
- Q. Did you ever talk to Linda Pickles?
- 25 A. Never.

#### R. JONES - CROSS Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 15 of 263

- Q. How many other Capmark employees have you ever talked to besides Ms. Speight?
- A. I did some work with the predecessor to

  Capmark before they became Capmark, but that's

  the only time.
- Q. Tell me the last time you ever talked to Capmark, other than Ms. Speight.
- 8 A. I never have.
- 9 Q. Okay. So you're not in a position to assess
  10 their sincerity or their commitment, are you?
- 11 A. Actually, I'm not. I'm not.
- 12 Q. Okay. I want to show you --
- MR. BANKS: Where is the Defendant's
- 14 Exhibit binder?
- MR. SALMANSON: It's up there. I put
- it up there for you.
- 17 BY MR. BANKS:
- 18 Q. Turn to Tab 23.
- A. I got here without my reading glasses, so I apologize. It is a little fuzzy.
- Q. Okay. That's an e-mail that includes an
- e-mail that Ms. Speight sent on May 25th, 2006
- to Mr. McCool, with copies to seven other
- 24 people.
- Did Ms. Speight talk to you about that

THE COURT: Counsel, counsel. He's

asking him to read about this one particular

page. He wants an opportunity to read this

23

24

```
page.
              Let's proceed. All right?
              THE WITNESS: This is about a specific
     set of loans. I don't recall any of the
     transactions here.
 6
     BY MR. BANKS:
     Q. You don't recall Ms. Speight talking to you
     about that either before or after she sent the
 8
     e-mail?
9
        I do not.
10
     Α.
11
         Sitting here today, then, you can't tell
12
     whether she was being sincere or cooperative
13
     when she wrote that e-mail, can you?
     A. All I can tell at the beginning is her
14
15
     statement, "Sorry for the communications
16
     breakdown. Let me try again."
17
              In my experience, you wouldn't write
18
     that if you weren't trying to break through some
     barrier to do as well as you could.
19
20
     Q. Unless maybe someone was trying to be
21
     sarcastic.
22
         I don't see sarcasm in that, sir, just from
23
     reading it.
24
         So you believe you're an expert enough from
25
     reading that first line to be able to tell what
```

Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 17 of 263

Ms. Speight meant in this e-mail?

6

8

9

22

23

A. I would not characterize myself as an expert in human behavior. I'm not a psychologist.

What I am saying is that, in my experience interviewing hundreds of executives over 32 years, when someone says "Sorry for the communication breakdown, let me try again," they are making an effort.

- Q. If they are being sincere, right?
- 10 A. No, they are making an effort. Why would
  11 you do that if you weren't trying to communicate
  12 better?
- Q. Okay. Look at the last paragraph of that
  e-mail, the one that begins, "Joe, please be
  aware that if we're being told to risk rate the
  SGP loans and Canadian portfolio, I cannot be
  confident in the accuracy of assigned ratings,"
  and then it continues.

Do you know what's referred to there?

- A. Again, I'm not a loan expert. I don't know the technical background behind this.
  - Q. Do you know whether that was an accurate assessment of the state of affairs at the time?
- A. I don't know anything about that.
- Q. If we look up from there, it says, the third

## Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 19 of 263

paragraph, "consequently the former PLG asset management official individuals are not in a position to perform an evaluation for risk rating purposes."

1

6

10

11

12

Do you have any idea what Ms. Speight was talking about there?

- A. I don't, really. I could conjecture, but I don't know the facts.
- Q. Would it be correct to say that all of your information about Ms. Speight's performance and attitude and what she did at Capmark came from Ms. Speight?
- 13 A. Yes. I mean, dealings over a couple months, 14 interactions, questions and answers and 15 interested queries.
- Q. You don't know what she said in meetings with Mr. McCool and Mr. Lipson and others, do you?
- 19 A. No. I wasn't there. I would have no clue.
- Q. Did she ever tell you about a meeting she
- 21 had with Mr. McCool on May 23rd, 2006?
- 22 A. The conversations that we had -- and, again,
- it's over two years ago -- my dominant
- impression is that Ms. Speight would come back
- to me and say, I had a meeting and I don't think

it went as well as I would have liked. Now, in my experience, there are very few people who would say that, very few people who would try to do better based on something like that. She spent the time. She had the sincerity to say, I want to do better. Are there any steps I'm missing in 8 human resource management that I should have 9 followed? We selected best practices that send a 10 11 clear message, because in many cases --12 MR. BANKS: Your Honor, I think the question was whether she told him about a 13 14 meeting on May 23rd. 15 THE WITNESS: No, she did not. 16 BY MR. BANKS: 17 So you don't know, even sitting here today, 18 that Ms. Speight met with Mr. McCool, Clare Dooley, and Ned Finkenstaedt on May 23rd, 2006? 19 20 There were several meetings with Mr. McCool. I do recall her mentioning meetings with him, 21 22 but I don't recall a specific meeting with three 23 people. It's over three years ago, sir.

Q. Have you seen any e-mails from Ms. Speight

describing that meeting to you?

24

#### R. JONES - CROSS Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 21 of 263

- A. I do not recall.
- Q. In preparation for today's testimony, have you gone back to look at e-mails between you and
- Ms. Speight from 2006?
- 5 A. I collected all the e-mails about six months
- ago for your counsel, at your request, within
- 7 three days of receiving the subpoena, but I did
- 8 not review them.
- 9 Q. You haven't reviewed anything in preparation
- 10 for today?
- 11 A. I have not.
- 12 Q. So you don't know anything about the back
- and forth that was in the e-mails that you may
- have had with Ms. Speight in 2006?
- 15 A. I do not.
- 16 Q. Have you ever seen any e-mails between
- 17 Ms. Speight and Mr. McCool or Ms. Speight and
- others in the servicing department at Capmark?
- 19 A. I don't recall those.
- Q. Did you ever see Ms. Speight's e-mail to
- 21 Beth Wilson?
- 22 A. No, sir.
- Q. Do you know who Beth Wilson is?
- A. I do not.
- Q. Ms. Speight testified that she was seeing

# Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 22 of 263 another career counselor by the name of Beth 1 Wilson, around the same time she was talking to you. Did you know that? I did not. 6 Q. Okay. She didn't even mention that to you back in 2006? 8 It never came up. Q. Did you know -- and you can -- well, I'll ask you, did you know that Ms. Speight wrote to

- 9 10
- 11 Ms. Wilson a few days before she transitioned
- 12 into Mr. McCool and Mr. Lipson's group that she
- 13 was somewhat "indifferent" about the company?
- 14 "Indifferent," that's a quote.
- 15 A. For my part, sir, I never heard that in all
- 16 the involvement with her. I only heard
- 17 commitment.
- 18 Q. Did you know that she wrote in her own words
- 19 that the management she would be reporting to
- 20 didn't excite her, no vision, not good
- communicators? 21
- 22 A. Never heard any of that.
- 23 Q. Okay. Did you know that she wrote to
- 24 Ms. Wilson?
- 25 A. Excuse me. I did hear implications that

there were concerns from her people that communications were spotty and sometimes nonexistent, where areas needed to be clear.

And I explained to her that it's very common in a situation, change of management. The rumor mill is hyperactive. The rumor mill is really working 100 miles an hour.

- I think my question was, did you know that Q. Ms. Speight wrote that to Ms. Wilson?
- I did not. Sir, I don't know who Ms. Wilson 10 11 I said that earlier.
- 12 Did you know that Ms. Speight wrote a few 13 days, before she moved into the servicing 14 department, that publicly she would continue to 15 say that she was committed to seeing the 16 transaction through? Publicly.
- 17 I did not, sir. Α.

thing she could do.

1

8

- 18 Would you have expected her to tell you that she was working with another career counselor? 19
- 20 Sir, I don't believe it's relevant today. Α. 21 worked with Mrs. Speight on areas that she asked 22 me questions about. I found her to be a very 23 willing, very committed, very dedicated 24 employee, trying to do the right thing, the best 25

# Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 24 of 263

That was based on what she told you? Q. That was based on our interaction. No, no. Α. Q. That was based on what she wrote to you and what she told you and what you assessed of that, correct? 6 And the way I assessed it, that's correct, in my professional judgment. 8 MR. BANKS: Thank you. 9 THE COURT: Any redirect? MR. GOLDSHAW: Briefly. 10 11 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 12 BY MR. GOLDSHAW: 13 Q. Mr. Jones, Mr. Banks read to you part of the sentence that he read to Ms. Wilson. I'd now 14 15 like to read to you the full sentence, including 16 the part that he left out. 17 "Publicly, I will continue to say that 18 I am committed to seeing the transition 19 through." Here's the part he left out. 20 making sure my staff is placed as optimally as 21 possible or treated fairly if they are 22 outplaced." 23 Having heard the full sentence, can you 24 now tell me if that's consistent with the tenor

25

of the conversation?

That was the Mrs. Speight that I knew. mean, I never heard anything other than, I want to do the best for my people; I need some clarity on some things because they are asking me questions that I can't answer, and I want to do the right thing with management. And, again, I would rate her very highly, compared to the top executives that I have worked with in my career. She was really -- what we worked on together was to try to always do the right thing, and to make sure that the messages weren't misunderstood. Q. Now, did any of the documents that Mr. Banks showed you during his questioning, or read to you in part, cast any doubt on your earlier assessment as to the level of Ms. Speight's commitment to this transition and the company? Not even. No, sir. I have nothing further. MR. GOLDSHAW: THE COURT: Recross. MR. BANKS: Just briefly, Your Honor. THE COURT: Yes. RECROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. BANKS:

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. You felt Ms. Speight was committed to the

transition?

A. I felt, for several reasons, that she was committed to the transition. One, she invested \$140,000, which is not a small sum for anybody.

And, in talking through that, she explained very carefully that she wanted to have a stake in the future of the business.

Why would you do that if you weren't interested in being a player, being a major player/participant in what was going on.

The second thing was, just throughout the whole transaction, she was conscientious and sincere about trying to do the right thing.

There were a couple times that I suggested things, like our group meeting.

And I said, Wanda, I can't think that anything would be bad about that. If you have a meeting with your employees and you tell management about that, what you have learned, they gain something; you've shared something with them, and your people have gained something because they feel like they participated in a process that would improve the system.

So I was hoping it would be a win-win.

Q. You haven't seen the transcript of

```
Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 27 of 263
     Ms. Speight's testimony from yesterday, have
     you?
     Α.
         No, I have not.
       You weren't in the courtroom?
     0.
       No, I was not.
 6
     Q.
         All right. Let me read to you just a little
     bit, and see if this is consistent with what you
     recall Ms. Speight telling you.
 8
                             I'm going to make an
 9
              MR. GOLDSHAW:
10
     objection. This is way beyond the scope of
11
     redirect, which involved two questions
12
     concerning the documents that Mr. Banks had
13
     shown him earlier.
              MR. BANKS: Your Honor --
14
15
              THE COURT: Counsel, I didn't ask for
16
     any comment from you.
17
              MR. BANKS: I'm sorry, Your Honor.
18
     That's correct.
19
              THE COURT: Counsel, your last question
20
     was so broad, that it gave a generalization by
21
     this witness. I think this is appropriate
22
     recross.
23
              Your objection is noted. It's
24
     overruled. All right?
25
              Let's get to the issue, though,
```

```
A. Actually, this is first time looking at
 1
     D-11. It's about a page.
     Q. Okay. All right. He read, "Publicly, I
     will continue to say that I'm committed to
     seeing the transition through."
 6
              You don't need to read it again unless
     it will help you. That's just what I'm asking
8
     about.
       What's your question?
9
     Q. Here's my question.
10
11
              MR. GOLDSHAW: I'm sorry. I have to
12
     object, because I certainly read the full
13
     sentence, and Mr. Banks, again, just read a part
14
     of it.
15
              THE COURT: Yes, but he has a right to
16
     limit his part. You read the whole -- it's up
17
     for the jury to recall.
18
              Your objection, if it's in the form of
19
     an objection, is overruled. All right?
20
              MR. GOLDSHAW: Yes.
21
     BY MR. BANKS:
22
     Q. Mr. Jones, I asked Ms. Speight yesterday,
23
     Page 122, Line 10,
24
                  Publicly, you would continue to
25
     say that you were committed. Was that different
```

```
Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 30 of 263
     from being actually committed to see the
 1
     transition through?"
              And Ms. Speight answered,
              "A. It was different from being
     emotionally invested in the transition to a
 6
     point that I got frustrated."
              Did she discuss that answer with you
     before today?
8
     A. Did not, no, sir.
9
10
              MR. BANKS: Nothing further.
                                             Thank
11
     you, Mr. Jones.
12
              THE COURT: You're done with this
13
     witness now?
14
              MR. GOLDSHAW: Yes, Your Honor.
15
              MR. BANKS: Yes, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: Excellent.
16
17
              You may step down now, sir, and watch
18
     your step.
19
              I take it this witness may be excused?
20
              MR. GOLDSHAW: Yes, Your Honor.
21
              MR. BANKS: Yes, Your Honor.
22
              THE COURT: You're excused. Next
23
     witness.
24
              MR. GOLDSHAW: Thank you, Your Honor.
25
              THE COURT: Sure.
```

```
MR. SALMANSON: Your Honor, we'd like
     to call Mr. McCool. We understand that he was
     supposed to be here at around 10:00.
              THE COURT: Well, it's around 10:00.
     Check to see if he's here.
 6
              MR. BANKS: Your Honor, we had
     understood he would be here at 10:15, and we
     also understood that the next witness would be
 8
 9
     Mr. Lipson, who is here.
10
              Mr. McCool doesn't appear to be here
11
     yet.
12
              THE COURT: So what are we going to do,
13
     counsel? We have time. Let's move it.
14
              MR. SALMANSON: Your Honor, we told
     them yesterday afternoon, at the end of the day,
15
16
     that we wanted Mr. McCool first thing this
17
     morning.
18
              MR. BANKS:
                          They told us last night,
19
     Your Honor, after we had told Mr. McCool he
20
     would follow Mr. Lipson.
21
              MR. SALMANSON: I came into chambers
     and told you.
22
23
              THE COURT: Counsel, counsel, this is
24
     not a bar. This is my courtroom.
25
              MR. SALMANSON: I'm sorry, Your Honor.
```

But he claims --

THE COURT: I don't want to hear he did this or he did that.

Lady and gentlemen, we're going to take our break early this morning to allow this witness to get here by 10:15. If he's not, then I'll address that issue with counsel out of your presence. All right?

In any case, please do not talk about the case among yourselves or with others. We'll call you back in as soon as this morning's break is over.

You have at least 15 minutes. All right? So enjoy.

(Recess was held at 10:00 a.m., at which time the jury exited the courtroom.)

THE COURT: Counsel, from this point on, if there's any objections, any discussions, it's between counsel and the Court. Opposing counsel does not have the right to interject when counsel is speaking to the Court. This is not a bar or a playground or your offices. This is my courtroom, and you conduct yourself accordingly.

I tell you, I will pass out sanctions,

Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 33 of 263

MR. SALMANSON: There's Mr. Hohenleitner, who, I understand, is going to be here this afternoon. THE COURT: What time this afternoon? MR. BANKS: Whatever time he's requested, Your Honor, by Plaintiff's counsel. 6 THE COURT: Why don't we have him here 8 at the beginning of the afternoon, in case 9 you're done with a witness early or if there's 10 some emergency or something, so that we can 11 continue on? I mean, if he has to sit here and 12 wait, sobeit. 13 MR. BANKS: We'll ask him, Your Honor, to come in, to make sure he's here by the time 14 we start after the lunch break. If he has to 15 16 wait, we agree, sobeit. 17 THE COURT: Very well. 18 Any others? 19 MR. GOLDSHAW: The only notable point, 20 which is not an issue in my view, is that on 21 Monday we discussed that if we do get to our 22 expert this afternoon, I'll need to confer with 23 him to make sure he went over the documents. 24 We addressed that on Monday, and I 25

don't actually think we are going to get to him

THE COURT: You have numbers, then?

THE COURT: Okay. So you don't know

I do not.

MR. GOLDSHAW:

what the numbers are?

22

23

24

MR. GOLDSHAW: I know this morning he's calculating them, as we are in court.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. GOLDSHAW: And in the unlikely event he comes, Your Honor talked about taking a short time, maybe an hour -- I don't think it would take that time -- to just meet with him to make sure that I am not finding out what he has to say for the first time when he's on the stand.

THE COURT: Okay. Is he faxing the numbers and the figures to you today, after he's finished them this morning? Can we get those sent here so that the defense has those before the afternoon is over, and you'll have them also so that you can be ready so we can cut down any other delays in this trial?

I tell you, the reason being, I'm not going to be here next Wednesday trying this case. All right? I have reservations. I'm going West.

MR. GOLDSHAW: The way I have left it with him, as of right now, if he gets the call, he's going to come to court; he's going to have extra copies, and I imagine that in the time it

takes him to explain it I can give it to opposing counsel, and we can all be ready.

In other words, I don't know if there's

any more efficiency, from my perspective, to get the numbers because until I understand what it is that got him there -- he's not preparing a full report.

THE COURT: I understand that, counsel, believe me, I do.

MR. GOLDSHAW: Okay.

THE COURT: But my concern is that the defense has an adequate opportunity also to review this new addition that your expert is going to be testifying to.

MR. GOLDSHAW: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And the sooner the defense gets that, the better it is for everybody, and it will at least expedite this case moving along at some later point.

MR. GOLDSHAW: Yes. Your Honor mentioned the fax. Can I fax it to your chambers?

THE COURT: Yes, you can fax it to my chambers.

MR. GOLDSHAW: Okay. What I will do is

```
during the next break, I'll instruct my
 1
     secretary when she gets it to fax it to your
     chambers.
              THE COURT: Excellent.
              MR. GOLDSHAW:
                             Thank your.
              THE COURT: Okay.
              MR. BANKS: I'm sorry, just on
 8
     scheduling.
 9
              THE COURT: Sure.
              MR. BANKS: My best expectation is that
10
11
     all the testimony will finish, I believe in both
12
     cases, by the close of tomorrow, or the latest,
     Friday morning. I just wanted to alert you.
13
14
              Do you folks agree?
15
              MR. SALMANSON: I would be surprised if
16
     it went past that.
17
              MR. BANKS: If there are changes, we'll
18
     let you know by the end of the day, but that's
19
     our best expectation.
20
              THE COURT: We shall see, counsel.
21
     any case, I'm putting you on notice that I will
22
     not be here next Wednesday. All right?
23
                          I hope you're going
              MR. BANKS:
24
     somewhere good.
25
              THE COURT: It is good.
```

Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 38 of 263

```
Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 39 gt 263
              MR. BANKS: Good.
              THE COURT: All right. Let's bring in
     this jury, and get this witness on the witness
     stand.
              MR. BANKS: I just want to make sure
 6
     this is turned off, so I don't get held in
     contempt.
              THE COURT: He's not in yet?
 8
 9
              MR. SALMANSON: No.
              THE COURT: Okay.
10
11
              (The jury entered the courtroom at
12
     10:20 a.m.)
13
              THE COURT: Okay. You may be seated.
14
              Now, next witness.
              MR. SALMANSON: Plaintiff calls Mark
15
16
     McCool.
17
              THE COURT: Sir, would you please come
18
     up here, and watch as you enter the well of the
19
     Court? Step around.
20
              MARK EDWARD MCCOOL, was duly sworn.
21
                      DIRECT EXAMINATION
     BY MR. SALMANSON:
22
23
       Good morning, Mr. McCool.
     Q.
24
     A. Good morning.
25
     Q. Can you just tell us, you're currently
```

- 1 employed at Capmark?
- A. Yes, I am.
- Q. All right. And how long have you been
- 4 there?
- A. A little over ten years.
- 6 Q. All right. And just so we're clear, prior
- to 2006, it was GMAC CM, correct?
- 8 A. That's correct, yes. Even before that I was
- 9 with GMAC Financial, so that was a total of 20
- 10 years.
- 11 Q. And GMAC Financial was a subsidiary of GMAC
- 12 CM?
- 13 A. No. That was the ultimate parent.
- 14 Q. How long were you in management during that
- 15 time period?
- 16 A. With Capmark Finance?
- 17 Q. Yes, or its predecessors.
- 18 A. Fifteen years.
- 19 Q. I'm going to focus today on the 2006 time
- 20 period when GMAC CM became Capmark.
- So, as of the spring of 2006, what was
- your title, if you recall?
- 23 A. I believe I was a senior vice-president and
- 24 managing director.
- Q. All right. Were you a managing director of

## Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 41 of 263

- a particular area?
- A. I believe at that time it was for client
- 3 relations, our surveillance group, and I think
- 4 our acquisitions group.
- Q. All right. And to whom were you reporting
- 6 at the time?

- A. Michael Lipson.
- 8 Q. How long had you been reporting to
- 9 Mr. Lipson, as of 2006?
- 10 A. I guess, four years. Four, five years.
- 11 Q. Now, as senior vice-president, how many
- people were working under your jurisdiction?
- 13 A. At that time, I would say a few hundred.
- Q. All right. Of those few hundred, how many
- were your direct reports?
- 16 A. Five or six.
- 17 Q. Do you recall who they were?
- A. Would you like me to name them, to the best
- of my ability?
- 20 Q. Yes.
- 21 A. Let's see. Ned Finkenstaedt, Tony Perez,
- 22 possibly Clare Dooley, Kathy Marquardt, Madeline
- O'Brien, and I don't recall any others right
- 24 now.
- Q. And, at some point, Ms. Speight, correct?

# M. MCCOOL - DIRECT Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 42 of 263

- A. That's correct, but not in 2006.
- Q. And Ms. O'Brien was your admin assistant; is
- 3 that correct?
- A. That's correct. Actually, let me correct
- that. In '06, it was Wanda Speight as well.
- Q. Okay. And you mentioned a Kathy somebody?
  - A. Marquardt.
- 8 Q. What was her position?
  - A. She would have been a senior vice-president.
- 10 Q. During your time at GMAC or Capmark,
- approximately how many people have you
- supervised, either directly or as a second-level
- management level?
- 14 A. In total?
- 15 Q. Yes.

- 16 A. I don't know. It would be a complete guess.
- 17 Several hundred.
- 18 Q. Okay. And in your position as senior
- 19 vice-president of Client Relations, Surveillance
- and Acquisition, did you have the authority to
- 21 hire and fire people?
- 22 A. No. I had the authority to make
- recommendations, or -- that's about it, I guess.
- Q. And to whom would you make those
- 25 recommendations?

### M. MCCOOL - DIRECT Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 43 of 263

- A. At the time, it would have been Michael Lipson.
  - Q. Okay. Do you recall any instances in which
- Mr. Lipson did not accept your recommendation?
  - A. I don't know. I can't recall.
- Q. Now, prior to Ms. Speight transferring over into -- do you recall that, in 2006, Ms. Speight transferred, along with her group, into the servicing area, correct?
- 10 A. Yes, I do.
- 11 Q. And part of your bailiwick was in the
- 12 servicing area, correct?
- 13 A. Yeah. The particulars in the servicing area that I talked about, yes.
- Q. Now, how did you learn that Ms. Speight's
- group was going to come over to Servicing?
- 17 A. I believe Michael Lipson had told me that
- 18 the decision was made.
- 19 Q. Did you have any discussions with
- 20 Mr. Lipson, prior to being told about that,
- about whether you thought that was a good idea
- or, you know, something that you were in favor
- 23 of?
- A. I was probably aware of it before the final decision was made to transfer it over.

### M. MCCOOL - DIRECT Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 44 of 263

- Q. And do you recall whether anybody sought your input about whether you wanted that to happen?
  - A. No, I don't think so.
- Q. Is it fair to say that it wasn't your decision to make?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. Do you recall that, at the time this decision was made, there was a question about whether the group would fall within your
- jurisdiction or Mr. Carp's jurisdiction?
- 12 A. Yeah. I recall that discussion, yes.
- Q. All right. And at the time that the group
- came into Servicing, that decision had not yet
- 15 been made, correct?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- 17 Q. Okay. And did you have an understanding of
- how that decision would be ultimately made?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Okay. And what was your understanding in
- 21 that regard?
- 22 A. Well, my understanding would have been that
- we would take time to understand what the
- department did on a daily basis, and define what
- roles would lie with Mr. Carp and what roles

### M. MCCOOL - DIRECT Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 45 Qf 263

- would lie under my responsibility.
- 2 Q. All right. Now, prior to Ms. Speight
- 3 transferring over to the Servicing Group, had
- 4 you had any work-related experience with her?
- A. I don't believe so.
- 6 Q. All right. Did you know who she was?
  - A. Yes.

- 8 Q. Did you have a sense of what her reputation
- 9 was within the company?
- 10 A. No. I knew she was a senior person, senior
- 11 manager.
- 12 Q. All right. Is it fair to say that prior to
- her coming over you hadn't formed any
- impressions of her?
- 15 A. That's fair.
- 16 Q. Do you recall the first time you met with
- 17 Ms. Speight?
- 18 A. I'm not sure I know what you mean by "met
- 19 with."
- 20 Q. Okay. Actually, let me rephrase that.
- 21 As part of the transition process, do
- 22 you recall that you met with Ms. Speight, sat
- down with her to talk about the transition?
- A. Well, sure, after the decision was made, we
- definitely sat down.

### M. MCCOOL - DIRECT Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 46 of 263

- Q. All right. Is it fair to say, at least in the early discussions, that you didn't sense any resistance on Ms. Speight's part to having her group move into Servicing?
- A. No, not that I recall.

1

6

8

9

10

11

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

Q. Okay. Now, you said that you wanted to take the time to figure out the role of her group and what they did.

Had you had any experience with the Proprietary Lending Group or worked with them in any way prior to the transition?

- A. Yes, but not in the capacity that Wanda's group was working.
- Q. Okay. So not on the asset management function?
  - A. That's probably a tough distinction. I had worked with being involved in the client relations group, which is a group that does borrower contact and correspondence. I was aware of guestions that would come in from that
- group, so I mean I was pretty familiar with it.
  - Q. Okay. Now, you said you needed to take the time to figure out the roles that Ms. Speight's group played and the types of things she did before you decided whether she and her group

```
were going to be reporting to either to you or to Mr. Carp, correct?
```

- A. Well, I think the idea was to understand at a very granular level what the responsibilities were, and then you know, our Services Group is a large operation, so we would try to leverage that operation, and the only way you could really do that was by understanding the workings of the group.
- Q. Okay. At some point, you had enough information to decide that Ms. Speight's group should, as a whole, be reporting to you and not to Mr. Carp, correct?
- 14 A. No, I don't think so.
- Q. No? Well, how did you make the decision as of May 1st -- well, as of May 1st, Ms. Speight's group came and began reporting into Servicing, correct?
- 19 A. Yes, correct.

correct?

1

6

8

9

- Q. Okay. And approximately ten days later,
  around May 12th, you actually then sat down with
  Ms. Speight and told her that, in fact, she
  would be reporting to you and not to Mr. Carp,
- 25 A. I'm not sure of the time frame, but I know

at one point I did speak to Wanda about that, yes.

- Q. Okay. So, as of the date of whenever that decision was made, you had gotten enough information to understand what Ms. Speight's group did at a granular level to know that it was more appropriate for her group to be reporting to you, and not to Mr. Carp, correct?
- Q. Well, how did you then make the decision and tell her on May 12th that they would be reporting to you and not to Mr. Carp?

No, I don't think so.

A. I don't recall that that's the way it transpired. We had a series of meetings, and those meetings were all designed to gain an understanding.

Mr. Carp was part of those meetings.

May 1st, the group would have reported to me,
but the idea was to gain an understanding of
that group, and then make the ultimate decision
on how we can, you know, get the most out of the
group and leveraging our Services operation.

Q. You asked Ms. Speight, at some point, whether she thought some of her personnel should be reporting to Mr. Carp and not to you,

### correct?

- A. We were discussing employees all along, so I believe that's probably correct, yes.
- Q. All right. Now, you understood that the
  Servicing Department didn't quite have the same
  cache or aura about it than the group that
  Ms. Speight had previously been involved in,
  correct?
- 9 A. Well, I think that was probably my opinion.
- I don't know if others shared that opinion or
- 11 not.
- 12 Q. All right. But you thought that Wanda's
- group came from the lending side of the
- business, which you thought carried with it, I
- believe your words were, "a higher luster,"
- 16 correct?
- 17 A. Yes. Again, that was my opinion.
- 18 Q. All right. And did you have any concerns
- 19 that, you know, you needed to convince
- 20 Ms. Speight that her group, you know, could
- 21 still have the luster that it had when it
- transferred over to Servicing?
- A. I'm sorry. Could you repeat that for me?
- Q. Sure, sure. Did you have any concerns in
- your mind that you needed to convince

- Ms. Speight that she would be able to carry that luster with her over into Servicing?
- A. No. As I would have thought about her, or as I do now at least, I think anybody that takes the time to understand our business sees it for what it is. It's a very intriguing and exciting business.
- So, no. I think as Wanda would have learned more about the group, she would have seen it for what I do. It's a great group.
- 11 Q. Okay. And you hoped to educate her in that 12 regard, correct?
- A. My responsibility, at that time, was to get a smooth transition of Wanda's department into Services to help the company out.
  - So my Number 1 goal was a smooth transition.
- Q. All right. You don't recall, in having discussions with Ms. Speight, her expressing concerns, at least at the front end, about her and her group coming over to Servicing, do you?
- 22 A. No.

1

6

8

9

10

16

17

Q. So, as far as you knew, Ms. Speight didn't have any particular concerns about moving over to Servicing, did she?

## Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 51 of 263

- A. Not that she ever expressed to me.
- Q. And there was a difference in the way that
- 3 PLG and the Asset Management Group's
- 4 compensation structure worked, as compared to
- 5 Servicing, correct?

8

ours.

- A. Well, I understand there are different programs. I don't know how theirs worked versus
- 9 Q. All right. And did you become aware at some 10 point that her staff was expressing concerns
- 11 that if they came over, that they might suffer a
- 12 significant hit on their compensation?
- 13 A. I don't recall if I ever heard that.
- I remember in my deposition being shown
- an exhibit, and that was the first time that I
- had seen that, so I don't know if that's one.
- 17 Q. All right. Do you recall Ms. Speight asking
- you to have a meeting with her staff to address
- 19 some of their concerns?
- 20 A. I do. Well, I don't know if it was to
- 21 address their concerns or for me to meet them,
- because I did not know many of them.
- Q. Do you recall meeting with members of
- 24 Ms. Speight's staff?
- 25 A. Yes, I do.

### M. MCCOOL - DIRECT Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 52 of 263

Do you remember some of them raising the Q. issue of, how are we going to be compensated? Α. No, I don't. Do you recall discussing with Ms. Speight at Q. the end of April issues related to the 6 compensation structure in Servicing, as compared to on the PLG side? No. 8 Α. 9 THE COURT: I take it you handed him his deposition testimony, so that counsel knows 10 11 what you're handing the witness? 12 MR. SALMANSON: Yes. I was just about 13 to say that. 14 BY MR. SALMANSON: 15 Mr. McCool, I have handed you the 16 deposition -- you recall that I took your 17 deposition under oath in February of 2008? 18 I do. Α. 19 And I want to direct your attention to Page 20 56, at the bottom, Line 23, going on to Page 57, 21 I had asked you just before that, that --22 whether you were aware that Ms. Speight's 23 compensation group had -- was different than the 24 compensation structure from the rest of the 25 Global Servicing area?

And you said, "Yes." And then I asked you, "Q. And do you recall there being discussions about whether the compensation structure from Ms. Speight's group would need to 6 be consistent with the rest of Global Servicing or not?" And your answer was, 9 I do. Going through those questions were helpful. We were on a different 10 11 compensation plan." 12 And then I asked, 13 "Q. And who did you have those discussions with? 14 15 I still don't remember having 16 those conversations, but we were under a 17 different plan than the rest of the company so 18 that there would have been a discussion of that plan. I mean, we were under a personal share 19 20 plan." 21 Do you recall generally that there were discussions about the differences between the 22 23 two compensation plans? 24 A. No, I really don't generally remember having 25 conversations. I do remember and I do know that

there are different compensation plans. I don't remember those discussions, though. I wouldn't have been part of the compensation discussions.

Q. But when I said do you recall there being discussions about whether the compensation structure from Ms. Speight's group would need to be consistent with the rest of the Global Servicing or not, you said you did.

You do remember there being discussions, correct? Bottom of 56 and top of 57.

- 12 A. I see it here. I mean, as I sit here today,
- I understand that there are different plans, so
- 14 I guess I would say there probably were
- discussions about different plans, but I don't
- 16 recall any.

8

9

10

- 17 Q. And going through those discussions were
- 18 helpful, correct?
- 19 A. I believe your questions assisted me in
- 20 remembering that, yes.
- Q. Okay. So if Ms. Speight had raised the
- issues of the different compensation to you or
- to others, there was nothing wrong with that,
- 24 correct?
- 25 A. If she raised the difference in the plans?

Q. Yes.

- A. No, there wouldn't be anything wrong with that.
- Q. And if her staff was concerned about that and she was looking to you or to other people to help address that with her staff, to put them at ease, that would have been okay, right?
- 8 A. Certainly, I think it's okay to understand 9 what your payment plan would be.
- 10 Q. All right. Do you think that it would have
- been helpful for Ms. Speight to understand what
- 12 her payment plan would be?
- 13 A. Yes, I agree.
- 14 Q. And do you know, as of the time of her
- termination, whether anybody had explained to
- her how she was going to be compensated, having
- moved into Servicing?
- 18 A. I don't know that.
- 19 Q. All right. You never told her, right?
- 20 A. No, I didn't.
- Q. As far as you know, Mr. Lipson never told
- 22 her?
- 23 A. I don't know.
- Q. All right. Would you agree with me that
- knowing how you're going to be compensated would

## M. MCCOOL - DIRECT Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 56 of 263

- be important for an employee to know?
- A. Would it be important?
- Q. Yes.
- 4 A. Sure.

- Q. Do you think it might affect their morale?
- 6 A. I don't know.
- Q. All right. You understand that the majority
- 8 of Ms. Speight's previous compensation was in
- 9 bonus and not in salary?
- 10 A. No, I don't know that.
- 11 Q. All right. Okay. I have a whole bunch of
- binders up there. I'm going to start going
- through some of them.
- 14 A. Can I close this one?
- 15 Q. You can close that.
- I think I asked you a little bit
- 17 before, do you recall Ms. Speight making a
- 18 recommendation about her people moving around
- 19 between Client Relations, which was your group,
- 20 and Real Estate Solutions?
- I don't think we have put that term out
- there yet, but Mr. Carp's group was known as
- 23 Real Estate Solutions, correct?
- 24 A. Correct. Well, at that time it was, yes.
- Q. If you would look at P-6.

# M. MCCOOL - DIRECT Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 57 of 263

- A. (Witness complies.)
- Q. Now, P-6, do you recognize this document?
- A. Yes, I do.

5

6

8

9

10

11

Q. And this was an e-mail from Ms. -- well, the original e-mail was from Ms. Speight to you and to Mr. Carp labeled "Preliminary

Recommendations."

And, first, she has "Client Relations," which would be your group, and she lists ten people, and then "Real Estate Solutions," and she lists nine people, correct?

- 12 A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. Do you recall that you sought her
- recommendation prior to the e-mail, as to which
- members of the group would go to Client
- Relations and which would go to Real Estate
- 17 Solutions?
- 18 A. If I asked her to prepare this?
- 19 Q. Yes.
- 20 A. I don't remember.
- Q. Let's go back to your deposition.
- 22 A. Okay.
- Q. Page 73. At your deposition, I said --
- THE COURT: Give him a moment.
- MR. SALMANSON: Line 16.

```
Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 58 of 263
              Sorry, Your Honor.
              THE WITNESS:
                             Thank you.
     BY MR. SALMANSON:
              I'm showing you what has been marked as
     P-6" --
              THE COURT: He still hasn't gotten
 6
     there yet.
 8
              MR. SALMANSON: Oh, I'm sorry.
 9
              THE WITNESS: I'm there, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: All right.
10
11
     BY MR. SALMANSON:
12
        "Q. I'm showing you what has been marked as
     P-6, which is an e-mail string, commencing with
13
     an e-mail from Wanda to you and Mr. Carp
14
15
     entitled, "Preliminary Recommendations" and a
16
     response from you back to Wanda.
17
              "Do you recall discussing with Wanda
18
     prior to this e-mail seeking recommendations as
19
     to what members of her team would go to Client
20
     Relations and which would go to Real Estate
     Solutions?"
21
22
              And your answer was,
23
              "Α.
                  Yes."
24
              Does that help refresh your
25
     recollection that you sought her recommendation
```

## Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 59 of 263

- in that regard?
- 2 A. I remember discussing it with her.
- 3 April 26th would have been an earlier
- 4 conversation. At that point, we would have been
- 5 talking about identifying employees and their
- strengths, so I guess it does help me to
- remember.
- Q. And ultimately, members of her team were
- 9 split between those two areas, correct?
- 10 A. I believe so, yes.
- 11 Q. All right. You actually generally followed
- Wanda's preliminary recommendations on how the
- team was split up, correct?
- 14 A. I don't remember. I probably did.
- 15 Q. All right. You see that Ms. Speight's name
- is on the Real Estate Solutions side, rather
- than the Client Relations side, correct?
- 18 A. I saw that, yes.
- 19 Q. Okay. At least as a preliminary
- recommendation, you actually didn't have a
- 21 problem with that, did you?
- 22 A. I don't know if I had a problem with it at
- 23 all. I would have -- based on this, I asked for
- her recommendation, so I was open to receiving
- 25 her recommendations.

## M. MCCOOL - DIRECT Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 60 of 263

- Q. Okay. And ultimately, you decided that you would rather have at least Ms. Speight on the Client Relations team, rather than on the Real Estate Solutions team, however they were split up, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. You never told anybody that Wanda's preliminary recommendations were inappropriate, did you?
- 10 A. No.

- 11 Q. And you didn't have any discussions with
- 12 Mr. Lipson about these preliminary
- 13 recommendations, did you?
- 14 A. I don't recall. I have no idea.
- Q. Okay. You don't recall Mr. Lipson objecting
- to Ms. Speight's preliminary recommendations?
- 17 A. No. I don't think Mr. Lipson would have
- been familiar with some of the names, so I don't
- 19 think he would have a basis for that.
- Q. So he wouldn't have had a basis for thinking
- 21 that her preliminary recommendations were
- 22 inappropriate?
- 23 A. I think at this time we were still trying to
- figure out what the department was doing.
- Q. All right. And so, to answer my question,

```
Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 61
     you don't think that Mr. Lipson had any basis
 1
     for assuming that her preliminary
     recommendations were inappropriate?
              MR. BANKS: Objection, Your Honor.
 5
     That calls for speculation.
 6
              THE COURT: It does, unless there was
     some exact statement made to that effect.
              MR. SALMANSON: I'll try to lay a
 8
9
     foundation, a little bit.
10
              THE COURT: Rephrase your question.
11
     BY MR. SALMANSON:
12
         Mr. Lipson was relying on you to tell him
13
     what was going on, in terms of the transition
14
     with Ms. Speight's group, correct?
15
         Part of my responsibility would have been
     that, yes.
16
17
         Okay. Do you know if anybody else was
18
     feeding Mr. Lipson that information?
19
         I don't know.
     Α.
         So, based on what you were telling him, you
20
     Q.
21
     hadn't told him anything that would lead him to
22
     believe that Mr. Lipson could conclude that
23
     Ms. Speight's preliminary recommendations were
24
     inappropriate?
25
     Α.
         Well --
```

```
Filed 03/25/09 Page 62 of 263
              THE WITNESS: Could you read that back
     to me, please?
              (Whereupon, the court reporter read
     back the last question.)
              THE WITNESS: No, I wouldn't.
 6
     BY MR. SALMANSON:
         Do you recall ever telling Mr. Lipson that
     Q.
     Ms. Speight wanted to move half of the assets
8
9
     that she was managing into Real Estate
10
     Solutions?
11
         No.
     Α.
12
         To your recollection, did Ms. Speight ever
13
     make the recommendation to move half of her
     assets into Real Estate Solutions?
14
15
     A. Half of the assets?
16
     Q. Yes.
17
        I'm not sure that I understand. Wanda's
18
     group was responsible for managing a particular
19
     facet of the assets, so, to move them, I'm not
20
     sure I understand.
     Q. Okay. Fair enough, fair enough.
21
22
              Do you recall Ms. Speight ever
23
     suggesting that the management of the assets,
24
     half of the assets, should be moved into Real
25
     Estate Solutions?
```

### M. MCCOOL - DIRECT Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 63 of 263

- A. I think we had that conversation, yes.
- Q. Okay. And do you recall whether that was her idea?
- A. I have no idea.

6

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. Was that something that was out on the table as a possibility?
- 7 A. I think, as we understood what the
  8 department did, part of that was understanding
  9 the portfolio, so it would have been part of the
  10 discussions.
- Q. Hmm. And whoever suggested the potential of moving half the management or half of the assets into Real Estate Solutions, that would have been consistent with the tenor and tone of the discussions that you were having, correct?
  - A. Yes, as I sit here today, I would say it would be. I don't remember specifically having those conversations, but I remember discussing the assets and the management thereof, so I think we would have been discussing quite a bit
- Q. Okay. Is it fair to say that there were a lot of ideas being put out on the table?
- 24 A. Yeah, I think so.

at that time.

Q. Were some of those ideas being put out on

- the table by Ms. Speight?
- A. Certainly.
- Q. And do you recall discussing with
- Ms. Speight issues related to the fact that she was short-staffed?
  - A. Do I remember discussing with her issues related to her being short-staffed?
- 8 Q. Yes.

operation.

6

- 9 A. I know we were talking about personnel at
  10 every one of our meetings, so part of the design
  11 of all of those meetings was to identify where
  12 we could bring assistance from her services
- Q. Do you recall that there had been a set of
  assets that had been asset-managed out in Denver
  that were being transferred, or had just before
  she joined her group, within a couple of weeks
  or months, been transferred into her group?
- A. I know there was a lot of movement back
  then, so I don't know if I knew the exact assets
  you were referring to at that point.
- Q. If I use the term "SPR" or "SPGR" from the loan portfolio, does that sound familiar?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Do you recall Ms. Speight keeping you

### M. MCCOOL - DIRECT Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 65 of 263

- informed about how she was going to try and reassign those assets?
  - A. Not specifically, no.
- Q. Do you ever recall being advised that she didn't believe that she had the full personnel to take all of those assets in and manage them within her own group?
- 8 A. Do I remember her saying that?
- 9 Q. Yes.
- 10 A. At one point I remember getting an e-mail to
- 11 that effect. I don't remember what time,
- 12 though.
- Q. Do you recall being advised that Mr. Carp
- had offered the assistance of Mr. Lauerman who
- worked for him and his people to assist her, at
- least in part, with that portfolio?
- 17 A. I know there was a lot of camaraderie,
- should I say, between departments to facilitate
- 19 the transition, so I do recall Tony Lauerman
- 20 being involved.
- 21 Q. If I can direct your attention to P-9.
- 22 A. (Witness complies.)
- 23 Q. Just take a minute to look through, and then
- let me know when you're ready.
- 25 A. Okay.

## M. MCCOOL - DIRECT Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 66 of 263

- Q. Now, this e-mail string relates to the orphan loan portfolio, correct?
- A. Yes, it does.
- Q. And Ms. Speight had reached out to
- Mr. Lauerman to seek his assistance in having some of his people asset-manage the orphan loan portfolio, correct?
  - A. Yes.

- 9 Q. And there was nothing wrong with her doing 10 that, correct?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. Somehow on May 4th, according to this
- e-mail, Ms. Speight writes with a CC to you and
- 14 to -- or sorry, she writes to you and to Mr.
- Carp, with a CC to a Patrick Vahey and a Nathan
- 16 Perry in Colorado, and says, "can someone please
- let us know what is happening with the SPG
- loans? Up until a few minutes ago, we were
- under the understanding that Jackie and Julie
- would be picking up these assets.
- 21 "Jackie called Nathan to tell him that
- these loans would be going to the Salt Lake City
- 23 Master Servicing staff, but that will not happen
- for awhile. If the plans have changed, please
- communicate the plan. Patrick has other plans

for Nathan and his staff, so there's no interim coverage.

"Patrick, please comment on availability. My concern is that these assets require significant hands-on coverage."

Do you recall whether, in fact, up until 11:21, or a few minutes before then, on May 4th, that Mr. Lauerman's group was picking up the Asset Management responsibilities for these assets?

- No, I don't recall that.
- 12 Q. Let me ask you a question.

6

9

10

11

21

25

13 Do Asset Management responsibilities 14 go hand in hand with risk ratings for those 15 assets?

- 16 Do Asset Management responsibilities --17 well, part of the Asset Management 18 responsibilities would do that, yes.
- So whoever had the responsibility for Asset 19 20 Management would also be responsible for doing the risk ratings of those assets, correct?
- 22 Well, yes, in the terms that we are 23 discussing today, yes. Our business uses Asset 24 Management for different things.
  - 0. In response to that e-mail, Mr. Vahey comes

back and explains that he really would prefer
Nathan Perry not to be involved in the asset
management, and says, "that being said, I don't
want to put the company at risk by neglecting
the assets. So if there's absolutely no one
else to handle, we'll figure out something else
with Nathan. Hope this helps. Please let me
know if you have any questions."

Would you agree with Mr. Vahey's assessment, that if there isn't somebody to do the risk ratings in an appropriate -- well, I don't want to put words in your mouth -- that if you neglect the assets, you could put the companies at risk?

- 15 A. So you want me to answer if we neglect 16 assets --
- 17 Q. No, no, no, no, no.
- 18 A. No, whether or not --
- 19 Q. Sorry, let me ask it again.
- 20 A. I'm sorry.

1

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

Q. That's okay. It probably won't be the first time.

Would you agree with Mr. Vahey that if the assets were neglected, that that would put the company at risk?

#### M. MCCOOL - DIRECT Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 69 of 263

- A. Well, certainly. I guess I'm trying to figure out what he means by "neglected," but, yes.
- Q. Now, Ms. Speight then chimes in back to

  Mr. Vahey and to you and to Mr. Carp, the CC to

  Mr. Perry and Mr. Lauerman, and says, "Mark and

  Mike."
- Now, "Mark," you interpret as you, I assume?
- 10 A. Yes.

1

- 11 Q. And "Mike," just because there are a lot of
- 12 Mikes between the lawyers and Mr. Lipson and
- 13 Mr. Carp, that "Mike" is Mike Carp, right?
- 14 A. That's right.
- Q. "Mike and Mark, I have attempted to be clear with you over the past 30 days that I don't have
- 17 staffing to pick up this portfolio."

statement is not correct?

- 18 Any reason to believe that that
- 20 A. Well, as of May 4th, I think Wanda had
- reported to me for three days, so I don't know
- 22 at this point if I understood what her staff was
- doing at that time, that I could agree with
- 24 that, or not.

19

Q. Had you been having conversations with

## Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 70 of 263

- Ms. Speight during the month of April about what her staffing issues were?
- A. We were having many conversations during the time after the decision was made for her department to move over to Servicing.
  - Q. And some of those discussions related to staffing, correct?
- A. They would, yes.

6

8

14

15

16

- 9 Q. Okay. Her next sentence says, "The
  10 corporation's balance sheet is at risk if
  11 there's slippage in credit quality and no one is
  12 monitoring performance. Again, please let us
  13 know what is the plan."
  - Do you agree with Ms. Speight that the corporation's balance sheet could be at risk if there's a slippage in credit quality and no one is monitoring performance?
- 18 A. If that were just a factual statement --
- 19 O. Yes.
- 20 A. -- I think that would be an accurate statement, yes.
- Q. And part of monitoring the performance is performing the risk ratings, correct?
- A. That would be part of it, yes.
- Q. It's a pretty strong statement on

### M. MCCOOL - DIRECT Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 71 of 263

- Ms. Speight's part, would you agree?
- A. Yes.

6

- Q. And you didn't have a problem with her sending this e-mail and expressing that as she did, did you?
- A. I don't recall how I felt about it at the time. I think I would have been a little surprised that she's tried to be clear with us.
- 9 Q. Would you turn to Page 102 of your 10 deposition?
- 11 A. I'm there.
- Q. Did the e-mail, in your mind, raise any
- concerns related to her performance?
- 14 A. No.
- Q. And do you recall whether you ever responded
- to Ms. Speight's question, specifically about
- these assets, when she said, "Again, please let
- us know what is the plan"?
- 19 A. If I ever responded? No, I don't think I
- 20 ever physically sent her an e-mail. I'm sure we
- 21 would have discussed it.
- 22 Q. As you sit here today, do you have any
- recollection of discussing what the plan was for
- the SPG portfolio after May 4th and prior to her
- 25 termination?

## Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 72 of 263

Specifically, as it relates to this portfolio? Q. Yes. No. Generally, yes. Α. Would you look at P-11? Q. 6 Α. (Witness complies.) Tell me when you have had a chance to look Q. through that. 8 9 Α. Okay. 10 If you would look on the first page, there's 11 an e-mail from Julie Gschwind to Ms. Speight, 12 somebody named Jackie Brome, Justin Snarponis, 13 Curt Spaugh, and Tony Lauerman. Julie writes, "Wanda, I understand Mark 14 15 McCool's group will be handling all the orphan 16 loans and that Special Servicing will be 17 transferring them in the next week to ten days. 18 "In the meantime, I understand Nathan 19 Perry is continuing to handle these loans. 20 light of this, is it appropriate for us to be trained?" 21 22 Ms. Speight forwards that e-mail, then, 23 in response to Ms. Gschwind, with a CC to you 24 and to Mr. Carp, and, among others, cc's 25 Mr. Lauerman, and he is an individual in Special

- Servicing, correct?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. And he was reporting to Mr. Carp, correct?
- A. I believe so, yes.
- Q. And to Jackie Brome and Robert Ballard.

Do you know who Robert Ballard is?

- A. Yes, I do.
- Q. What was his position at the time?
  - A. I think at the time he was Chief Credit
- 10 Officer.

6

8

- 11 Q. And Mr. Hohenleitner, who is he?
- 12 A. He worked in the Credit Department.
- 13 Q. So Mr. Ballard would have been above
- 14 Mr. Hohenleitner?
- 15 A. Yes, I believe so.
- Q. Wanda writes, "Thanks for the feedback,
- Julie. Mark/Mike," -- and I think we can agree
- that Mark is you, and Mike is Mike Carp -- "the
- 19 Credit Department will be providing training the
- 20 risk rating process. The next cycle will begin
- 21 in late May.
- "If Julie and Jackie are not the
- appropriate individuals to perform the risk
- 24 rating of the orphan SPG loans, please identify
- 25 the appropriate person(s)."

### Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 74 of 263

```
1
              Is it fair to say that, as of May 9th,
     you were aware that the SPG orphan loan
 2
     portfolio was going into Mr. Lauerman's group,
     and that some people in his group were going to
     be trained to do the risk rating?
 6
     Α.
         That it was going into Mr. Lauerman's group?
     Q.
         Right.
8
         I don't know if I do recall that.
9
         And --
     Q.
         I mean, it's in the e-mail, but I don't know
10
11
     if I agreed with it at the time.
12
         Okay. You actually then respond, and is it
13
     fair to say that you were put on notice that at
14
     least there was a thought in that regard?
15
     Α.
         Yes.
16
         In fact, you then follow-up with Ms. Speight
17
     and Mr. Carp and say, "Let's talk about this
18
                What times are you available?"
     tomorrow.
19
     Correct?
20
     Α.
        That's correct.
21
     Q.
         So you wanted to figure out whether it was
     the right thing to do to send the SPG loan
22
23
     portfolio over to Mr. Lauerman's group or
24
     somehow handle it within your group; is that
```

25

fair to say?

### Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 75 of 263

- A. Yes. Again, it was all part of the larger transition.
- Q. All right. Do you recall whether you ever had the opportunity to discuss where those orphan loans should go?
- 6 A. I'm sure we did.
- Q. All right. Do you recall that, except for the subset loans, the vast majority of the SPG portfolio actually came within Ms. Speight's
- 10 bailiwick?
- 11 A. Do I recall that?
- 12 Q. Yes.
- 13 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Okay. And this was just a small subset of
- 15 those loans, correct?
- 16 A. Yes, I believe so.
- 17 Q. In the conversations about discussing
- staffing, you learned over the course of the
- 19 time period from the end of March until her
- 20 termination that Ms. Speight had lost several
- important individuals within her group, correct?
- 22 A. I don't know the time period in which she
- lost the individuals. I know that some people
- 24 did resign from the group.
- Q. And do you recall any of their names?

#### M. MCCOOL - DIRECT Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 76 of 263

- A. Two that come to mind would be Orion Hack and Chuck Mathews.
- Q. Do you recall that Mr. Suri also left her group?
  - A. I don't know that name.
- Q. If I told you that he was the person who had been Asset Management in the Canadian loan portfolio, do you recall, even if you don't recall his name, that the person who had managed that portfolio also left her group?
- 11 A. I believe so, yes. I don't know what the 12 time frame of his departure was.
- Q. You ultimately didn't determine to whom
- 14 Ms. Speight would be reporting until May 12th;
- is that correct?
- 16 A. I think you said that date earlier. I don't
- 17 know the exact date, but it was around that
- 18 time.

- 19 Q. Okay. And do you recall having a meeting
- 20 with Ms. Speight on that date to discuss to whom
- 21 she would be reporting, and generally the
- 22 structure of the way that you determined the
- 23 transition should take place?
- 24 A. I think it might have been a phone call, but
- I remember speaking with her. I can't remember

#### M. MCCOOL - DIRECT Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 77 of 263

- if it was a meeting or a call.
- Q. Okay. Do you recall that during this time period Mr. Carp was based in Dallas, correct?
  - A. He still is, yes.
- 5 Q. All right. And so if you met with
- Ms. Speight together, you would generally -when you were talking about moving the groups
  around or how this was going to work, Mr. Carp
  and you and Ms. Speight would be on the phone
- 11 A. Um.

10

1

12 Q. Let me rephrase that, because --

calls together, correct?

- 13 A. Okay.
- Q. Mr. Carp would generally attend meetings
- with you and Ms. Speight on the phone, correct?
- 16 A. Correct, he would be on the phone.
- 17 Q. Sometimes all three of you would be on the
- phone, and sometimes you and Ms. Speight would
- 19 be face to face?
- 20 A. That is correct.
- 21 Q. And do you recall, during any of those
- meetings among the three of you, whether
- Ms. Speight ever said anything inappropriate
- or -- let's start with inappropriate.
- 25 A. I don't -- no, I don't think so. Not that I

recall.

- Q. Did she ever, during those meetings, say anything that you thought was insubordinate?
- A. No, I don't think so.
- Q. Okay. Did it seem like she was engaged in the discussions when you had those meetings?
  - A. The discussions with Michael Carp?
- 8 Q. Yes.
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Okay. Do you recall the May 12th meeting
- being a very productive meeting?
- 12 A. I don't remember that particular meeting,
- but I know we had productive meetings.
- 14 Q. Would you say that up until May 23rd -- and
- we're certainly going to get to the May 23rd
- 16 meeting --
- 17 A. I'm sure we will.
- 18 Q. -- that you basically were having productive
- dialogues with Ms. Speight and having productive
- 20 meetings?
- 21 A. At varying productivity, I would say.
- Q. All right. Now, eventually, after the
- 23 May 23rd meeting, you did have some concerns,
- correct, based on what happened in that meeting?
- 25 A. Yes.

### Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 79 of 263

- Q. And at the time, on May 23rd, either that
- 2 day or shortly thereafter, you wrote a memo to
- 3 the file to document those concerns, correct?
- A. Yes, I did.
  - Q. If you can turn to P-30.
- 6 A. (Witness complies.)
  - Q. P-30 is the memo that you created after the
- 8 May 23rd meeting, correct?
- 9 A. That is correct.
- 10 Q. And your point in writing this memo was to
- document all the concerns that you had about
- 12 Ms. Speight at that time, correct?
- 13 A. I think my point was to memorialize my
- thoughts.
- 15 Q. Okay. And your concerns?
- 16 A. That would have been part of my thoughts.
- 17 Q. "Background. Integration announced, Mike
- Lipson meets with team in Horsham, New York on
- 19 the phone. Wanda and I meet, cordial, but
- 20 superficial meeting."
- 21 Would you agree with me that the first
- time you met with Ms. Speight it was, in
- essence, to exchange pleasantries, you didn't
- 24 really talk details?
- 25 A. Yes, I would agree.

## Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 80 of 263

- Q. And when you describe it as a superficial meeting, that's not any fault on Ms. Speight's part?
  - A. No, certainly not.

- Q. Okay. It says, "Mike Carp and I meet with the team in Horsham, New York on the phone, two times. Mike and I meet with Don Irwin and Sue Morrow, a few employees individually. Mike and I meet with Chuck Mathews and Henry Yabroudy,"

  Y-A-B-R-O-U-D-Y, "reviewing employees
- individually. Meetings with Wanda continued.
- 12 Discussed with Marla the team structure and
- employee overview concerns and questions."
- Now, who is Marla?
- 15 A. That would be Marla Berger.
- Q. Okay. Marla Berger had been one of the
- people who had previously had oversight of
- 18 Wanda's group, correct?
- 19 A. Correct.
- Q. Do you recall what concerns and questions
- you discussed with Ms. Berger?
- 22 A. No. I think they would have been, you know,
- 23 what should I focus on first for the transition,
- and, you know, what are the priorities within
- 25 the department to help me come up with an

- 13 Q. Okay. It wasn't prior to May 1st, correct?
- 14 A. No, I don't believe so.
- Q. And do you recall how long that meeting
- 16 occurred?
- 17 A. The duration of the meeting?
- 18 Q. Yes.

24

25

- A. No. We had a lot to talk about, 45 minutes to an hour, maybe.
- Q. The purpose of that meeting was to try and get started on -- I think you used the term
  "granular level."

Was it to start thinking about, on a granular level, how the assignments should be

- 11
- 12
- 13
- She seemed sincere? 14 Q.
- As I sit here today, yes, I would say so. 15
- 16 Can you think of anything that happened in Q.
- 17 that May 12th meeting that would make you
- 18 question her commitment to moving forward?
- 19 Α. No.
- 20 And then it says, "You offered Wanda the Q.
- opportunity to continue to manage the Asset 21
- 22 Management team, discussed opportunities
- 23 available in Real Estate Solutions. She
- 24 accepted the position."
- 25 Now, do you recall how the discussion

```
about opportunities and Real Estate Solutions came up?
```

- A. No. I'm trying to figure out what I meant by that, but, no, I don't remember how it came up.
- Q. Do you recall whether you discussed with Ms. Speight whether maybe she could end up in Real Estate Solutions, as opposed to under your bailiwick?
- 10 A. I think at this point we had decided that it
  11 was appropriate for her to continue to manage
  12 that team with me.
- Q. And before you had told her that decision,
  there wouldn't have been anything wrong with her
  discussing the possibility of being in Real
  Estate Solutions?
- 17 A. No.

1

6

8

- Q. Now, you then set up a meeting on May 23rd.

  Well, let me stop there.
- There's nothing in this document, which
  I think you said was to document your thoughts,
  to suggest that prior to May 23rd you had
  concerns about Ms. Speight's performance?
- A. Well, there's nothing in this document, no.
- Q. And there's nothing's prior to May 23rd that

### M. MCCOOL - DIRECT Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 84 of 263

- 1 suggests that you were questioning her
- 2 commitment?
- A. In this document?
- Q. Yes.
- A. There's nothing in this document.
- Q. And there's nothing in any other document
- you wrote to the file that suggests --
- 8 A. Not to the file, no.
- 9 Q. Okay. Is there anything that you wrote to
- 10 anybody else?
- 11 A. No, I don't believe so.
- 12 Q. All right. You didn't write down in a
- 13 diary?
- 14 A. No, I don't recall.
- Q. You didn't write an e-mail to Mr. Lipson?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. You didn't write an e-mail to Mr. Carp?
- 18 A. I didn't write anything, no.
- 19 Q. You didn't write to Ms. Berger?
- 20 A. I don't think I wrote to anybody about my
- 21 concerns.
- Q. And did you ever have a discussion with
- Ms. Berger, prior to May 23rd, about Wanda's
- commitment to the transition or concerns you had
- 25 about Ms. Speight?

## Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 85 of 263

- A. With Ms. Berger?
- O. Yes.

- A. No, I don't believe so. Not with her.
- Q. You don't recall having any of those
- discussions with Mr. Carp, do you?
- 6 A. No, not offhand.
- 7 Q. All right. To what extent do you recall
- 8 having any discussions with Mr. Lipson about
- 9 your concerns relating to Ms. Speight prior to
- 10 May 23rd?
- 11 A. I remember discussing with Mr. Lipson the
- 12 fact that I was becoming increasingly concerned
- 13 about her commitment.
- 14 Q. Okay. And when do you think that you had
- 15 that conversation?
- 16 A. Mid to late May.
- 17 Q. So sometime after the May 12th meeting, or
- whenever that meeting was?
- 19 A. Correct, yes. After the May 12th meeting,
- 20 yes.
- Q. Do you know whether it was after the
- 22 May 23rd meeting?
- 23 A. It was certainly before the May 23rd
- 24 meeting.
- Q. Okay. What happened between May 12th and

#### M. MCCOOL - DIRECT Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 86 of 263

- 1 May 23rd that made you say, Mr. Lipson, I have 2 some concerns about her commitment?
- A. Well, we were having our continual meetings, our ongoing meetings, and Wanda was becoming disengaged and disinterested, I would say.
- Q. And you're saying that occurred between May 12th and May 23rd?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. How many meetings do you think you had 10 between May 12th and May 23rd?
- 11 A. It had to be -- I don't know. We were
- 12 probably talking about almost every day at that
- point.
- Q. Now, why didn't you put that down in the
- memo? You're writing the memo around May 23rd,
- 16 correct?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 Q. And this is to document your concerns about
- 19 Ms. Speight?
- 20 A. No. It was to document my thoughts on that
- 21 particular meeting that had occurred on
- 22 May 23rd.
- Q. Do you recall, prior to the May 23rd
- 24 meeting, Ms. Speight reaching out to you to ask
- 25 if there were certain things that were going to

#### M. MCCOOL - DIRECT Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 87 of 263

- 1 be on the agenda, so that she could be prepared
- 2 for them at the meeting?
- A. I recall an e-mail to that effect.
- Q. All right. If you will look at P-21.
- A. (Witness complies.)
- 6 Q. This is an e-mail from Ms. Speight to you,
- 7 with a CC to Madeline O'Brien who is your admin,
- 8 correct?
- 9 A. She was at that time, yes.
- 10 Q. And it says, "Accepted Further Drill Down on
- 11 Integration."
- The "Further Drill Down on Integration"
- was the May 23rd meeting, correct?
- 14 A. I don't know. We were having many meetings
- 15 at that time.
- 16 Q. Do you recall that the purpose of the
- 17 May 23rd meeting was to have a further drill
- down on the integration?
- 19 A. The purpose of the 23rd meeting was, yes.
- 20 Q. All right. And she says, Please let me know
- 21 the specific areas I should be prepared to
- 22 discuss." Correct?
- 23 A. I'm sorry?
- Q. She was asking you to please let her know
- 25 the specific areas she should be prepared to

```
1 discuss, correct?
```

- A. Yes. That's in the e-mail.
- Q. And do you recall whether you ever responded to the e-mail?
- A. I don't. Yeah, I don't know if this is just an automatic acceptance of the meeting plan, or if it's a separate e-mail.
- 8 Q. All right. Go back to P-14.
- 9 A. (Witness complies.)
- 10 Q. This is an e-mail string related to a
- certain set of loans. And Wanda writes to you
- on May 11th, "Subject: FW: Construction
- 13 Management Fees. Mark, there is a construction
- management. Where does this go? PLG or
- 15 Services?"
- It's true, isn't it, that you would not
- have expected Ms. Speight to not know the answer
- to that question prior to asking it of you,
- 19 correct?
- 20 A. I'm sorry, I was reading.
- Q. I'm sorry. I forgot to say let me know when you're ready.
- 23 Are you ready now?
- 24 A. I am. Sorry about that.
- Q. It says, "Mark, there's a construction

known as the Underwriting asset management

model, (UW-AM). It is populated by the

24

### Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 90 of 263

underwriter analyst during the loan approval process, then transferred to Asset Management for tracking periodic (monthly or quarterly) financial reporting and analysis. If you could e-mail a few times next week, I will set a time up."

Ms. Dooley then responds to
Ms. Speight, and Ms. Speight then responds to
Ms. Dooley.

This e-mail was basically her following up to your instructions, correct?

12 A. Yes.

1

8

9

10

- Q. And you asked her to do it, in part, so that
- 14 you could understand her group's functions and
- figure out how you could leverage the Servicing
- Department to assist her in that function,
- 17 correct?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Do you recall that, in fact, that was one of
- the things that you discussed in the meeting,
- 21 which I'll represent to you occurred on
- 22 May 12th?
- 23 A. Yes. That probably came up in the meeting.
- Q. Okay. So if she started sending the e-mail
- on May 12th at 3:24, she was doing almost an

```
Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 91 Qt<sub>1</sub>263
 1
     immediate follow-up to your meeting, correct, as
     you requested?
     Α.
         Same day, yes.
         If you could turn to P-17.
     Q.
         (Witness complies.)
     Α.
 6
     Q.
         That same day, 3:47 in the afternoon, she
     forwards to you --
 8
         I'm sorry, you don't want me to read all of
 9
     these?
     Q. No, no, sorry. We're just going to focus on
10
11
     the first page.
12
     Α.
         I'm ready then.
13
     Q. Okay. She forwards to you and to Mr. Carp a
14
     pretty big attachment -- that we have decided
15
     that you don't want to read right now, right?
16
     Α.
         Yes.
17
         It says, "Asset Manager Job Functions Doc.
18
     As we discussed, please see attached."
19
              And what is attached is a document
20
     labeled "Asset Management: Primary Job
     Functions."
21
22
              It goes through and it talks about
23
     "Asset Surveillance," "Performing Monitoring
24
     Activities, " "Special Request Activities, " Loan
25
     Maturity Activities,"
```

"Securitization/Disposition Activities," et cetera.

#### Correct?

A. Correct.

6

- Q. And this, again, was something that you had asked about, whether she could give something to you at the meeting earlier that day, correct?
- A. Yeah. I don't know if it was myself or Michael Carp that asked for it.
- 10 Q. This was a very useful document; am I correct?
- 12 A. I don't know if it was or wasn't. It was
- something that we needed to understand the
- department, so, I mean, without reviewing it, I
- can't remember if it was useful or not.
- 16 Q. Okay. But it was something that you needed
- to know in order to understand her department?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Prior to her joining your department, did
- you have any sort of understanding of what her
- 21 department did?
- 22 A. Yes, certainly.
- Q. Okay. And did you understand sort of the
- 24 nitty-gritty of how her team asset-managed
- compared to how Servicing did it?

#### M. MCCOOL - DIRECT Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 93 gt 263

- A. Yes, I would say so.
- Q. Had you ever done any risk ratings yourself?
- A. Not in -- have I done them? I've been in
  the meetings. I could do one. I don't think I
  ever had the responsibility of actually
  performing it, so I would say no.
  - Q. Have you ever had the jurisdiction over people who were in charge of doing asset risk ratings?
- 10 A. Well, Wanda's group would have been --
- 11 Q. Right. The first time.
- 12 A. Yes. Within Capmark Finance or GMAC CM.
- Q. Okay. And do you recall discussing the
- substance of the memo, as to what her group's
- functions were, after she gave you the memo?
- 16 A. That would have been part of all the
- meetings we were having, understanding the
- 18 roles.

8

- 19 Q. And you can't recall Ms. Speight being
- 20 unable to address any questions you had related
- 21 to any of the descriptions set forth in that
- 22 memo, correct?
- A. I'm sorry, could you repeat that for me?
- Q. Sure. You don't recall Ms. Speight having
- 25 the inability to address questions that arose

"A. That I don't recall. I know it was during the series of meetings that we were holding during the same period." Then later on, I said, "Q. Do you recall Ms. Speight being unable to answer any questions that anybody else 6 raised in the review of the document?" 8 And your answer was, No. 9 I thought there was a different document, a checklist-type document." 10 11 And then I think we agreed that that 12 checklist-type document was a document that was 13 later prepared on May 23rd, correct? Yeah, I recall that distinction being made. 14 15 So, at least as to any discussions that 16 arose out of the P-17 document, Ms. Speight, as 17 far as you can recall, was able to answer your 18 questions, if you had any, from your review of that document? 19 20 Yeah. I don't know that I had any questions Α. 21 on this particular document. 22 Can you turn to the next document, P-18? Q. 23 (Witness complies.) Α. 24 Let me know when you're ready. Q. 25 Α. Okay.

#### M. MCCOOL - DIRFICT Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 96 of 263

```
And this e-mail is in relation to Orion
     0.
     Hack, correct?
     Α.
         That's correct.
         And Ms. Speight is writing an e-mail on
     Q.
     May 15th to someone named Sal Tarsia,
     Ms. Berger, CC to Mr. McCool and Mr. Carp.
 6
              Mr. Hack had decided that he wanted to
8
     go into Underwriting, correct?
9
         Well, based on this, yes.
         Is that consistent with your recollection?
10
11
         I couldn't remember the department, but,
12
     yes.
13
     Q. Okay. And Ms. Speight says, "Orion just
     stopped in my office and asked if it would be
14
15
     okay to set a start date in underwriting for
16
     June 26th. No replacement has been identified
17
     at this time.
18
              "(Mark, please let me know otherwise.)
     My concerns are having coverage for the 2Q risk
19
20
     rating, (should be wrapped up by June 21st) and
21
     coverage on his loans targeted for the CDO,
22
     (early July?)"
23
              Do you agree that, as of May 15th, no
24
     replacement had been identified for Mr. Hack's
25
     portfolio?
```

#### M. MCCOOL - DIRECT Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 97 gt-263

- A. Not that I was aware of, no.
- Q. And would you have responsibility for ultimately determining who that replacement would be?
- A. I think it would be up to Wanda to make a recommendation to me, and I would probably agree or disagree.
- Q. And just so we're clear, Ms. Speight didn't actually have the power to hire a replacement on her own, correct? She didn't have the authority to do that?
- 12 A. I would agree with that, yes.
- 13 Q. So she would have had to have gotten your
- approval or somebody else's approval for the
- 15 replacement?
- 16 A. For a new hire, yes.
- 17 Q. And if it were an internal transfer of hire,
- that was a possibility, too, right?
- 19 A. It would have been a possibility, yes.
- 20 Q. Is it true that, as of May 15th,
- 21 Ms. Speight, to your recollection, no
- replacement had been identified as of May 15th?
- A. Yeah, again, not that I'm aware of.
- Q. Do you recall whether you ever told
- Ms. Speight otherwise when she said, "Mark,

- 1 please let me know otherwise"?
  - A. I doubt I would have.
- Q. Do you know whether a replacement was identified before Ms. Speight's termination on May 26th?
  - A. I have no idea.
- Q. There was nothing wrong with Ms. Speight reaching out to the individuals on this e-mail and expressing her concerns about replacement
- 10 for Mr. Hack?
- 11 A. No.

- 12 Q. Do you recall who Mr. Tarsia is?
- 13 A. He was -- I'm not sure of his title, but he
- was maybe a head underwriter, which is a person
- responsible for analyzing the loans.
- 16 Q. So he would have been in the Underwriting
- 17 Department --
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 O. -- with Mr. Hohenleitner?
- 20 A. No. It would have been -- Mr. Hohenleitner
- 21 was in the Credit Department. Underwriting is a
- team that looks at a proposal on whether or not
- we're going to make a loan.
- Q. And there's nothing wrong with her CCing the
- Underwriting Department about a staffing issue

- A. Well, no. I think if they were trying to figure out a date when Orion would move over to the group, that's fine.
- Q. So she's keeping him apprised because ultimately he's going to be getting Mr. Hack, correct?
- 8 A. I would assume so, yes.
- 9 Q. Look at P-19.
- 10 A. (Witness complies.)
- 11 Q. Let me know when you're ready.
- 12 A. Do you want me to read the attachment?
- 13 Q. You can look at it and see if you recognize
- 14 it.
- A. I do recognize it. If you were going to ask
  me specific questions, I wanted to familiarize
  myself.
- Okay. I'm ready.
- Q. The attachment is the announcement related to the creation of Real Estate Solutions as a
- 21 formal group, correct?
- 22 A. Yes, it is.
- Q. All right. And you wrote to Wanda on
- 24 May 16th, "Wanda, we decided to limit the
- announcement today to only the groups

immediately impacted by the Real Estate Solutions integration.

"There are other departments that are subject to organizational changes, such as Asset Management, those will be announced shortly.

Thanks."

Now, Asset Management was Wanda's group, correct?

- A. Yes, that's what I would have thought.
- Q. And Wanda writes, "Thanks for letting me know."
- The reason they didn't make the
  announcement on May 16th was that there were
  still a lot of issues up in the air related to
  how the organizational changes would impact
  Asset Management, correct?
- A. Well, the things were up in the air as to an understanding of what the department was doing.
- Q. And in terms of what the department was doing, that would impact how Asset Management could fulfill its functions, correct?
- 22 A. Yes.

1

8

- Q. Now, you want to go back. We're going to get into this May 23rd meeting.
- 25 A. Okay. (Witness complies.)

### Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 101 of 263

- Q. You don't recall whether you responded to her e-mail about whether there were specific areas that she should be prepared to discuss, right?
- A. Yeah, I don't recall. I'm not a prolific e-mailer, so I would have called or something.
  - Q. Do you recall whether you e-mailed or called or informed her in any way of what the subject matter was?
- 10 A. I don't know.
- 11 Q. Do you think it would have been useful to
- respond to her and let her know what the
- specific subject areas were going to be so that
- she could be prepared?
- A. Sure, which is probably why I would have
- 16 called her.
- 17 Q. Yet you don't have any recollection of doing
- 18 that?

8

- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. And right around this time, Ms. Speight lost
- 21 yet another individual, correct? I think you
- 22 mentioned Chuck Mathews.
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. If you look at P-22, it shows that
- 25 Mr. Mathews submitted his resignation on May

# 

- 19th, correct?
- A. Just give me a second.
- Q. That's actually at the bottom, if you look
- at the bottom of the first page. It's the
- e-mail from Mr. Mathews to Ms. Speight.
- 6 A. I'm sorry. There's just a lot of pages
- 7 to 19 -- or to 22. I just want to make sure.
- 8 Q. Okay.

- A. Okay. I'm sorry. The question?
- 10 Q. So Ms. Speight let you know that Mr. Mathews
- 11 had submitted his resignation on May 19th,
- 12 correct?
- 13 A. Well, based on reading this e-mail, he had
- called her to resign and then submitted it in
- writing on May 19th, so I don't know when he
- 16 actually resigned.
- Q. And she let you know, once she got the
- e-mail, that he was resigning?
- 19 A. Right. She forwarded me the e-mail.
- Q. Okay. In addition, she asked you to put the
- 21 question of who the heck was going to replace
- Mr. Mathews on to the agenda for May 23rd,
- 23 correct?
- A. I'm sorry?
- Q. Do you recall that she asked to put on the

### Filed 03/25/09 Page 103 of 263 agenda for May 23rd the issue of who is going to 1 handle the portfolio that Mr. Mathews had handled? I believe so, yes. Α. Now, also, prior to the meeting on 6 May 23rd -- if you would look at P-23. Α. Okay. -- she attaches a copy of an ERMC 8 9 presentation prepared for Credit. "You may want 10 to keep this in mind as a replacement is 11 identified." 12 And she is forwarding an e-mail from Mr. Mathews that had been sent to 13 Mr. Hohenleitner and herself and Mr. Nienas, 14 15 correct? 16 Yes. Α. 17 Was it your understanding that Mr. Mathews 18 had been handling this Apollo relationship? That's what I understood, yes. 19 Α. So she is sending an e-mail to you saying 20 Q. here's a copy of one of the things that he did 21 22 for Credit, correct, this kind of report? 23 Α. Yes. 24 And she is letting you know that this is a 25 skill that Mr. Mathews' replacement will need to

### Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 104 of 263

- have, as you start thinking about who the appropriate person is to replace him?
  - A. That's the way I took it, yeah.
  - Q. And there's nothing wrong with copying
- 5 Mr. Hohenleitner on that e-mail in terms of
- 6 discussing staffing of a replacement for that
- 7 portfolio, is there?
- 8 A. I don't think -- no, I don't know. I don't
- 9 think there's anything wrong with it. I don't
- 10 think it was necessary, but.
- 11 Q. All right. Look at P-24, and let me know
- when you're ready.
- 13 A. Okay.
- 14 Q. And Ms. Speight's forwarding Mr. Mathews'
- resignation e-mail had been sent, among others,
- 16 to Mr. Nienas, who was one of Ms. Speight's two
- team leaders, correct?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. And Mr. Nienas wrote, "Wanda, as you're
- aware, this comes as a big blow to our Asset
- 21 Management area. Chuck has been responsible for
- the condo portfolio, the Apollo relationship,
- and several other complex transactions. His
- loss will be strongly felt, and departmental
- 25 morale has already been impacted.

```
"In addition to Chuck's position, we
     have one additional position, full-time position
     that was not filled and which has been withdrawn
     from the HR system. I've attached Chuck's
     current portfolio listing. (Dan is working on
     the full portfolio breakdown.)"
              Presumably, that's the other Don, Don
     Irwin, and then he signs it "Don." Correct?
8
9
               It says "(Dan is working on the full
10
     portfolio breakdown.)"
11
         Oh, I'm sorry, "Dan." Do you know who Dan
12
     is?
13
        No, I don't know who Dan is, but I -- just
14
     for clarity.
15
     Q. You are absolutely correct.
16
              And Wanda forwards that e-mail to you,
17
     right?
18
         Yes.
     Α.
19
         And she says, "Mark, can you please add to
20
     the agenda for our meeting tomorrow the topic of
21
     Chuck's portfolio? Given the complexity and
22
     high-profile visibility, especially with
23
     reporting to Credit on the condo exposure, I
```

need your guidance on the ongoing coverage. As

noted below, Chuck handles the Apollo

24

relationship, which requires frequent interaction, the Principal Finance Underwriting team, the Red Bank Mortgage Bankers, as well as senior Apollo reps.

"Chuck functions as the primary asset manager for the CV loans and both Meg and Steve are very demanding. It will be helpful with the transition if someone is identified soon to take over his portfolio. Please let me know who that person will be."

So Ms. Speight forwarded to you an e-mail telling you, according to Mr. Nienas, that Mr. Mathews' resignation comes as a big blow to the Asset Management area, right?

15 A. Yes.

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

- Q. And you knew, because she forwarded Mr.
- Nienas' e-mail to you, that the departmental
- morale had already been impacted by his
- 19 resignation, correct?
- A. Well, reading the e-mail, it was Don's
- opinion that it been impacted, yes.
- Q. And Ms. Speight made sure that you were
  aware of Mr. Nienas's opinion by forwarding the
  e-mail on to you?
- 25 A. I guess so, yes.

### Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 107 of 263

- Q. And she asked you to put the question of the specific replacement for Chuck on the agenda the next day, right?
  - A. Yes, I did recall that before.
- Q. You don't recall whether, in fact, you got to the question of Mr. Mathews' replacement in the meeting, do you?
- 8 A. I don't know if we did or not.
- 9 Q. All right. And from this memo it sounds
  10 like she has some familiarity with Mr. Mathews'
  11 portfolio and what he was doing with it, right?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. And you didn't have any issue with her not
- understanding what Mr. Mathews' portfolio was
- like or what the importance of it was, did you?
- 16 A. I'm sorry, can you repeat that, or just read
- it back?
- 18 Q. It's okay. I'll leave it out.

  19 Let's go to P-25.
- 20 A. (Witness complies.)
- 21 Q. Now, to your recollection, do you recall
- when the May 23rd meeting took place, what time
- of day?
- A. No. I think it was early to mid-morning.
- 25 That's a guess.

### Filed 03/25/09 Page 108 of 263

- Okay. Well, at 11:17 that morning, Q. Ms. Speight is sending you an e-mail that says to Ms. Dooley, yourself, Mr. Irwin, Mr. Nienas, showing you a 2006 Q1 Financial Tracking document, correct? Α. Yes. And if you turn to P-26, a couple of minutes later she sends you another document and writes, 8 9 "Mark/Mike" -- and it's actually an e-mail to you and Mr. Carp and Ms. Dooley and 10 11 Mr. Finkenstaedt. 12 She says, "Mark/Mike, Attached are the 13 procedures which were recently revised. 14 (Carolyn Mendicino and her staff were drafting 15 these, based on PLG Asset Management input.) 16 Revisions to other policies were put on hold in late March, given the pending changes." 17

Do you recall Ms. Speight forwarding this document to you on the morning of May 23rd?

- Well, seeing it here, no, I don't remember.
- I remember seeing the documents. 21

18

19

20

22 Q. And if the meeting was first thing in the 23 morning, then, the last two e-mails we saw were 24 following up on the meeting. And if it was 25 after this, she is sending this in preparation

### Filed 03/25/09 Page 109 of 263 of the meeting, correct? Α. I would agree. Q. Let's go to P-27. (Witness complies.) Α. I will ask you to take the time to look at 6 the document, because I think it's actually two separate documents. If you see the first couple 8 of pages have functions listed without any 9 columns to the left, and then after you get through to the end there's what's, in essence, 10 11 the same document with some functions filled in. 12 Do you see the distinction between them?

13

14 Α. I do.

- 15 Now, this was the document that was created 16 in preparation for the May 23rd meeting, 17 correct?
- 18 Yeah. I believe it was -- I don't know if it was specifically for the 23rd, but this is 19 20 what we were getting to. With all the meetings 21 that we were having, ultimately this is what 22 we're looking for.
- 23 The meeting has been described, I believe, 24 by you, in P-30. It says the "purpose of the 25 meeting was to continue the discussion held the

referring to is probably the first part of

adaptation to that document.

Exhibit 27, and then the second part was Clare's

Well, let's try and be clear on that.

22

23

24

25

Ο.

### Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 111 of 263

```
If you look at P-27, I think the first
     couple of pages is actually Clare's adaptation
     that was created prior to the meeting, and then
     when it's filled in that's what got filled in as
     a result of the meeting. Does that make sense?
 6
         I don't know if that's -- I don't recall if
     that's what happened, or if this is just leading
     up to this meeting. I don't recall which one
8
9
     came first or last.
         If you look at the e-mail that Clare wrote,
10
11
     she writes -- there's an attachment --
12
     Α.
         Where?
13
     Q.
       I'm sorry, on P-27.
14
     Α.
        Okay.
15
         It says, "Attachments: Job Functions
     5.22.06 x/s."
16
17
              She says, "Attached is -- and it's
18
     dated May 24th -- it says, "Attached is the list
     we discussed yesterday. Please review and let
19
20
     me know if you have any changes/corrections.
21
     Thank you, Clare Dooley."
```

So does that help refresh your recollection

of the second document that's behind this is the

version that was filled in as a result of the

22

23

24

25

Α.

Okay.

### Filed 03/25/09 Page 112 of 263 discussions that you had on May 23rd? I guess it does. I don't recall. Α. Now, the meeting was among four people, correct --Yes. Α. -- you, Clare Dooley, Ned Finkenstaedt, and 6 Wanda? 8 Correct. Α. 9 Do you recall how long that meeting lasted? Q. 10 About an hour. Α. 11 Q. Could it have been two? 12 I don't think it would have been two, but it 13 could have been a little over an hour. 14 Q. And do you recall going through this 15 spreadsheet, line by line, and trying to figure 16 out what group should be assigned to which task? 17 Α. Yes, I do. 18 Now, that was a fairly tedious process, wasn't it? 19 20 Uh-huh. Yes. Yes, I'm sorry. Α. 21 Learn from your deposition. Q. 22 And Ms. Speight was able to contribute 23 at the level that you were able to fill in all 24 the assignments, correct, at least on a 25 temporary basis?

### Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 113 pt 263

- A. That's why I'm having trouble with
  remembering when this was actually created,
  because we had been having a series of meetings.
  All of those meetings were designed to identify
  task responsibilities. So I don't -- we went
  line by line, but many of them were, yeah,
  agree, I agree, I agree. So tedious, but
  efficient.
  - Q. If you look at D -- starting with D010932.
- 10 A. Okay.

- 11 Q. Starting on that version of the document,
- there's a column on the left labeled "Proposed"
- 13 Department." Right?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And those are all filled in, right?
- If you go from there to the end of the
- 17 document --
- 18 A. Okay.
- 19 Q. -- you would agree with me that there's
- 20 nothing left on the table as a result of the
- 21 meeting you had on May 23rd, in terms of
- 22 proposed departments?
- A. No, I don't know that I agree with you.
- Q. All right. Well, do you see any blanks on
- 25 the document in terms of where there's a

### Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 114 of 263

- 1 proposed department?
- A. Well, I see inserted comments, and at least
- one question mark as I look through.
- Q. But those are not on which department should
- be handling the job, right?
- 6 A. The one question mark is. That's the only
- one I see.
- 8 Q. Where's that's?
- 9 A. D010934.
- 10 Q. And the question mark you have is "CAG?"
- 11 Correct?
- 12 A. No. It's the fifth item from the bottom,
- 13 sixth item.
- Q. Oh, I see. "AM-LB?" is what you're talking
- about?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- 17 Q. And "AM" was Asset Management?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And what was "LB"?
- 20 A. Loan Boarding.
- 21 Q. That was the only assignment that you were
- 22 still talking about where it should go, correct?
- 23 A. Based on this worksheet, yes.
- 24 Q. And in order to make the assignments, you
- 25 needed Ms. Speight's input, correct?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And in order to provide that input in a meaningful way to make the assignments, she had to have a working knowledge of what her department did, right?
- 6 A. Yes.
- Q. And as far as you're concerned, she exhibited that working knowledge in that meeting for the most part?
- 10 A. No.
- Q. She didn't exhibit a working knowledge of the department?
- 13 A. No, I don't believe she did.
- 14 Q. If she didn't exhibit a working knowledge of
- 15 the department, how are you able to make all of
- 16 these assignments?
- 17 A. Well, the people who were in the meeting
- with me, Ned Finkenstaedt, Clare Dooley, myself,
- and Wanda, between all of us we had a very sound
- 20 understanding of Servicing and Asset Management,
- 21 so we were able to come to conclusions as a
- team.
- Q. From March to May, end of March to May, in
- order to gain an understanding of what
- Ms. Speight's group did at a granular level, you

- needed her input, right? You testified to that earlier today?
  - A. I don't know about the time limit.
- Q. Well, not before she started, right? Before she started talking to you at the end of March, you hadn't had any discussions about what Asset Management did at a granular level, right?
  - A. Not with Wanda, no.
    - Q. With anybody else?
- 10 A. Not that I recall, no.
- 11 Q. And Mr. Finkenstaedt hadn't been part of the
- 12 Asset Management Group, of Wanda's group, right?
- 13 A. No, he was not part of that group.
- 14 Q. You wouldn't expect him to have an
- understanding at a granular level of what the
- 16 Asset Management functions were?
- 17 A. I think he was very familiar with what asset
- 18 managers do.

8

- 19 Q. The way that Wanda's group did them, or
- asset managers in other departments?
- 21 A. Asset Management, generally.
- Q. Did you understand that the type of Asset
- 23 Management that Wanda did in the Proprietary
- Lending Group differed in many significant
- respects from how Asset Management was done

MR. SALMANSON: I'm going to get to the

didn't exhibit a working knowledge about a

```
particular function or set of functions, not
 1
     overall, correct?
     Α.
         I thought that's what I answered.
         All right. And do you recall the specific
     Q.
     function that you were talking about?
 6
     Α.
         A particular function?
     Q.
       Yes.
         No. I think my comments were that they were
 8
     generally speaking. She didn't understand them.
9
         All right. Well, that's what I'm trying to
10
11
     get clear. I think Mr. Banks legitimately
12
     thinks that I misread the e-mail because there's
13
     an ambiguity here as to whether you're saying
14
     she didn't have any working knowledge of her
15
     department as a whole, or she didn't have any
16
     working knowledge of her department when asked
17
     about her particular functions.
18
              In other words, were there a couple of
     things that she was less certain of, or was it
19
20
     as a whole, I don't know what my department is?
21
              MR. BANKS: Objection.
22
              THE COURT: It is a compound question,
23
     counsel.
24
              MR. SALMANSON:
                              Okay.
25
```

THE COURT: Why don't you break it up,

```
counsel?
              MR. SALMANSON: Fair enough.
     BY MR. SALMANSON:
         Can you tell me, in writing the sentence,
     what your intent was trying to express?
 6
         Well, the meeting was designed to be a very
     granular meeting. So when discussing the
     various functions, my recollection is that she
8
9
     was not able to answer any questions related to
     those functions, so I would rely on others in
10
11
     the group.
12
         But that wasn't true through the entire
13
     meeting, right? That was just at specific times
     within the meeting?
14
                I'm not saying that she didn't
15
         Yeah.
16
     understand one thing.
17
         That's all I'm trying to get to.
     Q.
18
              The purpose of creating P-30 was to
19
     make sure that you memorialized all of your
20
     concerns about Ms. Speight's performance up
21
     until that time, correct?
22
        No.
     Α.
23
        No?
     Q.
24
        No.
     Α.
25
     Q.
        If you would look at Page 156 of your
```

Filed 03/25/09 Page 120 of 263

All of your concerns.

#### M. MCCOOT. — DTRECT Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 122.05, 263

- A. Well, as I sit here today, and as I sat
  there in the deposition a year ago, the idea was
  to memorialize my concerns about the meeting on
  May 23rd.
  - Q. That's not what you said in your deposition a year ago, right?
  - A. Just give me a second to read the questions that are leading up to this.
- 9 Q. Sure.

6

- 10 A. Well, you know, all I can say is that when I
- look at this and the reason behind me writing
- that up was based on that particular meeting.
- 13 Q. Well, that was the trigger for why you wrote
- 14 it up, right?
- 15 A. What? The meeting was the trigger?
- 16 Q. Yes.
- 17 A. Yes. The outcome of the meeting caused me
- 18 to write this.
- 19 Q. But the purpose of the meeting was to
- document all of your concerns right up until
- 21 that date, until the day you wrote that memo,
- 22 right?
- MR. BANKS: Objection, Your Honor.
- 24 This has been asked and answered.
- THE COURT: We'll allow it one last

```
Filed 03/25/09 Page 124.01, 263
     Resources for their input, correct?
         Eventually I did, yes.
     Α.
     Q. And when you did that, it was prior to what
     we call -- if I refer to the risk rating e-mail,
     you'll know what I'm talking about, the May 25th
 6
     e-mail?
     Α.
         Yes.
     Q. You forwarded it to Human Resources prior to
8
9
     getting the risk rating e-mail, correct?
10
         I believe I did, yes.
11
         All right. You asked for some feedback from
     Q.
12
     them, correct?
13
        Of course.
     Α.
         In fact, you forwarded it to Mr. Fogle?
14
     Q.
15
         I believe I did, yes.
     Α.
16
         And Mr. Fogle gave you some feedback,
17
     correct?
18
         Yes.
     Α.
19
              MR. BANKS: Can I ask what exhibit is
20
     being shown?
21
                               It's your D-20, our
              MR. SALMANSON:
            That was Salmanson.
22
     P-32.
23
              THE WITNESS: Which one should I look
24
     at?
```

MR. SALMANSON: P-32 is fine.

```
MR. BANKS:
                           Is it D-21, possibly?
              MR. SALMANSON: Your D.
     BY MR. SALMANSON:
         D-20 is actually P-30, so you can take a
     look at P-30, if you want.
 6
     Α.
         P - 30?
     Q.
         Yes.
8
         P-30 is my memo.
     Α.
9
         And if you turn to the second page, somebody
     Q.
     has stuck in a comment, correct?
10
11
     Α.
         Yes.
12
         It's comment JCF-1, right?
     Q.
13
     Α.
         Yes.
         And do you know who JCF-1 is?
14
     Q.
15
     Α.
         Well, I would assume it's John Fogle.
16
         John Fogle is in Human Resources, right?
     Q.
17
         He was at the time.
     Α.
18
         In the paragraph, after "You will have to
     Q.
19
     ask them, "you wrote, "Today, May 24th, 2006, I
     received follow-up items from Wanda, as we
20
21
     discussed yesterday; at this time, I'm convinced
22
     Wanda is providing this information to portray a
23
     sense of cooperation, which simply does not
24
     exist."
25
              And Mr. Fogle wrote a comment to you
```

Filed 03/25/09 Page 125 of 263

"Today, May 24th, 2006, I received

Wanda following up, right?

24

follow-up items from Wanda, as we discussed yesterday; at the time, I'm convinced Wanda is providing this information."

Do you agree that "this information" refers to the follow-up items?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So, now, with that understanding, do you believe that the information that
- 9 Ms. Speight provided to you as a result of the 10 meeting was thorough or not thorough?
- 11 A. I have no idea.
- 12 Q. Do you recall that she provided a whole
- bunch of reports to you as a result of the
- 14 meeting?
- 15 A. Yes. I remember receiving information.
- 16 Q. In fact, Ms. Dooley had set out a bunch of
- action items for Ms. Speight to follow-up on,
- 18 correct?
- 19 A. Yes, I think so.
- Q. And those were also reflected in the
- 21 spreadsheet. There were little comments that
- said "Wanda to provide a report," correct?
- 23 A. That's my recollection.
- Q. Okay. And were you able to elaborate on why
- you reached that conclusion as objectively as

- I think I modified the memo at one point. Α.
- Q. If you look at P-33.
  - (Witness complies.) Α.
- If you look on the second page, if you can find the same paragraph.
  - Α. Yes.

6

- You wrote, "On May 24th, 2006, I received 8 9 follow-up items from Wanda, as we had discussed 10 during Tuesday's meeting; at this time, however,
- 11 I am convinced Wanda is providing this
- 12 information to portray a sense of cooperation,
- 13 which, given her demeanor in the meeting, simply
- does not exist." 14
  - Correct?
- 16 Yes, I see that. Α.
- 17 And so what you had done was Mr. Fogle asked
- 18 you for something, as objectively as possible,
- to put forth your views of why she appeared to 19
- 20 just be going through the motions on the day
- 21 after the meeting, and you referred back to the
- 22 demeanor of the meeting itself, correct?
- 23 Α. Yes.
- 24 And that was your response to him asking you
- 25 to set forth, as objectively as possible, why

you thought she was going through the motions?

- A. I don't know if it was done in response to John Fogle's comment, or if it was just further edits by me.
- Q. Okay. Now, do you recall discussing with Human Resources the contents of this e-mail?
- A. Yes.
- Q. At that point, you all agreed whatever
  happened in the May 23rd meeting wasn't going to
  result in any sort of discipline to Wanda,
- 11 correct?
- 12 A. We agreed it would not result in discipline?
- 13 Q. Right.
- 14 A. I don't know if we had agreed on that at
- 15 that point, or not.
- 16 Q. At some point you agreed that you should
- have a sit-down with Wanda, right --
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. -- and talk about what happened in the
- 20 May 23rd meeting, correct?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. And didn't you all agree that that sit-down
- was not going to be disciplinary in nature?
- 24 A. I don't think we agreed to anything at that
- point. I think we agreed we had to sit down and

talk to her.

- Q. Did you think that the meeting would result in her being given any sort of warning?
- A. I don't know what I thought at the time. I
  was -- I needed to speak to her and understand
  what was going on.
  - Q. You wanted to know why she was unhappy?
- 8 A. I guess I wanted to know why she was acting 9 the way that she was.
- Q. Were her actions in that May 23rd meeting surprising to you?
- 12 A. Very.
- Q. Was it inconsistent with whatever you knew about Wanda before she joined you?
- 15 A. You know, I didn't really know Wanda before 16 she was transferred over to Services.
- Q. Did you think to talk to Ms. Berger about
  whether Wanda had engaged in such behavior back
  when she was reporting to Ms. Berger?
- 20 A. I don't think so. I don't know.
- Q. Did you think to look at her prior
- 22 performance evaluation to see if this was
- 23 something that had been a problem in the past?
- 24 A. I don't think so.
- Q. In fact, prior to her termination, did you

- ever look at her prior performance evaluations?
- A. I don't think I did.
- Q. Did you know what kind of ratings she had gotten?
  - A. No, I did not.
- Q. Do you know if she acted inappropriately in this meeting, whether she had ever previously been disciplined for inappropriate activities along these lines in the past?
- 10 A. I'm not aware of it.
- 11 Q. Did you have discussions with Mr. Fogle
- about whether this was indicative of prior
- 13 behavior?
- 14 A. I don't think so.
- 15 Q. Whatever happened in the May 23rd meeting
- wasn't enough to merit termination, correct?
- A. I don't think -- at that point, I hadn't
- decided or the decision hadn't been made.
- 19 Q. And you weren't asking Human Resources to
- 20 have Ms. Speight terminated as a result of what
- 21 happened in the May 23rd meeting?
- 22 A. No.
- Q. Let's actually talk about this. We have sort of been dancing around it.
- What exactly happened in the May 23rd

meeting that set off the alarms? And I want to focus on what Ms. Speight said that got you upset. My understanding is that there were basically two sets of comments.

Let's deal with -- do you recall you had discussions with her about the morale of her group?

A. I do.

1

6

8

9

10

20

21

- Q. And her response was, "You have to ask them."
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. And did you think that was inappropriate?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Why?
- A. Well, looking back, she had forwarded me the
  e-mail about Chuck Mathews. I was asking -- I
  was asking the manager of a department whether
  or not there were morale issues in that
  department.
  - And again, I'm still surprised, as I sit here today, at the comment that I would have to ask them.
- Q. Well, she had suggested to you or put you on notice that there had been morale issues in the department related to the resignations, right,

as we saw in the earlier e-mail? She forwarded Mr. Nienas' e-mail.

A. I'm sorry. I would say she forwarded an e-mail to me. She and I -- at that point, I don't believe we had ever spoken about it.

And I was -- you know, as a manager, I was curious, concerned. It was a legitimate question on my behalf.

- Q. And she had multiple discussions with you about morale in her department in the past, correct?
- 12 A. I don't know that we had.

6

8

9

10

11

15

morale?

- Q. You don't recall that she specifically asked you to address her group in order to enhance
- A. I think the context of that discussion was they didn't know who I was, and it was
- appropriate that I would spend some time with them, so we had those meetings.
- Q. And part of that was to make them feel comfortable with the transition?
- A. Yes. I would make a distinction between comfort with transition to morale, though.
- Q. Do you recall Ms. Speight specifically told you that Mr. Mathews' resignation came as a

reasons. It's not always because they are unhappy.

And then if it did come as a surprise to her, I would have expected that she would have reached out to her department to gain an understanding of whether or not there was an issue.

So for her to respond to me in the way that she did was surprising.

#### 10 BY MR. SALMANSON:

- 11 Q. Well, if at that moment that you asked the
- question, how's the morale in your department,
- she felt like she didn't have a handle on it,
- wouldn't it have been appropriate for her to
- say, "I don't know. You're going to have to ask
- 16 them"?

- 17 A. No, I don't think that would be appropriate.
- 18 Q. Because she should know?
- 19 A. Well, I would say as the manager of the
- department, she would either know or take
- 21 responsibility to get an understanding of it,
- not just I don't know and I don't care.
- Q. No, she didn't say "I don't care," did she?
- A. No, but you're asking for my opinion.
- Q. Right. No, but the question is, if she

```
Filed 03/25/09 Page 136 of 263
     didn't know, the response was, "I don't know.
 1
     You'll have to ask." Right?
              She didn't say, I don't care what the
     morale is, right?
         That was her response, yes.
 6
     Q.
         "I don't know. You'll have to ask."
     Correct? And if she didn't know, that's a fair
     response, right?
8
9
         No, I don't think it is.
         Do you know whether she knew what the morale
10
11
     was of her department?
12
     Α.
              That's why I asked if there were any
13
     morale issues.
         Do you think she was being insubordinate
14
15
     when she said "I don't know"?
     A. When she said "I don't know," no, I would
16
```

"You'll have to ask them," yes, I would

Why do you think that is insubordinate?

Again, the way I received that comment was

that I don't know and I don't care. If you want

Q. So you inferred from what she was saying, I

to know, go and ask them yourself.

don't know, I don't care?

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q.

say probably not.

say she should have.

# Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 137 of 263

- 1 A. Yes. The language and the body language, if you will.
- Q. I want to be very clear. She never said I don't know and I don't care to you?
  - A. That's correct. Not that I recall.
- Q. Now, the other comment that she made was that at some point you asked for her opinion about a particular task, correct?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And her response was allegedly something
- along the lines, "My opinion doesn't count,"
- 12 correct?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And what was your response when she said
- 15 that?
- 16 A. I don't know if I had a direct response to
- 17 that comment.
- Q. Do you recall whether you followed up in any
- way to try to convince Ms. Speight that her
- 20 opinion did, in fact, count?
- 21 A. Well, I just asked her her opinion, so I
- would think that that would indicate that I did.
- 23 I wanted to know what that was.
- There were -- so I don't know.
- Q. You don't recall whether you tried to

```
encourage Ms. Speight after she made the comment to say, "Ms. Speight, you're opinion does count.
```

- Please let me know. What are you thinking?"
- A. I don't recall that.
- Q. Do you recall any time after May 23rd saying to her, "I want you to know I value your opinion, and I would appreciate it if you share it with me?"
- 9 A. Specifically that, no, I don't remember 10 that.
- Q. Was it part of your intent, when you set up the meeting to discuss the May 23rd meeting with Human Resources, to let Ms. Speight know that
- her opinion was going to be valued going
- 15 forward?

- 16 A. Yeah, that I -- I'm reading the thing here,
- too, and I recall saying or at least thinking
- that we believe in her abilities, and that's why
- we had offered her the position to begin with.
- Q. And as part of believing in her abilities,
- you were looking to her opinion and you hoped to
- value it, correct?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Now, one of the things that you discussed was the possibility of Ms. Speight, instead of

# Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 139 of 263

- reporting to you, reporting to Mr. Finkenstaedt, correct?
  - A. Yes, that's correct.

1

6

8

9

10

11

22

23

- Q. You had those discussions with HR?
- A. Yes, I believe I did.
  - Q. What was your thought process in terms of having her report to Mr. Finkenstaedt instead of to you?
- A. Ned was -- well, to say he had more time wouldn't be accurate. He was busy, too. We were all busy.
- I think gaining the level of

  understanding that I had, I believe that Wanda

  and her group needed closer supervision than I

  was probably capable of doing, so I wanted her

  to have the availability to work with Ned.
- Q. And eventually Mr. Fogle convinced you that that was not a good idea, correct?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And do you recall what his rationale was?
- 21 A. No, I don't.
  - MR. SALMANSON: Your Honor, we'll be moving into the risk rating e-mail. I don't know if you want to break or go into that topic.
- THE COURT: We'll take our lunch break

```
Filed 03/25/09 Page 140 of 263
     now, and we'll pick up this afternoon.
              Lady and gentlemen, we're going to
     adjourn for lunch now. We'll be in recess until
     1:30.
              Please do not talk about the case among
     yourselves or with others.
 6
              Enjoy your lunch today.
              You're excused.
 8
 9
               (Luncheon recess was held at
     12:30 p.m.)
10
11
               (The Court resumed the proceedings at
12
     1:30 p.m., at which time the jury entered the
13
     courtroom.)
14
              THE COURT: Good afternoon, lady and
15
     gentlemen.
              You may proceed, counsel.
16
17
     BY MR. SALMANSON:
18
         I want to make sure that I clarify two
19
     things from your testimony this morning before
20
     we move on to the risk rating memo.
21
              You testified that the concerns you
22
     were starting to have started to manifest
23
     themselves after that May 12th meeting or
24
     whatever the date of that meeting was, correct,
25
     from May 12th to May 23rd?
```

#### M. MCCOOL - DIRECT Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 141 of 263

- A. Yes, it was in that time frame.
- Q. And during that time frame, you said that
  you had a number of meetings and interactions
  with Ms. Speight that manifested in which those
  concerns started to manifest themselves?
  - A. Yes, I believe so.
  - Q. Can you estimate for me how many meetings you think you had in that time period?
- 9 A. I don't know if I could.

10 Meetings or interactions?

- 11 Q. Let's say interactions.
- 12 A. Many.

- 13 Q. Several a day or daily?
- A. No. It would probably be maybe one or two a
- 15 day, that's all.
- Q. And you generally didn't interact with her
- on the weekends, did you?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. And do you recall between May 12th and
- 20 May 23rd, whether you were interacting in person
- or on the phone?
- 22 A. I believe it would have been both.
- Q. Do you have a sense of whether the in-person
- interactions or the telephonic interactions were
- giving you the increased concern, or both?

# Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 142 of 263

- A. I would say both.
- Q. Do you recall how many in-person
- 3 interactions you had with her between May 12th
- and May 23rd?
- A. No, I don't.
- Q. Do you recall whether you even had any?
- 7 A. I can't recall any meetings. I know we had
- 8 been speaking frequently at that point.
- 9 Q. Turn your attention to P-15.
- 10 A. (Witness complies.)
- 11 Q. Do you recall that May 12th was a Friday,
- 12 correct?
- 13 A. Yes. I see that on the memo.
- 14 Q. So that was the date that you had the
- meeting with Ms. Speight.
- You didn't talk to Ms. Speight, as far
- as you know, over the weekend? You didn't
- 18 typically do that?
- 19 A. I don't think I would have.
- Q. Do you recognize the document that's set
- 21 forth in P-15?
- 22 A. Yes. This is a schedule that's prepared
- often.
- Q. And, in particular, this schedule shows your
- 25 schedule from May 15th to May 26th, correct?

# 

A. Yes.

- Q. So May 15th, Monday, the first working day
  that you had to interact with Ms. Speight, you
  were in the office that day, correct, according
  to this calendar?
  - A. According to the schedule, yes, I was scheduled to be in the office that day.
- Q. And on May 16th there's a plus sign.
  Do you know what that means?
- 10 A. Yes. That would indicate the previous cell 11 or office. That I was in the office, yes.
- 12 Q. So you were in the office on Monday,
- 13 Tuesday, and Wednesday, so you had three days to
- interact with Ms. Speight on those dates,
- 15 correct?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. But then until May 23rd, you didn't have the
- opportunity to interact with her again, did you?
- 19 A. No, I don't agree with that.
- Q. Well, what does the calendar say for
- 21 May 18th?
- 22 A. The schedule says that it's "PTO."
- Q. That stands for paid time off?
- A. Correct.
- Q. You were, in fact, on paid time off on

- 1 Thursday, May 18th, correct?
  - A. I have no idea whether I was or wasn't.
- Q. Do you have any reason to believe that you were not on paid time off on that day?
- A. Very often I schedule time off, but I'm not able to take it, so I have no idea if I was in that day or not.
- Q. The next day it also shows you being on paid time off, right?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. So that would be Friday. In fact, it
- continued until Monday, May 22nd, on paid time
- off, right?
- 14 A. On the schedule, yes.
- 15 Q. You didn't return to the office until
- May 23rd, the day of the May 23rd meeting,
- according to the schedule, correct?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And do you agree with me that when you're on
- 20 paid time off, you try to honor that time and
- 21 not do business things?
- 22 A. No.
- Q. Do you recall what your view was in terms
- of -- or what you had planned to do in May of
- 25 2006 with your paid time off?

### Filed 03/25/09 Page 145 of 263 I have no recollection. Α. Do you recall having any discussions with 0. Ms. Speight about the fact that you were going to be out of the office for several days leading up to the May 23rd meeting? 6 Α. I don't know. The only other question is, In the May 23rd Q. meeting, it was you and Speight, 8 9 Mr. Finkenstaedt, and Ms. Dooley. I'll represent to you -- and it's in 10 11 Mr. Finkenstaedt's deposition on Page 51. 12 Α. Page 51? Q. Yes. It's Mr. Finkenstaedt's deposition. 13 14 I'll give the counsel the line. 15 MR. SALMANSON: It's actually starting on Page 50, leading into Page 51. 16 17 BY MR. SALMANSON: 18 There was a discussion about his recollection of the interaction between you and 19 20 Wanda at the meeting. 21 And he says, "After she made the comment" --22 23 MR. BANKS: Objection. I don't think 24 there's a basis to read another witness' 25 testimony.

```
Filed 03/25/09 Page 146 of 263
              MR. SALMANSON: I'm trying to refresh
     his recollection, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: How are you refreshing his
     recollection as to the testimony?
              MR. SALMANSON: Mr. Finkenstaedt
 6
     testified as to something that he recalled
     Mr. McCool saying in reaction to the meeting,
     and I just want to see if that refreshes his
 8
     recollection.
9
10
              THE COURT: I'm going to overrule your
11
     objection. It's premature. We haven't heard
12
     the question yet.
13
              Proceed with your question.
              And hold off answering it, until I have
14
15
     ruled on it.
16
              THE WITNESS: Okay.
17
     BY MR. SALMANSON:
18
         The leading was that Mr. Finkenstaedt
19
     described you requesting solicitor
20
     recommendation from Wanda -- I'm sorry, it's --
21
     we're now on Page 50 at the bottom, Line 21. I
22
     say,
23
              "Q.
                   And if you could describe what you
24
     recall.
25
                   I recall that there was -- I
              "A.
```

generally recall that there was a discussion about business practices and overlaps in functional responsibilities and I recall Mr. McCool soliciting recommendations.

"I recall Mr. -- I recall Mark

requesting — soliciting a recommendation from Wanda as to her opinion on a practice. I don't recall what practice it was, and I recall her response, something to the effect of, her opinion — she felt her opinion didn't matter, and that management was going to do whatever they wanted anyway."

And then I asked,

"Q. Okay. And what happened next?"

And then, Your Honor, he says what Mr. McCool's response was, and that's what I would like to refresh his recollection on.

THE COURT: So you want to ask the question, Did you say this at this particular time?

MR. SALMANSON: Right. Or does this help refresh your recollection that you said this?

MR. BANKS: I'll withdraw the objection as to the next answer, Your Honor.

Objections to Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories."

MR. SALMANSON: Your Honor, I don't know whether it's appropriate to tell the jury what Interrogatories are or --

THE COURT: Is that your request?

MR. SALMANSON: Yes, please.

THE COURT: Very well. Lady and gentlemen, the particular document is an

Interrogatory.

An Interrogatory is a form or a question that is presented to the opposing side for their response or statement in relation to the question that's contained in that Interrogatory. These are affirmed or sworn to as their position or their response to the question that is posed in the Interrogatories.

The plaintiff sends theirs to the defendant, and the defendant then in return sends theirs to the plaintiff for responses to particular questions and aspects of the discovery process in the case as it's beginning, at the very outset of the case, so that they know where to pursue their discovery in the case.

rating e-mail, correct?

- A. I believe so, yes.
- Q. So as far as you know, those are the only two ways in which she was unprofessional, uncooperative and disrespectful, which were the only factors that led to her termination?
  - A. Yes.

8

9

10

11

16

17

21

22

- Q. So you would agree with me that whatever concerns you allegedly had between May 12th and May 23rd had nothing to do with the reasons for her termination, as far as you knew?
- A. I think the manner in which she was
  exhibiting her disinterest was all leading up to
  her demeanor in the May 23rd meeting, so you
  think it's all part of it.
  - So I don't know that I agree with your statement.
- Q. Well, I asked you, let's go to your
  deposition. Look at Pages 42 to 44 of your
  deposition. You can read it as a whole.
  - I asked you to tell me all the ways in which you thought that Ms. Speight was unprofessional, uncooperative and disrespectful.
- 24 A. Okay.
- Q. Why don't you take the time to read that.

```
Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 153 of 263
     things in there which I think you can comment
     on.
              He says, "Although she had already
     commented in e-mails about" --
              MR. BANKS: Can I get a page number,
 6
     please?
              MR. SALMANSON: Sure, Page 8.
8
              MR. BANKS: Thank you.
9
              MR. SALMANSON: Line 18.
10
     BY MR. SALMANSON:
11
     Q. Mr. Banks said, "Well, although she had
12
     already commented in e-mails about knowing that
13
     people in her group were not unhappy, not to
     McCool" --
14
15
              MR. BANKS: Excuse me, Mr. Salmanson?
              MR. SALMANSON: Yes?
16
17
              MR. BANKS: Page 8 on my copy is Judge
18
     Joyner's preliminary comments.
19
              MR. SALMANSON: This is just your
20
     opening.
21
              MR. BANKS: Oh, so you don't know where
22
     it comes in at.
23
              MR. SALMANSON: Do you just want to
24
     read along?
25
              MR. BANKS: Just bear with me for a
```

she forwarded the e-mail, other than to deliver

So she had informed you, at least once by

the e-mail to me, but, yeah.

e-mail, that her group was unhappy?

22

23

24

#### M. MCCOOL - DIRECT Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 155 of 263

- A. I don't know if I would say it that way.
- Q. Okay. The other thing is that this morning you were talking about the purpose of getting HR involved.

And he said, "After the May 23rd meeting, so, as Mr. McCool will tell you and all the evidence will show, there was no plan to terminate her, there was no plan to discipline her. He did the right thing. He went to the Human Resources organization and he said, come help me. Help me. Come talk to Ms. Speight so that she can be a valuable member of our team, so that she can lead her group in bringing these departments together and earn what we are paying her."

This morning I asked you whether you thought the intent of going to HR was not for discipline, and you said that you hadn't made that determination yet, right?

- A. Yes, I believe that's what I said.
- Q. So do you disagree with the characterization in any way of what Mr. Banks said?
- A. Not at all, no. It's more eloquent than I would be, but, you know.
- 25 Q. Okay.

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Now, prior to May 25th, had you had discussions about the Canadian loan portfolio with Ms. Speight? I don't remember if I had discussions with her or not about that portfolio. Again, we were talking all the time about a lot of different things. Do you recall whether prior to May 25th you had been made aware that Mr. Lauerman had offered a helping hand specifically with the Canadian loan portfolio? Α. Yes. I recall e-mails about that. Q. at some point, Ms. Speight had arranged with

- 12
- 13 So was it your understanding that, at least
- 14
- 15 Mr. Lauerman to have his people asset-manage the
- 16 Canadian loan portfolio?
- 17 Okay. Whether Mr. Lauerman had arranged for
- 18 his employees to asset-manage?
- 19 No, whether Ms. Speight had arranged with
- 20 Mr. Lauerman to have Mr. Lauerman's people
- 21 asset-manage part or all of the Canadian loan
- 22 portfolio?

8

9

10

- 23 I recall there being discussion, but the
- 24 asset management of that portfolio would have
- 25 been in Wanda's group's responsibilities.

### Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 15/ of 263

- Q. And you agreed with me that asset management and risk ratings go hand in hand?
- A. Risk ratings are part of the asset management process.
- Q. And so are you saying that you didn't know that Mr. Lauerman and Ms. Speight were working together to have some of the Asset Management responsibilities handled by Mr. Lauerman, as opposed to Ms. Speight's group?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. No, you weren't aware?
- 12 A. No, I'm not saying that.
- 13 Q. Oh, okay.
- 14 A. I thought I just said that I was aware that
- there were conversations going on.
- 16 Q. And aside from those conversations, did you
- know whether any assignments had been made?
- 18 A. I don't know.
- 19 Q. Do you recall whether you knew that some of
- the SPG loan orphan portfolio had been assigned
- 21 to Mr. Lauerman's group?
- 22 A. I know that was part of the discussion, but
- I don't know at what stage they were at, at that
- 24 time.
- Q. During those discussions, you never said to

### Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 158 of 263

- Ms. Speight, Wait a minute, you can't give this over to Mr. Lauerman. Right?
- A. I don't know at what time I became aware of discussions.
- Q. Regardless of when you became aware of it, prior to May 25th, you never said to anybody, No, I want Ms. Speight to be handling all of those?
- 9 A. Well, I believe that's what we were talking
  10 about in the meetings that we were having. I
  11 don't know what other conversations were
- occurring, but, during the meetings that I was in, that was part of the topic.
- Q. And part of the topic was that Ms. Speight was shorthanded with her asset managers, right?
- 16 A. That would have been part of the conversation.
- 18 Q. And do you recall whether you were CC'd on
- any e-mails having Mr. Lauerman's group doing
- the Asset Management functions for some of her
- 21 portfolio?
- 22 A. I believe I was CC'd on some e-mails, yes.
- Q. Those e-mails went back as far as April,
- 24 right?
- 25 A. E-mails, with respect to --

#### M. MCCOOL - DIRFICT Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 159 of 263

- Q. Assignment of some Asset Management functions to Mr. Lauerman.
- A. Yep, yes.
- Q. And from whenever in April, those first
  e-mails you were kept in the loop on that, until
  at least May 23rd, you never said, I don't want
  Mr. Lauerman's group doing that. I want you to
  do that?
- 9 A. I don't know if I really follow your 10 question.
- Q. Well, you were being kept in the loop that
  there were discussions going back and forth
  about assigning some of the stuff to
- Mr. Lauerman, right, or at least to his people?
- 15 A. I was certainly copied on some e-mails. I
  16 don't know if I was copied on all e-mails or
  17 not.
- Q. So you knew some of these assignments were
- 19 potentially going to Mr. Lauerman's group and
- 20 until May 23rd, at least, you didn't say, bad
- idea, don't do it, these are yours, right?
- 22 A. I don't know if I agree with that. I mean,
- they are not in e-mails, but we were certainly
- talking about all of the assignments in the
- 25 meetings that we were having.

```
1 Q. Can you point me to any e-mail in which
```

- 2 Ms. Speight was saying to you, Mr. Lauerman is
- lending out a helping hand, and I don't think
- 4 that we should do that?
- A. I don't know that I can.
- Q. As you sit here today, can you recall any conversation where you told Ms. Speight, Mr.
- 8 Lauerman shouldn't be doing this?
- 9 A. I don't know how I can put it differently
- for you. I know we were talking about this
- 11 topic during our meetings. I don't know whether
- I ever told Wanda, Ms. Speight, that I don't
- want Tony Lauerman working on this. I don't
- 14 know.
- Q. You can't recall telling Mr. Lauerman, I
- don't want you working on this, right?
- 17 THE WITNESS: Can you read that back to
- me, please?
- 19 BY MR. SALMANSON:
- 20 Q. Actually, let me step back.
- 21 Mr. Lauerman didn't report to you,
- 22 right?
- 23 A. No, he did not.
- Q. He reported to Mr. Carp, correct?
- 25 A. I believe so, at the time, yes.

#### M. MCCOOT. — DTRECT Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 161 of 263

- Q. So you didn't tell Mr. Lauerman, I don't
- 2 want you working on part of Wanda's portfolio,
- 3 right?
  - A. No, I wouldn't have.
- Q. You would have talked to Mr. Carp, right, if
- 6 you were going to talk to anybody?
- A. I would assume.
- 8 Q. And do you recall, as you sit here today,
- 9 having any discussions with Mr. Carp about
- 10 Ms. Speight inappropriately assigning those
- portfolios to Mr. Lauerman's group?
- 12 A. No.
- Q. So the first time that Ms. Speight would
- have learned that you didn't want Mr. Lauerman's
- group doing the risk ratings was on May 25th,
- when you put it in the e-mail, right?
- 17 A. No, I do not agree with that.
- Q. Because you think you told her on May 23rd?
- 19 A. The assignment of responsibilities was being
- 20 discussed for several weeks.
- Q. Right. And no final decisions had been
- 22 made, right?
- A. No, no final decisions had been made.
- Q. So you couldn't have possibly told her that
- 25 the decision would have been made not to let the

```
risk ratings for the SPG portfolio or the
     Canadian portfolio go to Mr. Lauerman's group,
     if you hadn't made any decisions yet, right?
     A. You know, I don't think that's an accurate
     reflection of what we were discussing in the
     meetings.
         I don't understand, quite frankly,
     Mr. McCool. You never told her before you sent
8
9
     the e-mail on May 25th? It's a real simple
     question, I don't think it's appropriate for
10
11
     you, Ms. Speight, to assign the risk ratings and
12
     the Asset Management to Mr. Lauerman's group.
13
         If you would phrase that question that I
14
     never put it in an e-mail, I would agree with
15
     you. I don't agree with it.
16
     Q. You don't specifically recall telling her
17
     either, right?
18
        As I sit here today, I don't specifically
19
     recall having that conversation. But part of
20
     the discussions that we were having was who was
     going to do what work. The Asset Management
21
22
     group's responsible for risk ratings.
23
     always have been. There's no intention to
```

Q. You made that clear in the May 23rd meeting,

24

25

change that.

right?

6

- A. I believe that was made clear all along.
- Q. Including the May 23rd meeting?
- A. It would have been part of the meeting as well.
- Q. So you would have made it clear on May 23rd; that all the Asset Management responsibilities belonged to Ms. Speight's group, and none of it should go to Real Estate Solutions, right?
- 10 A. I don't think I agree with that distinction.
- 11 Part of the meetings that we were having was
- identifying the specific functions that would go
- to Real Estate Solutions or stay with Asset
- 14 Management. Financial statements would be done
- by our Financial Statements Group.
- So all of that was being discussed, and
- we continued to discuss it at a granular level
- 18 on May 23rd.
- 19 Q. I want to focus specifically on risk
- 20 ratings, not anything else.
- 21 A. Okay.
- Q. May 23rd meeting, you believed that you said
- 23 in the May 23rd meeting that risk ratings would
- only be done by Ms. Speight's group.
- 25 A. I don't think I testified that I said that.

- Q. I'm asking you now.
- A. I don't remember what I said in that meeting exactly. I don't. Sorry.
- Q. Is it possible that, as of the May 23rd
  meeting, you were still okay with the idea that
  risk ratings could be done either by Real Estate
  Solutions or by Asset Management?
- 8 A. No, I wouldn't.
- 9 Q. So if somebody walked away from that meeting with that impression, there was clearly a
- 11 communications breakdown?
- 12 A. I don't know what it would have been.
- Q. Oh. A misunderstanding between you and the
- recipient?
- 15 A. A misunderstanding, or, you know, a refusal
- 16 to accept. I don't know what it is.
- 17 Q. Could it have been a refusal to accept if
- they thought that Asset Management could be done
- by Real Estate Solutions or by Wanda's group?
- 20 A. Well, you're speaking about the risk ratings
- 21 only, right?
- 22 Q. Yes, risk ratings only.
- 23 A. So the risk ratings are performed by Asset
- 24 Management. That's a very clear standard.
- Q. So anybody who thought otherwise was either

not listening or being insubordinate?

- A. In the May 23rd meeting or after?
- Q. After. If they walked away from that

  May 23rd meeting with the thought that the risk

  ratings could be done either by Wanda's group or

  Real Estate Solutions, they either weren't

  paying attention in the May 23rd meeting or they

  were being insubordinate.

Is that what you're saying?

- A. No. I would not say that failure to understand something was insubordinate.
- 12 Q. Okay. So if Ms. Speight walked out of that
- meeting without understanding that, what the
- 14 direction of your sentiment was, was that she
- wasn't being insubordinate?
- 16 A. No. I would say that she would not be
- 17 insubordinate.
- 18 Q. If you could take a look at D-23.
- 19 A. D-23?

9

10

- 20 Q. Yes.
- 21 A. Okay.
- Q. Now, this is an e-mail from Mr. Lauerman to
- Justin Snarponis, Edward Schmon, John Kipping,
- and CCs to Stacy Ciarlanti, Michael Carp, and
- Wanda Speight, and the subject is "Canadian

Loans."

I don't want to be standing there reading it all. Can you just read it out loud to the jury, please?

- A. The e-mail itself?
- Q. Yes.

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- "My understanding is that all these loans Α. which have been funded are on McCracken, and have been assigned a CRM in Canada. Since they are performing loans, effective today we will not be managing these loans. The assigned CRM will handle the day-to-day management of these loans, including all borrower interaction. special requests arise, extensions, assumptions, major leases, et cetera, for which our expertise is required, we can review and respond as needed. Thanks. Justin and Ed, please forward this to the CRMs listed on McCracken for these Thanks." loans.
- Q. Now, Mr. Lauerman is saying that "effective today, we're no longer going to be managing these loans," correct?
- A. That's what the e-mail says.
- Q. And would you agree with me that that suggests that prior to today Mr. Lauerman's

### Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 167 of 263

- group had been managing those loans?
- A. I guess that's implied.
- Q. And, again, just to be clear, the management
- 4 of the loans would include the risk ratings of
- 5 the loans, correct?
- 6 A. No, I don't think so.
  - Q. I thought you testified this morning that
- 8 Asset Management and risk rating went hand in
- 9 hand?

- 10 A. I think you're taking that out of context.
- I think managing the loans is the Real Estate
- 12 Solutions' -- is -- the loans would be in danger
- of defaulting so they required more
- hand-holding, but the Asset Management group
- would still have interaction with those
- 16 particular loans.
- 17 Q. Okay. That's the way you interpreted the
- 18 e-mail?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Do you know whether, in fact, Wanda's group
- 21 had been asset-managing the loans in any way
- 22 since Mr. Suri's departure?
- 23 A. No, I don't.
- 24 Q. Do you know whether Mr. Lauerman had
- 25 actually trained or agreed to have some of his

- people trained to do the risk ratings on those loans when he was assigned to asset-manage the loans?
  - A. No, I don't.

1

6

- Q. Before we go to the next page, do you know why this reassignment or how this reassignment occurred?
- 8 A. What do you mean by "reassignment"?
  - Q. Well, it appears that effective today, those
- 10 people are no longer asset-managing the loans,
- right? Do you know why, as of May 22nd, the
- 12 loans -- why the loans were being reassigned?
- 13 A. Well, the e-mails of their understanding is
- that the loans are being reassigned, so I don't
- know what went into Mr. Lauerman's thoughts.
- 16 Q. I guess you anticipated my next question.
- Do you know who made the decision to
- have the loans reassigned? It wasn't your
- decision, right, as far as you recall?
- 20 A. I don't remember. This is a smaller
- 21 portfolio that was part of a larger transition.
- Q. So you don't recall what was the triggering
- event that led to this first e-mail?
- 24 A. No, I don't.
- Q. You don't recall prior to this e-mail going

```
1
     out and saying to Ms. Speight, we decided that
     you're going to get the asset management of the
     loans back, do you?
         No.
     Α.
         If we can read up the e-mail chain. There's
     an e-mail from Mr. Nienas.
              Do you know who he is?
8
         I do.
     Α.
9
         And he was one of Ms. Speight's team
     leaders, correct?
10
11
         Correct.
     Α.
12
         Can you read the substance of the e-mail to
13
     the jury?
         "Maureen, it appears from the following
14
15
     e-mail correspondence that Asset Management
16
     responsibilities for the Canadian portfolio have
17
     been reassigned to the CRM in Canada. With risk
18
     ratings for the second quarter quickly
19
     approaching, the Canadian team will need to be
20
     trained on the methodology for using the risk
21
     rating model and determining the inputs.
22
     copied the CRMs in Canada who handle the PLG
23
     Canada assets. Thanks, Don."
24
     Q. Now, are there parts of that e-mail that you
25
     don't agree with?
```

Filed 03/25/09 Page 169 of 263

### Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 170 of 263

- A. I would say, yes.
- Q. And am I right that the part that you don't
  agree with is that Mr. Nienas seems to be making
  an assumption that the risk ratings are going
  hand in hand with the Asset Management
  responsibilities, correct?
- A. Well, I would say that I disagree that the

  Asset Management responsibilities were

  reassigned to the CRM. I would disagree with

  that right from the beginning.
- Q. And do you know whether Ms. Speight shared that disagreement?
- 13 A. With whom?
- Q. Well, shared that view, that the risk rating should be assigned to CRMs in Canada?
- 16 A. I don't know.
- Q. Just before we go on, I just want to go back, if you can take a look at P-11, and tell me when you have had a chance to review it.
- 20 A. Okay.
- Q. Now, as I understood what you testified to a couple minutes ago, you thought that all along that the risk ratings, no matter who is asset-managing these various loans, the risk ratings always belonged with Wanda's group,

#### correct?

- A. With the Asset Management Group.
- Q. Now, P-11 relates to risk ratings, right?
- It includes talking about the risk ratings for
- the orphan loan portfolio, correct?
- 6 A. Correct.
- $\mathbb{Q}$ . And it's your view that those risk ratings,
- 8 even if they were being asset-managed by Real
- 9 Estate Solutions, should have been done by
- 10 Wanda's group, the Asset Management Group,
- 11 correct?
- 12 A. The process is managed by Asset Management.
- Other parties may contribute to the process, but
- the process is the responsibility of Asset
- 15 Management.
- 16 Q. Would you agree with me that other people
- outside of Wanda's group could actually perform
- 18 the risk ratings for the loans?
- 19 A. Could they actually perform them?
- 20 Q. Yes.
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And that would have been okay, right? I
- 23 mean, ultimately they would have to give the
- 24 risk ratings to Wanda, so that she could defend
- 25 them, right?

A. Yes.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. But there was nothing wrong with having the actual risk rating function performed by
- Mr. Lauerman's Servicing people, right?
- A. The Asset Management Group was responsible for the risk ratings; therefore, they would be responsible for understanding that at an incredibly granular level. And if they weren't doing the work themselves, which is one of their charters, I don't know that they would be able to defend that in the credit rating.
- Q. So my question was, Was it wrong for someone outside of Ms. Speight's department to be performing the actual risk ratings themselves?
  - A. No, I don't think it would have been wrong.
  - Q. In fact, Ms. Speight told you that she was getting people trained outside her department to do the risk ratings on the orphan loans, right?
    - A. Well, she says here that the Credit
      Department was going to provide training.
  - Q. In fact, what she said is, she writes to you and to Mr. Carp and to Julie Gschwind, and Julie had written, "Wanda, I understand Mark McCool's group will be handling all the orphan loans, and that Special Servicing will be transferring them

# Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 173.of 263

- in the next week to ten days. In the meantime,

  I understand Nathan Perry is continuing to

  handle these loans. In light of this, is it

  appropriate for us to be trained?" And the

  subject matter of the e-mail is "Risk Rating

  Training," right?
- A. Correct.

9

10

11

12

13

- Q. And she writes and CCs you on May 9th,

  "Thanks for the feedback, Julie. Mark, Mike,
  the Credit Department will be providing training
  for the risk rating process." Right?
- You understood that Julie was talking about getting people trained for risk ratings, correct?
- 15 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. "The next cycle will Begin in late May. If
  Julie and Jackie are not the appropriate
  individuals to perform the risk ratings of the
  orphan SPG loans, please identify the
  appropriate personnel."
- Jackie and Julie weren't any of Wanda's asset managers, right?
- 23 A. I don't believe so.
- Q. They were Tony Lauerman's, right?
- 25 A. I think so. I'm not sure who they reported

to, but I believe so.

6

8

9

- Q. But she's telling you, I'm getting the risk ratings done by someone outside of her department, right, on May 9th?
- A. Well, I think the e-mail says that there are people outside of the department that were going to receive training.
- Q. Right. And the training was so that they could perform the risk ratings on the orphan loan portfolio, right?
- 11 A. Well, it just says they are going to get
  12 training. If they are not the right people to
  13 do it on the orphan portfolio, then identify the
  14 people.
- Q. Right. You understood from this e-mail that
  Jackie and Julie were going to be trained to do
  risk ratings so they could do the risk ratings
  on the SPG loan portfolio, didn't you?
- 19 A. I don't know what I understood from this.
- Q. Well, as you read it today, do you have that understanding? She's not saying anything else.

  She's not talking about risk rating training so they could go out and do risk ratings, you know,
- just for whatever portfolio they want to pick up someday; is that right?

#### M. MCCOOL - DIRFICT Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 175.0£263

A. No, that's fair.

5

6

8

13

17

18

19

20

21

alone.

- Q. Right. And these SPG orphan loans were orphan loans that her group had assigned?
- A. I'm sorry, "had assigned"?
- Q. Had been originally assigned to Wanda's group, correct?
- A. Yes. They had been assigned to Wanda's group.
- 9 Q. And you never told her when she wrote this
  10 e-mail on May 9th, Wanda, I don't want people
  11 outside your group doing risk ratings. That's
  12 your responsibility and your responsibility
- 14 A. No, I don't know if we ever had that conversation.
- 16 Q. Okay. Moving up the chain.
  - The bottom of Bates stamp 10956, there's an e-mail from Carolyn Grandstaff to Dana Jo Martino. The importance is high, and she says, "Can we please discuss? Risk ratings are not a function of Client Relations.
- Thanks."
- You agree with that statement, right?
- 24 A. I do.
- Q. It's a really bad idea to just have CRNs in

- Canada doing the risk ratings, right?
- A. No, I don't agree with that.
- Q. Well, you agree with the statement that risk ratings aren't a product of Client Relations,
- 5 right?
- $\delta$  A. I do agree with that.
  - Q. And in those days -- and maybe this is where we may disagree, in those days CRNs were in
- 9 Client Relations only, right?
- 10 A. Yes. They were Client Relations managers,
- 11 yes.

- 12 Q. The terminology has now been broadened to
- cover other individuals, right?
- 14 A. I believe they have, yes.
- Q. So at the time you agreed with this
- statement that the CRNs in Canada shouldn't be
- doing the risk rating, or if the people in
- 18 Client Relations were CRNs, they shouldn't be
- doing the risk ratings, right?
- 20 A. Yes. It's not one of their functions.
- 21 Q. And do you know whether Ms. Speight shared
- 22 that view with you?
- 23 A. I don't know.
- Q. Okay. Moving up. The next one in the chain
- is from Mr. Finkenstaedt, right? It's not the

```
Filed 03/25/09 Page 177 pt 263
 1
     next one up in the chain, but I want to move up
     to Mr. Finkenstaedt.
              Mr. Finkenstaedt is sounding an alarm,
     right?
         Well, he's saying "STOP."
 6
     Q.
         "STOP" in big, all cap letters, with an
     explanation point, right?
         I don't see the exclamation point, but --
8
9
         You're right. It's the problem with
     Q.
10
     bifocals.
11
              And Mr. Finkenstaedt, who is at the
12
     May 23rd meeting, says, This is not what was
13
     discussed with Wanda. Right?
14
     Α.
         Yes.
15
         Other than the May 23rd meeting, had you had
     Q.
     discussions with Mr. Finkenstaedt and
16
17
     Ms. Speight together about who was going to do
18
     the risk ratings?
         I don't think Mr. Finkenstaedt was in any
19
20
     other meetings other than that, May 23rd.
21
         So when he says this is not what was
     discussed with Wanda, he must have been
22
23
     referring to what was discussed in the May 23rd
24
     meeting?
25
         I believe so.
     Α.
```

### Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 178 of 263

- Q. And Mr. Finkenstaedt says, Risk ratings
- 2 belong to Asset Management and RES, right?
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. And that's very different than what you just
- 5 told me before, right? You thought Asset
- 6 Management only belonged to Ms. Speight's group?
- 7 A. I think the responsibility lies with Asset
- 8 Management. Real Estate Solutions would
- 9 contribute to those risk ratings, but the
- 10 responsibility lies with the Asset Management
- 11 Group.
- 12 Q. So the problem is in the words "belong to."
- 13 There's a little ambiguity there?
- 14 A. Well, I don't know what -- it's Ned's
- 15 e-mail. It's not mine.
- 16 Q. Would you agree with me that it appears that
- 17 Mr. Finkenstaedt had a different takeaway, as a
- result of the May 23rd meeting, as to whether
- risk ratings would be done exclusively by
- 20 Ms. Speight's group or shared between
- 21 Ms. Speight's group and RES solutions?
- 22 A. Do I agree with you that he had a different
- 23 takeaway?
- Q. That it appears that he had a different
- takeaway.

#### M. MCCOOL - DIRECT Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 179.0f 263

- A. Well, I think that the basis of his e-mail
- is that he was in agreement with me.
- Q. Well, you said it was exclusively Asset
- Management, right?

- A. The responsibility for the process lies with
- 6 Asset Management.
- Q. Okay. And he is saying, risk ratings belong
- 8 to Asset Management and RES.
- 9 A. I see that.
- 10 Q. Right. And you don't think that's
- disagreeing with your view that Asset Management
- or risk ratings belong exclusively to Asset
- 13 Management?
- 14 A. I mean, it says what it says. I guess that
- was his -- you know, I don't know why he chose
- 16 the words that he chose.
- 17 Q. Okay. Would you agree with me that it
- appears, at least on first blush, that he seems
- 19 to have a different final take on it than you
- 20 do?
- 21 A. No, I don't agree with that.
- 22 Q. But he says, "Risk ratings belong to Asset
- 23 Management and RES."
- 24 A. I see that, yes.
- Q. Are you saying that risk ratings could

- actually belong to RES, but ultimately have to be defended by Asset Management?
  - A. The responsibility for the process of risk ratings lies with Asset Management.
  - Q. I'm not talking about the responsibility of the process.
    - A. But that's what I have been speaking to.
  - Q. I'm talking about doing the risk ratings themselves, the actual function/task itself of doing a risk rating.
- Do you agree with me that
- 12 Mr. Finkenstaedt, by his e-mail, is saying,
- Gee, I thought that the actual doing of the risk
- rating could be either RES or Asset Management?
- 15 A. That's what he is saying there.
- 16 Q. And that wasn't your view at all, right?
- 17 You didn't think even the risk ratings
- themselves should be done by RES, correct?
- 19 A. Correct.

6

8

9

- 20 Q. So you and he are having apparently a
- 21 miscommunication about what you decided in the
- February 23rd meeting with Wanda, right?
- A. I don't know what he meant by his e-mail, so
- I don't know if we miscommunicated or not.
- Q. You would agree with me that by his e-mail

he meant, We're going to do the risk ratings 1 too, and Wanda's group is too, that that certainly wasn't what you thought you had communicated in the May 23rd meeting? I think Ned is Mr. Finkenstaedt. 6 Sorry. His e-mail was simply saying that the 8 Client Relations team is not responsible for 9 this. That's how he took this e-mail. Q. That's not what he says. He says, "The risk 10 11 ratings belong to Asset Management and RES." 12 MR. BANKS: Objection. 13 THE COURT: You're becoming 14 argumentative, now, counsel. You can move on. 15 BY MR. SALMANSON: 16 Q. And he does agree with you, right, that, as 17 you just said, Asset Management duties to Client Relations, "Please don't assign Asset Management 18 19 duties to Client Relations without discussing 20 them with me first. Moving these duties around is a slippery slope, and I want to make sure 21 22 that we are disciplined in our decision-making." 23 Right? 24 Yes. Α. 25 Q. You agreed with that, right?

#### Filed 03/25/09 Page 182 of 263 I do. 1 Α. Do you know whether Ms. Speight agreed with that? I don't know. Α. Now, up until this point, Ms. Speight hadn't 6 chimed in, right? Not that I'm aware of. Α. So you're next on the e-mail chain, and 8 Q. 9 you're actually responding to Mr. Finkenstaedt's 10 e-mail, right? 11 Correct. Α. 12 What you say to Mr. Finkenstaedt is, "All 13 risk ratings will be done by the Asset 14 Management Group." 15 Right? That's your first line? 16 Correct. Α. 17 So you're, in fact, telling 18 Mr. Finkenstaedt, you know what, Ned, you got it 19 wrong. I don't want it to be RES. If he does 20 have it wrong. I don't want it to be RES or 21 Asset Management. I want them all done by Asset 22 Management, right? 23 A. No, I don't agree with that. I'm not just

telling Ned. I think I'm providing clarity to

the group that I've e-mailed.

24

#### M. MCCOOT. – DTRECT Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 183 of 263

- Q. Okay. Providing clarity to the group, because you would agree with that up until then there was a lack of clarity?
  - A. I think, at this point, it was appropriate for me to respond to an e-mail.
- Q. All right. Because there was a lack of clarity, right?
- 8 A. I don't know about "lack of clarity" or not.
- 9 I was providing -- I was responding to an e-mail
- 10 chain.

- Q. And by "responding," you were providing clarity, right?
- 13 A. I was attempting to.
- 14 Q. You also say, "Ned, Wanda, Clare and I are
- in the process of identifying the specific
- functionalities to be assigned to the various
- groups, i.e., CAG to complete financials; RES to
- handle special requests; SPM to take the first
- 19 cut on the watch lists, et cetera. While we
- 20 have not completed that assessment, I thought we
- were all on the same page that the Asset
- 22 Management team is and will continue to be
- responsible for daily borrower interaction, as
- 24 well as the risk rating process."
- Now, when you said you thought we were

#### M MCCOOL - DIRECT Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 184 of 263

- all on the same page, you're referring to having
- 2 been on the same page as a result of the
- 3 May 23rd meeting, right?
- A. I believe so. That was the most recent
- 5 meeting.
- Q. It appeared to you, in fact, that maybe Ned wasn't on the same page?
- 8 A. I don't think I had that thought.
- 9 Q. Well, you don't know whether Wanda is on the
- same page or not, yet, right, because she hadn't
- 11 chimed in yet?
- 12 A. Correct.
- Q. So when you're saying I thought we were all
- on the same page, who are you directing that to
- 15 you?
- 16 A. Ned, Tony, Wanda, Michael, and Clare.
- 17 Q. Now, Wanda responds, right?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. And you would agree with me that but for
- Wanda sending this e-mail, she would not have
- 21 been terminated?
- 22 A. Yes, I would agree.
- Q. Do you believe this e-mail was
- 24 unprofessional?
- 25 A. Yes.

#### Filed 03/25/09 Page 185 of 263 Do you believe it was uncooperative? Q. Α. Yes. Do you believe it was insubordinate? Yes. Α. Okay. Let's go through it. Her first line says, "Sorry for the communications breakdown. 6 Let me try again." Would you agree with me that, based on 8 the e-mail string, there had been a 9 communications breakdown? 10 11 A. No. Not in my mind. Well, you got Ned saying risk ratings belong

- 12
- 13 to either RES or Asset Management, and you have
- 14 you saying, I thought we all agreed that we were
- 15 all on the same page. That was just Asset
- 16 Management.
- 17 Α. Okay.
- 18 So there's something of a communications
- breakdown, right? 19
- 20 Α. Okay.
- Okay. Let me try again, right? 21 Q.
- 22 When you read that, did you think that
- 23 it was sarcastic?
- 24 Absolutely. Α.
- 25 0. Now, how did you come to that conclusion?

### Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 186 of 263

A. Well, the way I received it is the way I received it now. It's the same way, "Sorry for the communications breakdown. Let me try again."

You didn't hear me the first time, so let me tell you again what we're going to do.

Q. Well, you hadn't heard from her yet.

6

8

9

- A. This is the response that I got from all —
  this is the first time I'm hearing from her on
  this topic, yes.
- Q. Right. Right. So it can't be, You're not hearing from me for the first time, or you
- didn't hear me the first time, because this is the first time, right?
- 15 A. No, because I'm referring, as you said, to 16 the May 23rd meeting, and I believe Wanda was 17 referring to that as well.
- Q. There couldn't have been a communications
  breakdown between you and her in the string of
  e-mails because she hadn't commented yet, right?
- 21 A. Not in the string of e-mails, correct.
- Q. So she can't be sarcastically saying, sorry
  for the communications breakdown between you and
  me, because you and she haven't yet communicated
  in this string yet, right?

```
MR. BANKS: Objection. He's going to
     be arguing with the witness at this point.
              THE COURT: I'm going to overrule.
     There seems to be some inability to understand
     the question. We'll allow it.
 6
              THE WITNESS: I think this goes beyond
     an e-mail chain. That's what we're talking
     about.
9
     BY MR. SALMANSON:
     Q. So you're feeding it based on what happened
10
11
     based on May 23rd.
12
              You're reading it in light of what you
     think happened on May 23rd?
13
14
         I think that was the spirit in which I wrote
15
     the e-mail to begin with that Wanda was
16
     responding to.
17
        Her next line is, The Canadian --
18
              MR. BANKS: Mr. Salmanson, maybe I
19
     should direct this to the Court. We do have a
     bigger blow-up. I do notice that some of the
20
21
     jurors are straining to read it.
22
              Would it be helpful if we can put up
23
     the bigger one?
24
                              That would be great.
              MR. SALMANSON:
25
              MR. BANKS: Let me just see which on is
```

```
Filed 03/25/09 Page 188 of 263
     here.
              THE COURT: Members of the jury, can
 3
     you see that exhibit as it exists? Thank you.
              MR. SALMANSON: Yes?
              THE COURT: Yes, it's fine. Let's get
 6
     around here, and ask the witness some questions.
     BY MR. SALMANSON:
8
         The next sentence says, "The Canadian loans
     and the SPG loans" -- in bold, underlined --
9
     "are not being asset-managed by any one of the
10
11
     former PLG Asset Management team."
12
              Now, as of May 25th, that was a true
13
     statement, right?
         As of May 25th, I think it was.
14
15
         So Wanda is just reiterating that, as of
16
     that date, they weren't being asset-managed by
17
     her group, right?
18
         Yes.
     Α.
         And at that point, if they weren't being
19
20
     asset-managed, that wasn't because she was
21
     disobeying any directive to you up to this
22
     point, right?
23
         I'm sorry, I'm not following.
         Sure. As I said that, I realized it.
24
     Q.
25
              As of up to May 25th, if they weren't
```

```
Filed 03/25/09 Page 189 of 263
     being asset-managed by Ms. Speight's group, it
     wasn't -- if she had had them being
     asset-managed by somebody else, that wasn't
     contrary to any directive of yours, right?
         Correct.
     Α.
 6
     Q.
         Do you know how often the risk ratings are
     done?
 8
         Quarterly.
     Α.
 9
         They are done quarterly because a lot can
     Q.
     happen in one quarter, right?
10
11
         Absolutely.
     Α.
12
         You have to be really on top of the loans in
13
     order to be able to risk rate them, right?
14
     Α.
         Correct.
         You have to know what happened in those
15
     Q.
16
     previous 60, 90 days leading up to the risk
17
     ratings, right?
18
         Yes.
     Α.
19
         So she says in the next sentence,
20
     "Consequently, the former PLG Asset Management
     individuals are not in a position to perform an
21
22
     evaluation for risk rating purposes."
23
              You just agreed with me on that, right?
24
     If they hadn't been asset managing the loans,
25
     they wouldn't be in a position to perform the
```

ratings for the risk ratings purposes, right?

1

6

13

14

15

16

- A. Performing the risk ratings requires knowledge of the process, as well as the asset.
- Q. And you just said to me, if they didn't have the knowledge of the asset of the previous 60 or 90 days, they wouldn't have the knowledge to perform the risk rating, right?
- A. No, I don't necessarily agree with that.

  They would have to take the steps necessary to

  gain the understanding.
- Q. But as of May 25th, if they hadn't -- I'm talking about present tense, right?
  - It's may 25th that they hadn't been asset-managing the loans for the last 60 or 90 days, so they wouldn't be in a position to risk rate the loans, right, without getting back on top of that?
- 18 A. That's what they would have been required to do.
- Q. Right. If they came back with that, right?
- This is a present tense statement, would you agree?
- A. I would agree it's present tense, yes.
- Q. Do you agree with me that she's saying as of May 25th, that her asset managers are not in any

Right. So when she's saying, present tense,

24

25

0.

BY MR. SALMANSON:

- "my folks aren't in a position to do this," as of May 25th, you agree with her, right, unless they came back -- sorry. Go ahead.
- A. Taking that statement as a stand-alone statement, yes.
- Q. Okay. Her next sentence says, "I hope that I am not being told by Capmark Management to sign-off on reserves and provide risk ratings on a portfolio of loans, for which my staff has no knowledge."
- Now, you would agree with me that it would be a really bad idea for her to have to talk about reserves and set up risk ratings for loans for which she had no knowledge, right?
- 15 A. Yes.

6

9

10

11

12

13

- Q. And you would share that hope that nobody in Capmark Management was going to tell her that,
- 18 right?
- 19 A. I would share -- I'm sorry?
- Q. The hope that she's not being told by
- 21 someone in Capmark Management that I need to do
- risk ratings on loans that my staff has no
- 23 knowledge on, right?
- A. I'm not following you. I'm sorry.
- Q. Let's go back to the exact language. She

says, "I would hope that I am not being told by Capmark Management to sign-off on reserves and provide risk ratings on a portfolio of loans for which my staff has no knowledge."

Right?

A. Yes.

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- Q. You would agree with her that you would hope that she's not being told and I'm not saying that she was being told, but that she's not being told by Capmark Management to sign off on reserves and provide risk ratings on a portfolio of loans for which she had no knowledge, right, you would agree with that?

  A. Yeah, believe me, I'm not trying to be
- difficult. I'm having a lot of trouble following your question.
- I see what's written here. I just don't know what your question is.
- Q. Okay. My question is, Do you agree with that statement that you would hope -- you would share that hope?
- 22 A. Yes. Okay, thank you. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Then she says and she directs it to you, "Mark, again, the Asset Management team is and will continue to be responsible for daily

```
Filed 03/25/09 Page 194 of 263
     borrower interaction, as well as the risk rating
     process for its existing portfolio."
              Now, am I correct that you took that as
     being insubordinate?
     Α.
         Yes.
 6
     Q.
         And it's because you interpreted it as
     saying she would not take on any additional
     assignments?
 8
 9
         Correct.
     Α.
10
         Does she actually say she's not taking on
11
     any additional assignments?
12
     Α.
         I believe she does.
13
     Q. Where does she say that?
        Paraphrasing, they will continue to be
14
15
     responsible for their existing portfolio.
16
         So you're inferring from that, that she's
17
     not going to take on any other additional
18
     portfolio?
19
     Α.
         Yes.
20
         Okay. Now, at the time she wrote that, you
     Q.
21
     knew that she was already not being able to have
22
     enough personnel to handle the risk ratings for
```

all the portfolios that had been previously

No, I don't agree with that.

assigned to her, right?

23

24

### Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 195 of 263

- Q. Well, you knew that part of the orphan loan, the SPG portfolio had all been assigned to her, and she had ended up getting some people assigned to her to help her with the orphan loans, right?
  - A. Yes.

8

- Q. And she had been telling you that she was shorthanded throughout this time period, right?
- A. She had said that, yes.
- 10 Q. And you never said to her, You have to
- 11 handle whatever we give to you, each and every
- 12 loan. You can't go and get that assigned to
- anybody else, right?
- 14 A. No, I never said that.
- Q. So are you saying that she's being
- insubordinate because in your e-mail and the
- prior e-mail you made it clear that she was
- going to do the asset management for every set
- of loans that came her way?
- 20 A. Well, at this point, we had agreed, or at
- least I thought we had agreed, that the
- 22 assignment of responsibilities was -- at least,
- 23 at this point, was becoming more clear, and she
- was putting it out there that she was not
- willing to do any additional work.

# Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 196 of 263

- Q. You said "it was becoming more clear."

  It wasn't clear yet, right?
- A. The assignment of responsibilities in the meetings that we had had all through May, we were working towards identifying the specific tasks.
- 7 Q. It was becoming more clear. It wasn't clear 8 yet?
- 9 A. In my mind it was clear.
- 10 Q. Well, it was clear, as of May 20th, when you
- 11 sent that e-mail?
- 12 A. I believe so.
- 13 Q. Okay. It wasn't clear before that because
- 14 Mr. Finkenstaedt had a different view as of May
- 15 23rd, right?
- 16 A. I disagree.
- 17 Q. Then she writes a separate paragraph to
- 18 Mr. Hohenleitner, right?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. And Mr. Hohenleitner was in the Credit
- 21 Department?
- 22 A. Correct.
- Q. Ultimately, Ms. Speight had to defend the
- risk ratings to the Credit Department, correct?
- 25 A. That's correct.

## Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 197 of 263

- 1 Q. Just so we're clear, the Credit Department
- is part of GMAC CM or Capmark, correct?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. They are all on the same team, correct?
- A. We're all different departments.
- Q. All working towards the same ultimate goal,
- right, making GMAC CM profitable, right?
- 8 A. We all have responsibilities that we're
- 9 contributing, yes.
- 10 Q. And part of Ms. Speight's responsibilities
- is in providing the risk ratings, the Credit
- 12 Department is making sure that the Credit
- 13 Department understands -- well, her
- responsibility is to provide as accurate as
- possible risk ratings to the Credit Department,
- 16 right?
- 17 A. That's part of the responsibility, yes.
- Q. That's a big part of her job function, isn't
- 19 it?
- 20 A. Yes, it would have been.
- 21 Q. And would you expect her, if she had
- 22 concerns about performing that job function for
- 23 the Credit department, that she would reach out
- 24 to the Credit Department and say, I have some
- concerns here?

# Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 198 of 263

- A. No, I wouldn't expect that at all.
- Q. You would expect her to just be quiet?
- A. Well, we're referring to the exhibit,
- 1 correct?
- Q. Yes.
- A. No. I did not expect Mr. Hohenleitner to be copied here at all.
- 8 Q. Do you know whether Ms. Speight and
- 9 Mr. Hohenleitner had had any previous
- discussions about the risk rating process?
- 11 A. Ever or --
- 12 Q. Ever.
- 13 A. I'm sure they had.
- Q. Okay. Do you know whether they, within the
- previous couple of weeks, had shared concerns
- about whether the risk rating process for all
- the portfolio was going to get done in an
- 18 adequate way?
- 19 A. I have no idea.
- Q. Okay. So you don't know if, in fact,
- 21 Ms. Speight, including Mr. Hohenleitner --
- because Mr. Hohenleitner had, in fact, just
- recently expressed concerns to her about the
- 24 risk ratings?
- 25 A. I don't know that.

### Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 199 of 263

- Q. Okay. And if he had just done that, do you think it would have been appropriate for her to keep Mr. Hohenleitner apprised of what was going on?
  - A. Not in this way.

6

- Q. Well, how should she have done it?
- A. There are a number of ways that would have been more appropriate.
- 9 Q. What was inappropriate about him being copied on the e-mail?
- 11 A. Well, and by "him," meaning --
- 12 Q. Mr. Hohenleitner.
- 13 A. And then Maria Menarde and Beth Coady, who
- were also CC'd. They are all part of the Credit
- team. Up to this point, they hadn't been, I
- don't believe, part of the e-mail train, and to
- escalate this type of correspondence to your
- 18 client is -- to say "inflammatory," is an
- 19 understatement.
- 20 Q. Let's look back. Do you see if
- 21 Mr. Hohenleitner was included by anybody else in
- 22 the e-mail string?
- A. Perhaps initially, but by the time we were
- 24 discussing with any degree of detail, he was not
- 25 part of that.

# Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 200 of 263

- 1 Q. Let's go through from back to front. Tell
- 2 me if anybody else had included Mr. Hohenleitner
- 3 in the e-mail string.
  - A. Tell you what? I'm sorry.
- Q. If anybody else had included
- 6 Mr. Hohenleitner in the e-mail string.
  - A. It appears Don Nienas did on May 24th.
- Q. Mr. Nienas was the only other person in
- 9 Wanda's group who participated in this string,
- 10 correct?
- 11 A. The only one of her direct reports, or just
- in her group?
- 13 Q. In her group, who is actually writing, not
- just being cc'd, but writing in this string,
- 15 right?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. So do you know whether Ms. Speight and her
- group typically would CC Mr. Hohenleitner on
- 19 issues related to risk ratings?
- 20 A. I don't know.
- Q. You never told her, Hey, look, if you're
- having problems with the risk rating, don't
- include Mr. Hohenleitner. Right?
- 24 A. No.
- Q. It would be okay, generally, to CC

```
1
     Mr. Hohenleitner, to let him know about staffing
     issues or who is staffing the risk ratings,
     right?
         Well, I don't know. I mean,
     Mr. Hohenleitner, in this case, is the client.
 6
     So you would have to treat the client with --
     and certainly keeping the client informed, but
     whether or not you would copy him on internal
8
9
     correspondence, I think, is different.
         Internal correspondence? These are all GMAC
10
11
     people, right? She is not saying to the outside
12
     world, I can't do the job. Right?
13
              She's telling the person to whom she
     owes that responsibility to, ultimately, right?
14
15
              I mean, you keep calling him a client.
16
     He's the one -- she's responsible to him for
17
     this, right, and her group?
18
         I would say she's responsible for providing
     the data to his group so they can analyze that
19
20
     data and --
21
         She's just not handing him raw data.
                                                She
22
     does the risk ratings, right?
23
         I think they make the recommendation that
24
     the Credit Committee meets.
```

She provides the score, right?

25

Q.

## Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 202 of 263

- A. Correct.
- Q. And she gets in front of the Credit
- 3 Committee, and they hammer her with questions,
- right?
- A. Absolutely.
- Q. And she has to be prepared to defend them?
  - A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And that can be sort of brutal, can't it?
- 9 A. At times, the questions are pretty intense.
- 10 Q. So if you were in Wanda's shoes, you want to
- be darn sure that you would be able to defend
- 12 those risk ratings?
- 13 A. Yes, I would agree.
- 14 Q. She didn't just have to defend those risk
- ratings to the committee, right? There were
- times when she had to defend them to the outside
- 17 auditors?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Isn't it true that just a couple of months
- 20 earlier, they had gotten comments from the
- 21 outside auditors that said, you better be
- 22 careful, more careful, about documenting your
- 23 risk ratings?
- 24 A. I'm not aware of that.
- Q. Now, she says, "Joe, please be aware if we

are being told to risk rate the SPG loans and the Canadian portfolio, I cannot be confident in the accuracy of the assigned ratings, nor can we be expected to defend such ratings, given our lack of knowledge and experience with this portfolio."

Now, we're talking specifically about this particular quarter's risk ratings, right?

A. Correct.

- Q. You didn't interpret that as forever, right?
- 11 A. No. I would say we're talking about the 12 current quarter or current in that sense.

Q. Other than the fact that she is addressing this to Joe, who you say is outside the group, you don't disagree with her, if she says, if I have to risk rate these SPG loans and Canadian portfolio, which I'm going to have to do in about two and a half weeks, I can't have the same confidence level and the accuracy of the ratings and don't expect me to defend the ratings because I don't have a knowledge or experience with this portfolio over this quarter for which we are doing the risk ratings.

Isn't that fair?

A. No. You know, I look at this, and it upsets

me as much today as the day I saw it.

Q. You don't think it's fair -- the question is, Do you think it's fair, not whether or not it upsets you.

Do you think it's fair for her to say,
I am not sure I can do this at the level that
I'm expected to do this, because I don't know
this portfolio?

- A. No, I don't think it's fair.
- 10 Q. Because, as of May 25th, did she have
- 11 knowledge of the portfolio, to your knowledge,
- of these two sets of loans?
- 13 A. I don't know if Wanda had specific knowledge 14 on May 25th about those two loans.
- Q. Do you know whether anybody in her group had specific knowledge of those two portfolios for
- 17 that quarter?

6

8

- 18 A. I don't know. Wanda's group was responsible
- 19 for the risk ratings within that portfolio, and
- I would have expected that she would take steps,
- 21 which she did, to make sure that they were going
- 22 to be handled, managed.
- Q. But you would agree with me, on May 25th, it
- wasn't clear whether she was going to have
- 25 responsibility for the risk ratings of those

- portfolios?
- No, I don't agree with you.
- Q. You respond to Ms. Speight and you say, "We obviously need to talk. Are you available to discuss this e-mail string and the meeting from 6 Tuesday?" Correct?
  - Correct. Α.
- At the time that you wrote that -- well, 8 9 first of all, she wrote the e-mail on May 25th at 5:33. 10
- 11 I believe you testified in your 12 deposition that you don't think you saw it until 13 the next morning, correct --
- That's correct. 14 Α.
- 15 Q. -- when you got to work?
- 16 Correct. Α.
- 17 So you're responding to Wanda Speight. 18 come in, in the morning, you see your e-mails
- 19 and you see this e-mail, correct?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 And would you agree with me that when you
- 22 read it, your initial thought was, we obviously
- 23 need to sit down and clear the air?
- 24 I don't remember what my frame of mind was
- 25 at that time, but it was upsetting, and I

# Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 206 of 263

- replied that we needed to talk. Obviously, we needed to talk.
- Q. And it wasn't your intent to say, we need to talk because I need to terminate you, right?
  - A. No, it wasn't.
- Q. You took the e-mail, and you went to
  - Mr. Lipson's office, right?
- 8 A. Sometime later, yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. And what happened in Mr. Lipson's
- 10 office?
- 11 A. Well, I had printed the e-mail. And when he
- came in, I went in, showed him the e-mail. He
- read it, and I believe he called Linda Pickles.
- Q. Now, do you recall discussing with him the
- events leading up to the e-mail?
- 16 A. Prior to receiving the e-mail?
- Q. No, I'm sorry. Sorry. When you brought the
- e-mail to him, did you just -- let's just be
- 19 clear.
- Do you recall whether you gave him just
- 21 Wanda's e-mail, or whether you gave him the
- 22 whole e-mail string?
- A. I think I had hit the print button, which
- meant that it would print the entire document.
- Q. Okay. Do you recall having a discussion

### Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 201, of 263

- 1 with Mr. Lipson about the e-mail itself? In
- other words, you didn't just hand it to him and
- 3 say, this is what I got from Wanda, but did you
- talk about the content of the e-mail?
- 5 A. I'm sure I did, yes.
- Q. As you sit here today, do you have any
- 7 recollection about any specific discussion with
- 8 him about the content?
- 9 A. No, I don't.
- 10 Q. Do you recall whether you tried to put the
- 11 e-mail in context?
- 12 A. I don't know.
- Q. Do you recall whether you talked to him
- 14 about the May 23rd meeting?
- 15 A. No, I don't.
- 16 Q. Were you present when Mr. Lipson talked to
- 17 Ms. Pickles on the phone?
- 18 A. I don't think I was.
- 19 Q. Were you still in the room when he got off
- 20 the phone? I'm sorry. You said you didn't
- 21 think you were there.
- 22 A. Right.
- 23 Q. So you left. He was calling Ms. Pickles,
- and you left?
- 25 A. That's correct. That's my recollection.

#### M. MCCOOT. — DTRECT Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 208 of 263

- Q. And what do you recall next happening in regard to Ms. Speight?
- A. My being advised that she was going to be terminated.
- Q. Now, prior to that, do you have a recollection that Mr. Lipson sought your recommendation as to whether she should be terminated or not?
- 9 A. No, no.
- Q. Do you recall whether you told him that you
- 11 concurred with that decision?
- 12 A. I don't know if I told him that or not.
- 13 Q. Is it your opinion that this e-mail,
- 14 standing alone, putting aside what had happened
- on May 23rd, do you believe that this e-mail,
- standing alone, would have merited Ms. Speight's
- 17 termination?
- 18 A. I don't know.
- 19 Q. Do you believe that Ms. Speight's
- 20 termination was appropriate?
- 21 A. I do.
- Q. To your knowledge, until she was told that
- she was terminated, Ms. Speight hadn't received
- any performance counsel, had she?
- 25 A. No, I don't think so.

## Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 209 of 263

- Q. To your knowledge, had anybody talked to her about her alleged poor attitude?
- A. I don't believe so.
- Q. Now, you had already had a meeting scheduled with Human Resources and Ms. Speight for
- 6 May 26th, correct?
- A. I don't know if it was already done. I believe it was scheduled.
- 9 Q. Okay.
- 10 A. I don't know what time it was scheduled.
- 11 Q. Okay. Do you recall that you decided to
- turn that meeting into the termination meeting?
- 13 A. Well, that was the end result of the
- 14 meeting.
- 15 Q. Do you recall, other than the Canadian loan
- portfolio, there weren't any other issues
- regarding loans for which Mr. Speight's team had
- responsibility, were there?
- 19 A. Any other issues?
- 20 Q. Yes.
- 21 A. Well, it was a very active portfolio, so it
- 22 was actively managed.
- Q. And the question is, other than the issues
- related to the Canadian loan portfolio, you
- 25 didn't have any idea that there were any other

issues regarding the loans for which she had a responsibility, do you?

A. I'm not sure I know what you mean by "issues."

In my opinion, there was -- again, it was an actively managed portfolio, so there were a myriad of issues that would come up every day.

- Q. Okay. But in terms of her refusal to take on responsibility for the portfolio, or anything like that -- an issue with the way that
- 11 Ms. Speight was handling her portfolio?
- 12 A. No, not that I'm aware of.
- Q. Okay. Prior to receiving this e-mail, you
- hadn't had any discussions with anyone about the
- possibility of terminating Ms. Speight, had you?
- 16 A. No.

1

6

8

9

- 17 Q. I hear you're a soccer coach, correct?
- 18 A. Among other things, yes.
- 19 Q. Now, soccer, we have the concept of getting
- a yellow card if you commit a foul, and you get
- one of those before you get red-carded, right --
- 22 A. Yep. Well, it depends --
- 23 Q. -- except -- except -- you anticipated --
- you get a red card for a flagrant foul, right?
- 25 A. Correct.

# Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 211 of 263

- 1 Q. Did you think that Ms. Speight deserved to
- 2 be yellow carded for this e-mail and for
- May 23rd, rather than throwing down the red card
- 4 as the first throw?
- 5 A. I don't know. I don't recall exactly how I
- felt at that time. It wasn't my decision. So I
- 7 don't know.
- 8 Q. Well, you concurred in the recommendation
- 9 that she be fired, right?
- 10 A. I do agree that she should have been fired.
- 11 Q. And you concurred back then, right?
- 12 A. Well, I concurred with the decision, yeah.
- Q. Okay. Now, you would agree with me that
- officially Ms. Speight was not terminated for
- insubordination, correct?
- 16 A. Officially?
- 17 Q. Yes. Capmark's actual HR records don't say
- she was terminated for insubordination, right?
- 19 A. I don't know if I know that record.
- 20 Q. Before we get there, I forgot to ask you
- about the termination meeting.
- The termination meeting was short,
- 23 right?
- 24 A. Yes, it was.
- 25 Q. It was you, Mr. Zurick, Ms. Speight?

## 

- A. Correct.
- Q. And in those termination meetings, you
- always want to be honest with the employee,
- 4 right?
  - A. Certainly.
- Q. You are always honest with the employees,
- right?

- 8 A. Yes.
  - Q. In that meeting, if I can direct your
- 10 attention to P-78.
- 11 A. Okay.
- 12 Q. This is a memo that Mr. Zurick wrote to the
- file. He testified yesterday regarding the
- 14 termination meeting.
- It says, "Mark informed Wanda that he
- had planned on meeting with her regarding the
- events that took place during the meeting on
- 18 Tuesday, 5-23-06. See file.
- "However, Mark stated that after he
- received Wanda's e-mail on Thursday, 5-25, he
- 21 realized that, quote, it was not going to work
- 22 out, unquote.
- "Mark informed Wanda that he had
- certain expectations from her, and wanted
- 25 someone that was committed to the group.

"Wanda was not meeting his expectations and did not appear to be committed."

Do you disagree that that is what you said on May 26th?

- A. That it was not going to work out?
- Q. Right. That you had concluded that it was not going to work out?
- 8 A. Well, I don't remember what I said, but it 9 was probably something along those lines.
- 10 Q. Okay. And part of the purpose of the
- termination meeting is to tell the employee why
- they are being fired, right?
- 13 A. Yes.

- Q. And you didn't say, Mr. Lipson decided to
- 15 fire you, right?
- 16 A. Well, Wanda reported to me, so I would have
- been the one delivering that message.
- 18 Q. Right. The message that Mr. Lipson had
- decided to fire her as the result of the e-mail,
- 20 right?
- 21 A. Correct.
- Q. That's your view that Mr. Lipson made the
- 23 decision?
- 24 A. Absolutely.
- Q. But that's not what you told her, right, in

the meeting? You said that after you saw the e-mail, you realized it wasn't going to work out, right?

- A. Well, that's what it says, yes.
- Q. The point of that was to convey that you had made the subjective determination that, based on her e-mail and the meeting, it wasn't going to work out so you wanted her to go, correct?
  - A. Well, I don't know what the point of this was. I didn't make the decision to terminate
- Wanda.

1

9

- 12 Q. But part of the point of the meeting is to
- tell her why she's being terminated, right?
- 14 A. Because she was a direct report of mine,
- that would be common practice.
- 16 Q. But you didn't tell her why she was being
- terminated, right? You didn't tell her that
- 18 Mr. Lipson thinks you were insubordinate,
- 19 Mr. Lipson decided to terminate her.
- You gave her the impression that you
- 21 had concluded that it wasn't going to work out.
- 22 A. I don't know what impression I gave her.
- The meeting was probably too brief to give much of an impression.
- Q. Well, do you agree that one of the things

```
that is supposed to go on in the termination meeting is to give Ms. Speight an opportunity to say, you're wrong, you misunderstood?
```

- A. Typically, that would be what would happen.
- Q. And that's one of the points in the meeting, right?
- A. Well, the meeting would be to convey the message that the decision was made that she should be terminated.
- Q. And, presumably, the decision would have been made by someone, right? She can't say, so-and-so is wrong or whatever, if she doesn't know who the decision-maker is?
- A. I don't agree with that. I think in that
  example Mr. Lipson would have to be in every
  termination meeting in the office, right, if he
  is the ultimate authority?
  - Q. No, no. You could say to her, Mr. Lipson made this decision. He decided when he saw your e-mail to fire you.

#### 21 Right?

- 22 A. I guess I could have said that.
- 23 Q. Right.

8

9

18

19

- 24 A. Yeah.
- Q. And, as you sit here today, you say, that's

```
1 the truth, right? That's what happened.
```

- 2 Mr. Lipson saw the e-mail, and he decided to
- 3 fire you?
- 4 A. That's right.
- Q. But that's not what you told her. You said,
- 6 after I got -- after the May 23rd meeting and I
- 7 got the e-mail, I decided it wasn't going to
- 8 work out?
- 9 A. Well, I didn't say in here that I decided
- 10 anything.
- 11 Q. But you had decided based on the May 23rd
- meeting and the e-mail that it wasn't going to
- work out, right?
- 14 A. I didn't make a decision related to Wanda's
- 15 termination.
- 16 Q. I'm not asking what you decided. I'm asking
- 17 what you told her.
- 18 A. And, again, I don't remember what I told
- 19 her. It says here that I said it's not going to
- work out, or I realize it's not going to work
- 21 out.
- 22 Q. Now, Mr. Zurick says, "Wanda replied, Okay."
- 23 And then Mr. Zurick says, "I informed
- Wanda that she had done nothing egregious and
- was not being terminated for cause."

Do you remember Mr. Zurick saying that?

- A. Not really.
- Q. Do you agree with him that she was not being terminated for anything egregious?
  - A. No, I don't agree.
- Q. And do you agree with him that she was not being terminated for cause?
- 8 A. No, I don't agree.
  - Q. So you're saying that Mr. Zurick wasn't
- 10 being honest with Ms. Speight when he told her
- 11 that?

- 12 A. No, that's not what I'm saying. I'm sure he
- had his own impressions. I don't recall what he
- 14 said, so I don't know.
- 15 Q. And "Mr. Zurick then informed Ms. Speight
- what benefits she was entitled to. She asked
- for something in writing, and I handed her the
- severance documents that I had prepared."
- Do you recall that?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. "He told Wanda, if she wanted the severance
- amount, she would have to sign and return a
- release, a waiver of her claims," right?
- 24 A. Yes, I recall something like that.
- Q. And do you recall that Mr. Zurick said to

```
Filed 03/25/09 Page 218 of 263
     her, "You need to get an attorney to review
     this"?
     Α.
         No.
         The next sentence says, "Wanda stated that
     0.
     her lawyer would be reviewing the release
 6
     agreement.
                 I advised Wanda to call me if she
     had any other questions."
              And your recollection is that Wanda
 8
9
     responded simply, "You'll be hearing from my
10
     lawyer," right?
11
         My recollection was that Wanda said, "Okay."
12
     She smirked and said, "You'll hear from my
13
     lawyer."
     Q. Would you agree with me that's not
14
     consistent with what Mr. Zurick wrote here?
15
16
         It's fairly consistent.
     Α.
17
         She says her lawyer would be reviewing the
     release agreement, not "You'll be hearing from
18
     my lawyer," but that "My lawyer will be
19
20
     reviewing the release agreement." Okay?
21
     Α.
         I still think it's fairly consistent.
22
              THE COURT: Counsel, we're going to
23
     take our afternoon break now. We'll be in
24
     recess for 15 minutes.
```

Members of the jury, please do not talk

```
Filed 03/25/09 Page 219 pt 263
     about the case among yourselves or with others.
              Enjoy your afternoon break.
              You're excused.
              Sir, let me give you some caution.
     You're under cross-examination, and you're not
 6
     to have any discussions with your counsel in
     reference to your testimony while you're under
     cross-examination.
 8
              MR. BANKS: Yes, I understand, Your
 9
             I was just looking --
10
11
              THE COURT: I'm not saying you didn't
12
     understand. I'm just giving the witness an
13
     instruction.
              Enjoy your break.
14
15
              (Recess was held at 3:00 p.m.)
16
              (The Court resumed the proceedings at
17
     3:20 p.m., at which time the jury entered the
18
     courtroom.)
19
     BY MR. SALMANSON:
20
     Q. Mr. McCool, are you aware that after an
21
     employee is terminated, an employee's
22
     termination request has to be processed through
23
     Capmark system, correct?
24
       Yes.
     Α.
25
     Ο.
       If you would turn to P-85, please.
```

# Filed 03/25/09 Page 220 of 263 1

- Α. Okay.
- Do you recognize this type of document,
- generally?
- I think I only recognize it from my 5 deposition.
- 6 Q. All right. It shows you as the originator of this document, correct? If you look on the second page. 8
- 9 Yes. Α.
- 10 It says "Originator, Mark McCool. Completed
- 11 by Mark McCool, " correct?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Q. And then it was approved by Mr. Zurick,
- 14 right?
- 15 A. Correct.
- And on this form that, according to this, 16
- 17 was originated and completed by you, the reason
- 18 for termination given is "5200 Discharge Other."
- 19 Do you see that?
- 20 I do. Α.
- 21 Now, there are lots of other codes you could
- 22 have used, right?
- 23 Yes. I would assume. I don't know the Α.
- 24 form.
- 25 Q. Do you know that one potential code is

- insubordination?
- A. No.
- Q. Do you know how you came about to approve
- the code of "5200 Discharge Other"?
- A. I don't know that I did.
- Q. Are you saying that this form is inaccurate,
- 7 that it was completed by you?
- 8 A. I testified in my deposition, I had never
- 9 seen the form before.
- 10 Q. Well, do you recall whether you had seen the
- form before, whether you provided some of the
- information that was needed to complete the
- 13 form?
- 14 A. I don't believe I did.
- Q. So you don't have any idea how this form
- 16 came about?
- 17 A. I know within our Human Resource system
- there's forms. I assume that this is one of
- 19 them.
- 20 Q. Do you recall anyone from Human Resources
- 21 asking you what the reason for the discharge
- 22 should be recorded as?
- 23 A. No.
- 24 Q. Did you have any discussions with anyone in
- 25 Human Resources about the reasons for

```
Filed 03/25/09 Page 222 of 263
     Ms. Speight's termination, either -- you know, I
     had asked you prior to the termination and you
     said no --
         Yeah.
     Α.
       -- but afterwards, did you have any
 6
     discussions after the termination decision was
     made?
8
         No.
     Α.
     Q. Now, is it fair to say that, in your view,
9
10
     you did not agree with Ms. Speight's management
11
     style?
12
         I don't think it was about management style.
13
     Q.
         Would you agree that it was the way in which
14
     she was accepting or failing to accept the
     responsibilities for her department?
15
         Do I agree that -- what are you asking me to
16
17
     agree with?
18
         Let me withdraw that question.
19
              Do you recall an employee named Bryan
20
     Pollack?
21
     Α.
         Yes.
22
         Bryan Pollack is white, right?
     Q.
23
     Α.
         He is.
24
         And you had to approve Mr. Pollack's
25
     termination, right?
```

# 

- A. Well, yeah. I guess I did, or recommend it.
- Q. He was a manager?

- A. He would probably say he was a manager, yeah.
  - Q. He was supposed to be a manager?
- 6 A. He was a lower-level employee.
- Q. And do you recall that there came a time
  when you were not happy with the way Mr. Pollack
  was managing?
- 10 A. Yes. I recall, yes.
- 11 Q. And do you recall that part of the problem
- was that you thought that Mr. Pollack was
- affecting the morale of his group?
- 14 A. Well, my recollection is that the way he was
- managing that group was called into question.
- 16 Q. And, in fact, you reported to Human
- 17 Resources that, based on your expectations,
- 18 Mr. Pollack was not where he should be in terms
- of performance and staff development?
- 20 A. Okay.
- Q. Is that consistent with your recollection?
- 22 A. It is consistent, yes.
- 23 Q. Now, if you were having these ongoing
- concerns up until the termination of
- Ms. Speight, do you agree that you were having

performance and staff development up until, not including, the May 25th e-mail?

- A. That I would have the same concerns with Ms. Speight?
- Q. Yes.

6

- A. Yes. I was beginning to -- yes, I was having those concerns.
- 9 Q. Okay. Now, in Mr. Pollack's case, you gave 10 him a warning, right?
- 11 A. I believe he was given a warning.
- Q. You yellow-flagged him, right? I love that analogy. I don't know. I just came up with it today. I remembered that you played soccer.
- You yellow-flagged Mr. Pollak, right?
- A. I know he was given a warning. So, yes. He wasn't one of my direct reports.
- 18 Q. If you look at P-110 --
- 19 A. Uh-huh.
- Q. -- the date of the internal e-mails begins on January 9th.
- Then the second page is a memo
  documented by John Zurick, dated January 31st,
  24 2006, saying you and Tony Perez and Mr. Zurick
  "met with Bryan on January 31st to inform Bryan

#### M. MCCOOL - DIRECT Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 225 of 263

- the concerns of Bryan's staff that were brought to Mark's attention. Mark also wanted to tell Bryan what he expected of him in regard to the way he was managing his staff." Right?
  - A. I'm just trying to find out where you are.
  - Q. I'm sorry. I'm right at the top of the second page.
- 8 A. Okay, thank you.
  - Q. Do you see where I am?
- 10 A. I do, yes.

1

6

- 11 Q. I apologize for that.
- And you informed Bryan that you weren't seeing Bryan progress the way he should have been. Based on his skills and experience. Mark and Ned had certain expectations from Bryan when they hired him nine months ago, and Bryan was
- not meeting those expectations," correct?
- 18 A. Okay.
- 19 Q. Is that your recollection of the way it
- 20 happened?
- 21 A. Yeah. Generally, yes.
- Q. And if a manager isn't meeting someone's
- 23 expectations, you're generally supposed to have
- this kind of counseling session, right?
- 25 A. Yes.

#### M. MCCOOL - DIRFICT Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 226.0f 263

- 1 Q. And you didn't have the opportunity to have
- 2 that kind of counseling session with
- Ms. Speight, right?
- A. No, I did not have the opportunity.
- Q. Okay. In fact, going back to Mr. Pollack,
- 6 you told him that he had 30 days to improve? I
- don't know if it says it in this memo.
- 8 A. I don't recall. I know that he was given a
- 9 time frame to improve.
- 10 Q. Actually, it is at the bottom. If you look
- at the second to last line, it says "Mark told
- Bryan that he had 30 days to improve the
- relations within his department."
- 14 A. Okay.
- 15 Q. And that, "Tony also stated he would be more
- involved in the day-to-day within the
- 17 department." Right?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. So Tony is going to step in with a little
- 20 more day-to-day?
- 21 A. Correct.
- Q. Just the way you were thinking about
- Ms. Speight that maybe Mr. Finkenstaedt would
- get more involved in the day-to-day, right?
- 25 A. I don't know if it's the same or not.

# Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 227 of 263

- Q. Okay. In fact, you gave Mr. Pollack a lot
- 2 more than 30 days, right?
  - A. I don't know.
- $\mathbb{Q}$ . Well, let's turn to the next page.
- A. That's what I figured we'd do.
- Q. The date is April 12th. He's given 30 days on January 31st. Right?
- 8 A. Okay.
- 9 Q. It says, "Mark McCool called me and told me 10 he wanted to terminate Bryan."
- So his termination didn't occur and got
- all the month of February, all the month of
- March, and part of April to improve, right?
- 14 A. All right. Based on this, yes.
- Q. I believe it was Mr. Zurick's testimony
- 16 yesterday that that wasn't extended because
- 17 Mr. Pollack's improvement went up and then came
- back down, right? It sort of flat-lined all the
- way from January 31st to April 12th, right?
- 20 A. I don't know. He wasn't one of my direct
- 21 reports.
- Q. So, as you sit here today, you don't have
- any reason to disagree with Mr. Zurick's
- 24 testimony in that regard?
- 25 A. No.

- 1 Q. Okay. Now, ultimately it would have been
- your decision to terminate Mr. Pollack, correct?
- A. No, I don't believe so.
- Q. Whose decision would it have been?
- A. Again, I would think I would have gone to
- 6 Mike Lipson.
- Q. So Mike Lipson would have ultimately been
- 8 responsible for Mr. Pollack's termination?
- 9 A. I believe so, yes.
- 10 Q. And your testimony today --
- 11 A. Well, I would say I think Mr. Pollack was
- 12 responsible for his termination.
- 13 Q. Fair enough. The termination decision.
- 14 A. Yes. Thank you.
- Q. And you believe that's the same as in
- 16 Ms. Speight's case, that Mr. Lipson ultimately
- 17 had the decision?
- 18 A. Yes. Mr. Lipson is the executive
- 19 vice-president of the group. I think it's his
- 20 responsibility.
- Q. Okay. And if you had told Ms. Speight, I
- want her out, as you testified at the beginning,
- 23 he would always take your recommendations,
- 24 right?
- A. He would always take my recommendations?

#### Filed 03/25/09 Page 229 of 263 Q. Yes. No, he's not always taken my recommendations. I thought you testified to that this morning. 6 Does he generally take your recommendations? 8 I think he relies on them, yes. 9 Q. Basically, you told him in Ms. Speight's case, I want her out, right? 10 11 I don't recall that. 12 Q. You told Ms. Speight, I'm the one who realized it wasn't going to work out, right? 13 That's what you told her at termination. 14 15 MR. BANKS: Objection. He's testified 16 to this. 17 THE COURT: Overruled, one last time. 18 BY MR. SALMANSON: Q. One last time. That's what you told 19 20 Ms. Speight in the meeting, right, that you had 21 decided that it wasn't going to work out? 22 A. I communicated to Ms. Speight that she was 23 being terminated. 24 Q. Okay. And according to the memo from 25 Mr. Zurick, you communicated to her that she's

```
Filed 03/25/09 Page 230 of 263
     being terminated because after the May 23rd
     meeting and the May 26th e-mail, you realized it
     wasn't going to work out?
         That's my recollection of the meeting.
              MR. SALMANSON: Nothing further, Your
 6
     Honor.
                       CROSS-EXAMINATION
8
     BY MR. BANKS:
        Hello, Mr. McCool.
9
     Q.
10
     A. Hello.
11
     Q. Long day up there.
12
     A. Yes, it has been.
13
         Mr. McCool, did you view Mr. Pollack's
     Q.
14
     situation as comparable to Ms. Speight's
15
     situation?
     A. Not at all.
16
17
         To your knowledge, did Mr. Pollack ever act
18
     in a way that was insubordinate to you or any of
19
     his superiors?
20
         Never.
     Α.
21
         Did he ever tell a client, even an internal
     Q.
22
     client, that they would get deficient work
23
     product if your directives were followed?
24
         Absolutely not.
     Α.
25
     Q. Mr. Salmanson asked you about an e-mail that
```

was labeled D-11. I know you have a bunch of binders there. It was a May 9th, 2006 e-mail from Ms. Speight to a bunch of people, and you're copied on it, or you're one of the people that are addressed on it.

He spent awhile asking you about this. This e-mail appears to have been addressed to Julie Gschwind.

Am I pronouncing that right?

- 10 A. I don't know.
- 11 Q. It says, "Thanks for the feedback, Julie.
- 12 Mark, Mike, the Credit Department will be
- providing training for the risk rating process.
- 14 The next cycle will begin in late May. If Julie
- and Jackie are not the appropriate individuals,"
- 16 et cetera.

- 17 You recall him asking you about this?
- 18 A. I do.
- 19 Q. When he asked you about this, did you
- 20 understand this to be an e-mail discussing the
- 21 possibility of providing training to people in
- the Credit Department?
- 23 A. The -- I'm sorry. I lost it there for a
- second.
- Q. Okay. Did you understand this e-mail from

```
Filed 03/25/09 Page 232 of 263
     Ms. Speight to be suggesting that the
     possibility that people in the Credit Department
     would be trained on how to do risk ratings?
         Yes.
     Α.
              MR. SALMANSON:
                               Objection.
              THE COURT: What's your basis for the
     objection?
8
              MR. SALMANSON: Leading.
 9
              THE COURT: It is somewhat leading.
10
     I'm going to overrule your objection to this
11
     question, but I won't for the next one.
12
     BY MR. BANKS:
13
         Did he ask you to read your response to the
14
     e-mail aloud to the jury?
15
        Not that I recall.
16
         Okay. Let's read your response aloud that
     Q.
17
     you gave to Ms. Speight in response to her
18
     e-mail? Now, what did you say?
19
         "Let's talk about this tomorrow. What times
20
     are you available?"
21
         Did you tell Ms. Speight at any time that
     Q.
22
     you agreed with her suggestion to have training
23
     given by the Credit Department on how to do risk
24
     ratings?
25
         No.
     Α.
```

```
I'd like to turn to, for lack of a better
     term, the termination e-mail, the May 25th
     e-mail, first from you, and then from
     Ms. Speight. It's on the giganda blow-up over
             It's D-23 in your binder.
              Tell me when you're there. It's the
     defendant's exhibits. Is that the right one?
8
         I'll get it in a second.
 9
              MR. BANKS: May I help the witness,
     Your Honor?
10
11
              THE WITNESS: I have it.
12
              MR. BANKS: Your Honor, may I just move
     some of these away to clear this out a bit, give
13
14
     the man some space?
15
     BY MR. BANKS:
16
     Q. Now, first, in this e-mail trail, there was
17
     a reference to an e-mail from Mr. Nienas.
     on Page 4 of the e-mail dated May 24th.
18
19
              Do you remember Mr. Salmanson asking
20
     you about that?
21
     Α.
         I do.
22
     Q. In this e-mail, Mr. Nienas wrote to a bunch
23
     of people, "It appears from the following e-mail
24
     correspondence that Asset Management
25
     responsibilities for the Canadian portfolio have
```

I want to go through some of the things in Ms. Speight's response to you. Do you have it there?

16 A. Yes, I do.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Let's go to the third paragraph, the portion that begins, "Consequently, the former PLG Asset Management individuals <u>are not</u> in a position to perform an evaluation for risk rating purposes."

Do you see that sentence?

A. I do.

Q. Do you recall Mr. Salmanson asking you about whether you would agree that the people may not have been in a position to do those risk ratings

that day on May 25th?

A. Yes, I do.

6

8

- Q. When were the risk ratings due?
- A. I believe it was either June 8th or June 16th.
- Q. Well, what, if anything, did you expect those people to be able to do, the people in Wanda Speight's group, to be in a position to do the risk ratings by June 8th or 16th?
- 10 A. Whatever it took.
- 11 Q. Give me some ideas about someone who hadn't
- been managing that could get up to speed to do
- the risk ratings? What would they do?
- 14 A. We have available to us a tremendous amount
- of information. There are financial statements
- that they would have access to or should have
- access to, and would pull down and review,
- spread the financial statements, pull market
- 19 analyses, look at rent roles for a particular
- 20 property which lists how many tenants are there.
- 21 There's a myriad of things that they could do,
- 22 should do.
- Q. When you wrote your e-mail, at the bottom of
- 24 this page, at the bottom of the blow-up, saying
- 25 "All risk ratings will be done by the Asset

```
Management group," did you believe that people in that group had enough time to get up to speed on the Canadian loans to get the risk ratings done by June 8th or 16th?
```

A. Positively.

1

6

8

9

10

11

12

Q. The next sentence that Mr. Salmanson read to you is in the next paragraph.

This is Ms. Speight writing. "I would hope that I am not being told by Capmark

Management to sign off on reserves and provide risk ratings on a portfolio of loans, for which my staff has no knowledge."

- Did that statement trouble you?
- 14 A. Absolutely.
- Q. Did you believe they could get knowledge?
- 16 A. Yeah, absolutely they could. Readily.
- 17 Q. Is that consistent with what you have just
- 18 told us?
- 19 A. That's very consistent with what I just
- 20 said.
- Q. Okay. Now, let's go to the next sentence.
- This is the next to last sentence in
- 23 Ms. Speight's e-mail.
- I know you weren't here yesterday, at least, I don't believe you were, right?

#### Filed 03/25/09 Page 231, 91, 263 Α. No, I wasn't. Q. Okay. Do you know that Ms. Speight testified yesterday, or do you only know because I have told you? I understand because you told me. 6 Okay. This last sentence says, "Mark, Q. again, the Asset Management team is and will continue to be responsible for daily borrower 8 9 interaction as well as the risk rating process, for its existing portfolio." 10 11 What did you interpret Ms. Speight to 12 mean by that? 13 My interpretation was that she would 14 continue to be responsible for risk ratings, but 15 only for the loans that she felt her group was 16 responsible for. 17 I'm going to read to you a question and 18 answer --19 THE COURT: One moment. 20 I'm sorry, Your Honor. MR. BANKS: 21 MR. SALMANSON: I don't have a copy. 22 MR. BANKS: You can come and look. 23 That's what I was going MR. SALMANSON:

to ask, if I could come up and look.

Sure. You can stand right

MR. BANKS:

24

```
Filed 03/25/09 Page 238 of 263
     next to me, if you want.
 1
              MR. SALMANSON:
                               Okay.
     BY MR. BANKS:
       Page 159, Line 15,
     Q.
              I asked you -- actually, I take it
 6
            Let me go back a little further. I asked
     Ms. Speight --
8
         Is this in my deposition?
9
              This is from Ms. Speight's testimony
10
     yesterday. I'm going ask you if her answer is
11
     consistent with what you understood when you
12
     read that sentence.
13
     Α.
        Okay.
14
         "Q. What you were saying to him there,
     Q.
15
     though, was, we'll do the risk ratings for our
16
     existing portfolio, meaning portfolios other
17
     than the Canadian loan portfolio, right?"
18
              And she answered,
19
              "A.
                   That's correct."
20
              Is that what you understood her to be
21
     saying?
22
        Yes, it is.
23
         Did you understood her to be saying that she
24
     just wasn't going to be doing the Canadian
25
     portfolio?
```

- A. Absolutely.
- Q. Let's look at the next line. This is the final one, and this is the one addressed to Joe in the Credit Department.

She says, "Joe, please be aware if we are being told to risk rate the SPG loans and Canadian portfolio, I cannot be confident in the accuracy of assigned ratings, nor can we be expected to defend such ratings given our lack of knowledge and experience with this portfolio."

Did that concern you?

- A. Absolutely.
- Q. Why? What bothered you about that comment
- being addressed to Joe Hohenleitner and the
- others?

6

8

9

10

11

12

- 17 A. Well, as I discussed before, Joe, Maureen,
- and Beth on the e-mail are all clients, and the
- comment here is that she is putting them on
- 20 notice that if she does what I'm telling her to
- 21 do, you, Joe, won't be able to rely on this
- 22 information.
- Q. This is what I asked Ms. Speight yesterday.
- MR. BANKS: If you want to come up
- again, Mr. Salmanson, feel free. It's on Page

162, Line 9 through Page 162, Line 12. This was my question, and this is her answer. I want to know if her testimony yesterday is consistent with the way you read it in May of 2006. I asked, "Q. So you were telling him if you did what McCool told you to do, he risked having inaccurate and indefensible risk ratings?" 8 9 Ms. Speight answered, That's what I told him." 10 11 Is that the way you interpreted it in 12 May of 2006? 13 Α. Yes. Why did that bother you? 14 Q. 15 Ms. Speight's department was responsible for 16 performing this function. And for her to say 17 that -- well, first off, the inference was that 18 I was telling her to do something that is against -- you know, against everything that our 19 20 company stands for, I think. 21

And then to say that if I do what I'm being told, everything I give you is unreliable. You can't rely on it. And, you know, the ratings that I give you are useless. From a credit perspective, I can't stress how

22

23

24

unbelievable that is.

- Q. What was your belief, if any, as to whether
- Ms. Speight had the time and the resources in
- 4 her group to get accurate and defensible risk
- 5 ratings before they were due?
- A. I'm very confident and comfortable that she
- 7 had the resources available, and she would have
- 8 gotten them done on time.
- 9 Q. Mr. Salmanson asked you about soccer, yellow
- 10 cards and red cards. He compared her situation
- 11 to Bryan Pollack who got, I think, as
- 12 Mr. Salmanson described it, a 30-day yellow
- 13 card?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 Q. You said these risk ratings were due
- 16 June 8th or 16th?
- 17 A. That's my recollection.
- 18 Q. I want to tell you that Ms. Speight said
- 19 yesterday that she thought it was June 8th.
- Would you have any basis to disagree
- 21 with that?
- 22 A. No, I wouldn't.
- Q. So that's about 12 days after the e-mail?
- 24 A. Okay.
- Q. In light of what Ms. Speight said to the

#### Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 242 pt 263

- 1 Credit Department about her inability to produce
- accurate and defensible risk ratings, did you
- 3 think you had time to give her a 30-day yellow
- 4 card to see how she could do?
- 5 A. Not at all. That's a hard date. You can't
- 6 change that date at all.
- Q. Who took over for Ms. Speight?
- 8 A. Don Irwin.
- 9 Q. And where was he before that? Where did he
- 10 come from?
- 11 A. Don was one of Wanda's direct reports.
- 12 Q. So he was in the Asset Management Group?
- 13 A. Yes, he was.
- Q. When did he learn that he was taking over
- for Ms. Speight? Did he find out before she was
- told of her termination or after?
- 17 A. No, after.
- 18 Q. Was it that afternoon?
- 19 A. Yes, I think it was that afternoon.
- 20 Q. So that is Friday, the day before Memorial
- 21 Day, the afternoon, May 26th?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 Q. And Monday was a holiday?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. So his first day back at work, after the

24

25

Α.

ratings that his group did?

Quite to the contrary.

# Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 244 of 263

- Q. There was some testimony in response to
- 2 Mr. Salmanson that there were discussions in
- 3 late April and early May of 2006 with
- 4 Ms. Speight about whether or not she would be in
- 5 RES or in your group; is that right?
- 6 A. Correct.
- Q. If she had been in RES, to whom would she
- 8 have been reporting?
- 9 A. I believe it would have been Tony Lauerman.
- 10 I'm sorry. Excuse me. Michael Carp.
- 11 Q. Would that have taken her out of her group
- if she went into RES?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. What did you tell her about where you wanted
- 15 her to work?
- 16 A. I wanted her to be part of the skill set
- that Wanda brought to the table, and I wanted
- 18 her to continue to manage the Asset Management
- 19 function, primarily responsible for client
- 20 contact and borrower interaction, risk ratings,
- and the like. That was a better role for her in
- the company.
- 23 Q. Did you want her in your group in Asset
- 24 Management reporting to you?
- 25 A. Absolutely.

#### Filed 03/25/09 Page 245 pt 263 Was her race a factor in that? Did you want 1 Q. her because of her race? Α. No. Did her race cause you not to want her? Q. Α. No. 6 Q. How about your reaction to the meeting on May 23rd? We talked a good bit about the meeting on May 23rd. 8 9 MR. BANKS: Your Honor, actually I know the jurors were read portions of Mr. McCool's 10 11 memo, which was D-21. 12 I'd like to give it to him, but might I 13 also hand copies to the jury, so they can 14 actually see it up -- I'm addressing it to the 15 Court. 16 THE COURT: No objections to that, is 17 there? 18 MR. SALMANSON: Just so that we're clear, which version of the memo. 19 20 MR. BANKS: The final version. D-21. 21 MR. SALMANSON: Okay. 22 THE COURT: Very well. You may exhibit 23 it to the jury. 24 BY MR. BANKS: 25 Q. Mr. McCool, if you look in your book, it's

```
Filed 03/25/09 Page 246 pt 263
     exhibit D-21.
 1
              MR. BANKS: I'm going to hand these out
     to the jury, if I may.
 3
     BY MR. BANKS:
         Would you let me know when you have D-21?
 6
     Α.
         I have it.
         Okay. Is this the final version of the memo
     Q.
     that you wrote between the May 23rd meeting and
8
9
     the date of receiving Ms. Speight's May 25th
     e-mail?
10
11
       Yes, it is.
     Α.
12
         I'm not going to go through the entirety of
     this, but just in the background section, I know
13
     that Mr. Salmanson asked you some questions with
14
15
     that.
16
              Were you attempting to document
17
     everything that had happened prior to May 23rd
18
     in that section?
         No, I wasn't.
19
     Α.
20
         What was the purpose of that section?
     Q.
21
     Α.
         Well, to provide background.
22
         Was it a timeline or something else?
     Q.
23
         Yes, effectively it was a timeline.
     Α.
24
         Okay. Now, you said, I think in response to
     Q.
25
     Mr. Salmanson's questions, that the purpose of
```

this memo was to address what happened at the May 23rd meeting?

- A. Correct, to memorialize my thoughts.
- Q. Were things fresh in your mind when you wrote this note?
- A. They were.

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Q. All right. Let's skip the first couple of paragraphs that discussed the purpose of the meeting and what you were doing in reviewing the six-page document.

I'm going to ask you to skip to the next-to-last paragraph on the first page that begins "The Asset Management team."

Would you read just that paragraph and the next one aloud?

- A. "The Asset Management team was recently advised that one of the asset managers had resigned; I inquired as to the demeanor or morale of the remainder of the team, to which Wanda responded, "You will have to ask them."
- 21 Q. Continue, please.
- A. "I then asked whether Marla or anyone else had expressed concerns, Wanda replied, "Well, I don't speak for them, so you would have to ask them."

- Q. And the first line of the next page.
- A. "The foregoing was repeated a few more times."
- Q. Why did you keep asking her that?

6

8

9

10

11

12

16

17

18

19

20

- A. I thought it was a legitimate question.
- I -- frankly, I think I was shocked at the initial response, and I needed to know if there were issues within the department.
- Q. What was your reaction to Ms. Speight saying, you go ask them instead of saying, how about if I go ask them and get back to you?
  - A. I was surprised, shocked, even.
- Q. The next line, you wrote, "Wanda was not engaged in the conversation, nor did she willingly contribute to the discussion."
  - Can you describe a little bit what you meant by that?
  - A. The four of us were going through, again, a very granular level, trying to identify the various tasks and the placement of those tasks within Services.
- I mean what I say. She was not
  engaged. Her arms were folded. She was looking
  around. She did not willingly contribute at
  all.

### Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 249 ot 263

- 1 Q. Read the next sentence, please, or the next 2 paragraph?
- A. "When asked what her opinion was on a particular task assignment, she replied, well, you know my opinion does not count, so I don't have one."
  - Q. Did that bother you?
- 8 A. Yes, it did.
  - Q. Why?

- 10 A. I did value her opinion, and I wanted to
- 11 know -- she had a -- reportedly a better
- understanding in that group than anybody else.
- 13 Part of the transition was to insure a smooth
- 14 transition. I needed her feedback.
- Q. When you brought Ms. Speight into your
- group, what did you expect of her?
- 17 A. That she would, you know, be the senior
- 18 manager that she was.
- 19 Q. Did you expect her to contribute ideas to
- the group?
- 21 A. Certainly.
- Q. Would you skip down to the last paragraph.
- A. (Witness complies.)
- Q. The second sentence refers to the plan to
- 25 schedule a meeting, and it says, "It is my

Mr. Lipson, or anything else?

showed you as an originator.

You were asked about some forms.

you said they were Human Resources forms that

Do you actually fill out those forms?

Absolutely not.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α.

Q.

Α.

Ο.

Yes.

# Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 251 of 263

- 1 A. No, I don't.
- Q. Do you take part in the electronic process
- of recreating them?
- A. No, I don't.
- Q. Do you do that for any employees?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. You've had other people in your group who
- 8 have terminated employment before, I take it?
- 9 A. Yes, I have.
- 10 Q. You have never done the paperwork?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. Do you know why you are shown as the
- originator, or do you have a belief as to why
- 14 you are shown as the originator?
- 15 A. I believe the system works on reporting
- lines, and Marla was a direct report, so I would
- 17 have been reflected that way.
- 18 Q. In the termination decision of Ms. Speight,
- did she ask you who actually made the decision
- to terminate her employment?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 Q. Did you ever volunteer who made the
- decision, whether it was Mr. Lipson or you or
- someone else?
- 25 A. No, I didn't.

```
MR. BANKS: May I check with
     co-counsel?
              THE COURT: Yes.
              MR. BANKS: I don't have any further
 5
     questions of Mr. McCool.
 6
              Thank you, Judge Joyner and Mr. McCool.
     Mr. Salmanson may have some follow-up.
8
              MR. SALMANSON: Very briefly.
 9
                     REDIRECT EXAMINATION
10
     BY MR. SALMANSON:
11
         If Ms. Speight, as of May 25th, had to do
12
     these risk ratings, there are a lot of resources
13
     available to her, right? There's all sorts of
14
     reports that she could have gotten her hands on,
15
     hard data, right?
16
     Α.
         Yes.
17
         But you didn't say that there was also a
18
     subjective element to the risk ratings, right?
19
         No, correct, there is a subjective element.
20
         That's a really important part of the risk
     Q.
     ratings, right?
21
22
         It certainly is.
23
         And none of the reports were going to
24
     provide that subjective information, right?
25
         No.
              That would have come from the expertise
```

Case 2:07-cv-00890-1CJ \_Decument 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 252

within the group.

6

8

- Q. And the expertise within the group, in order to make that subjective determination, they had to know what was going on in those loans, right?
  - A. And apparently they did know.
  - Q. Well, eventually, right?
  - A. They got it done on time, so they knew.
  - Q. With a whole lot of extra resources, right?
  - A. When I referred to the whole lot of
- 10 resources -- you know, without going into
- 11 nauseating detail, we have a lot of electronic
- information that's available.
- 13 Q. Let me try it again. Maybe I wasn't clear.
- 14 After May 25th, and, in fact, virtually
- as soon as Mr. Irwin was assigned to the task,
- 16 you gave him four extra asset managers to help
- 17 him finish the job, right?
- 18 A. No, I don't think so.
- 19 Q. Do you recall how many asset managers he was
- given to help do the job?
- 21 A. I identified four asset managers that would
- eventually move into the group.
- Q. And did you recall how quickly they were
- 24 assigned to the group?
- 25 A. I think they took on that role sometime in

July or August.

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. You don't think they helped participate in the Asset Management function to get it done for the June risk ratings?
- A. I'm pretty positive they did not.
- Q. Now, Ms. Speight, I believe Mr. Banks

  testified -- he characterized -- I shouldn't say

  "testified," but said that Ms. Speight was

  saying, you know, the risk ratings are going to

  be, pardon my French, for crap basically, right?

But that's not what she said. She said she couldn't be confident in the accuracy. She didn't say they were going to be inaccurate, right?

- A. I think that's the same thing.
- Q. Now, Mr. Banks also talked about yellow carding. We're back to soccer.

He said, you didn't have time to yellow card and give her 30 days, right? But I didn't ask you about yellow carding and giving her 30 days. I just asked you about yellow carding.

As soon as you're yellow carded, you know you're in trouble, right?

- A. Yes, but going back to the soccer.
- Q. She wasn't given a yellow card in one day to

- improve or change her tune, right?
- A. That's correct, she wasn't.
- Q. Just so we're clear, you were told that
- 4 Ms. Speight's group was moving into Servicing,
- 5 right?
- 6 A. Yes.
  - Q. You didn't have any input on that?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. At the end of the day, on May 12th, when the
- decision was finally made to take Ms. Speight,
- 11 you weren't just taking Ms. Speight, right, you
- were taking Ms. Speight and all 20 of her people
- 13 altogether?
- 14 A. I don't know how many of those employees
- were identified as going to Real Estate
- Solutions or Asset Management, so I don't know
- 17 that answer.
- Q. But at least as of May 12th, when you agreed
- 19 to take Ms. Speight, you were also taking a lot
- of her people, too?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. So the decision wasn't just about taking
- 23 Ms. Speight, right?
- A. The decision would have never been just
- about that.

Q. Right. So when Mr. Banks talks about, well, you agreed to have Ms. Speight come in and she was African-American, that had nothing to do with it.

I mean, the truth of the matter is that it was never just about Ms. Speight, where she was going to go, but it was about where she and her group were going to go, right?

- A. Well, I think the first decision was who was the right person to manage the group, and
- 11 Ms. Speight was the right person to manage the group.
- 13 Q. She had always managed that group, right?
- 14 A. Correct.

6

8

9

- Q. And based on everything you knew, she was really good at it, right?
- 17 A. I had heard no complaints.
- Q. As far as you knew, having Ms. Speight come
- over was going to lend real prestige to your
- 20 group, right?
- 21 A. Yes. Having Ms. Speight as part of the team
- gave me comfort that the transition would move
- along very well.
- Q. Ms. Speight and her team, right?
- 25 A. Certainly.

#### Case 2:07 6v-00890 JCJ \_Decument 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 25/ of 263

- Q. And you understood that this was a team that basically Ms. Speight had developed from the ground up, right?
  - A. I don't know the whole history of the group itself.
  - Q. You would be happy to have Ms. Speight's group, whether Ms. Speight was part of the group or not, right?
- 9 A. I don't know that.
- 10 Q. Now, Mr. Banks also said that you were happy
- 11 to have her report to you, right?
- 12 A. Sure.

5

6

- 13 Q. That was true as of May 12th, right?
- 14 A. Certainly.
- 15 Q. Within 13 days, it wasn't true anymore,
- right, or actually less than that?
- 17 By May 23rd, May 24th, was 12 days, 12
- days later, you didn't want her reporting
- 19 directly to you anymore, right?
- 20 A. I don't think it was because I didn't want
- 21 her reporting to me. It was that she required
- more oversight than I was capable of doing.
- Q. And as a result of that, you didn't want her
- reporting to you, right, because she was too
- 25 much for you?

#### Case 2:07 GV-00890 JCJ \_Decument 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 258 of 263

- A. No. She required more assistance than I had anticipated, so I wanted to give her that support.
- Q. And you didn't want her reporting directly to you, then?
- A. Well, again, I'll say it my way, again. I
  thought she needed more support than I was
  capable of doing, so to give her the correct
  amount of support would mean that she would
  report to Ned Finkenstaedt.
- 11 Q. It took you 14 days to figure that out,
- 12 right?
- 13 A. Apparently.
- Q. And that's 14 working days, right, two
- weekends in between? May 12th was a Friday,
- remember?
- 17 A. Okay, yes.
- Q. So we're really talking ten days, two
- 19 business weeks --
- 20 A. Okay.
- 21 Q. -- during part of which you might have been
- on PTO, right?
- 23 A. Based on the schedule, yes. I don't know if
- I was on PTO or not.
- Q. Now, you say you valued her opinion, right?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And one of your concerns was that you weren't getting her opinion, right?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. You certainly walked out of the May 23rd meeting, and you thought to yourself, I want her to know that I value her opinion, right?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. The very next time she renders her opinion, 10 it's in that e-mail, right?
- 11 A. I believe so.
- 12 Q. You didn't value her opinion at all in that
- e-mail, right?
- 14 A. I don't know if you can say I didn't value
- her opinion. I didn't value the way that she,
- 16 you know, typed up the e-mail and sent the
- 17 e-mail.
- 18 Q. In fact, the first time she gave you her
- opinion of anything, her opinion not only didn't
- count, but it got her fired; isn't that true?
- 21 A. I don't think I agree with that
- 22 classification.
- Q. Because she had rendered her opinion in some
- other manner between May 23rd and May 25th, to
- 25 your recollection?

#### Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 260 of 263

I think you're making a distinction that it's her opinion that got her fired, and I don't agree with you. Would you agree with me that this is the first time that she rendered her opinion after 6 the May 23rd meeting, that you can recall? That I can recall, yes. I don't know if I Α. spoke to her on the phone in-between. 8 9 Q. And regardless of the manner of the way in which she rendered her opinion, she gave you her 10 11 opinion and it got her fired; isn't that true? 12 A. Well, again, it was how that opinion was delivered. 13 MR. SALMANSON: I have nothing further, 14 15 Your Honor. 16 MR. BANKS: Just one or two, Your Honor. 17 18 RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. BANKS: 19 20 On this yellow card, just to go back for a moment to what Ms. Speight said yesterday, she 21 said she told Hohenleitner if you did what --22 23 MR. SALMANSON: Can you wait just a

25

24

second?

BY MR. BANKS: Q. -- if you did what McCool told you to do, he, Hohenleitner, risked having inaccurate and indefensible risk ratings? If Ms. Speight had stayed in the job, 6 rather than being terminated, who would have had to sign off on the risk ratings for the Canadian loan portfolio? 8 9 Ms. Speight would have. Okay. Did you feel comfortable, after she 10 11 had delivered that message to the client, 12 keeping her in the position with the yellow card 13 to be the one to sign off on the client's risk 14 ratings? 15 I think it's very clear that she did not 16 think she could get it done at all, so I had no confidence that she could have gotten it done. 17 18 MR. BANKS: Nothing further. 19 you. 20 THE COURT: Very well. Who is your next witness, just out of curiosity? 21 22 23

MR. SALMANSON: I think they would like it to be -- we're willing to accommodate them.

I think it's going to be Mr. Lipson, who is going to be for awhile, so I don't know if you

24

```
Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56 Filed 03/25/09 Page 262 of 263
     want to get started with him, or not.
              THE COURT: You may step down now, sir,
     and watch your step.
              THE WITNESS: Thank you.
              Ladies and gentlemen, we're going to
 6
     adjourn for the day. We'll pick up tomorrow
     morning at 9:30.
              Please do not talk about the case among
 9
     yourselves or with others.
              You can just leave those in your seat.
10
11
     Counsel will collect those at the end of the
12
     day.
13
              Have a nice day. See you tomorrow
14
     morning at 9:30. Thank you.
15
               (The jury exited the courtroom at
     4:03 p.m., at which time the Court adjourned the
16
17
     proceedings.)
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

	Case 2:07-cv-00890-JCJ Document 56		Filed 03/25/09 Page 263 of 263		290 263
1	1 1	.I D	E X		
2	2 WITNESS DI	RECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
3					
4		2	1.0	24	0.5
5	By Mr. Banks 5		13		25
6				0.00	
7	By Mr. Salmanson By Mr. Banks	39	230	230	260
8	8				
9	9				
10	0				
11	1				
12	2				
13	3				
14					
15	CERTIFICATE				
16					
17					
18					
19	above-entitled matter.				
20					
21					
22	Gregg B. Wolfe, R.P.R., C.M.				
23					
24	4				
25	5				